

HAMILTON'S INVERSE FUNCTION THEOREM: PROOF OF THE CONVERGENCE OF THE ITERATION SCHEME

JEAN-CHRISTOPHE YOCCOZ

1. THE SETTING

- E, F are two tame Frechet spaces, $S(t), t \geq 1$ are the corresponding smoothing operators;
- r_0 is a positive integer;
- f is a map defined on the ball $B = \{\|x\|_{r_0} < 1\}$ of E , with values in F satisfying $f(0) = 0$;
- f is C^2 tame and satisfies, for $x \in B, y \in E, r \geq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|Df(x, y)\|_r &\leq C_r(\|x\|_{r+r_0}\|y\|_{r_0} + \|y\|_{r+r_0}), \\ \|D^2f(x, y, y)\|_r &\leq C_r(\|x\|_{r+r_0}\|y\|_{r_0}^2 + \|y\|_{r_0}\|y\|_{r+r_0}). \end{aligned}$$

- There exists a continuous tame inverse of Df denoted by $L : B \times F \rightarrow E$ which satisfies, for $x \in B, z \in F, r \geq 0$:

$$\|L(x, z)\|_r \leq C_r(\|x\|_{r+r_0}\|z\|_{r_0} + \|z\|_{r+r_0}).$$

2. THE ITERATION SCHEME

Let $\varepsilon^* > 0$ and r_1 a positive integer to be chosen later (Actually, we will have $r_1 = 17r_0$). We start with $y \in F$ in the ball $B^* := \{\|y\|_{r_1} < \varepsilon^*\}$ and will construct $x \in B$ such that $f(x) = y$. Set $t_n := \exp(\frac{3}{2})^n$ for $n \geq 0$.

Let $x_0 = 0$; as long as $\|x_n\|_{2r_0} + \|f(x_n)\|_{2r_0} < 1$, we define inductively

- $e_n = y - f(x_n)$;
- $\tilde{\delta}_n = L(x_n, e_n)$;
- $\delta_n = S(t_n)\tilde{\delta}_n$;
- $x_{n+1} = x_n + \delta_n$.

This is Newton's algorithm with the smoothing from $\tilde{\delta}_n$ to δ_n added. We will prove that, if B^* is small enough, x_n is defined for all n and converge to a solution x of $f(x) = y$.

3. THE BASIC ESTIMATES

The properties of L give, for $r \geq 0$

$$(1) \quad \|\tilde{\delta}_n\|_r \leq C_r(\|x_n\|_{r+r_0}\|e_n\|_{r_0} + \|e_n\|_{r+r_0}).$$

We assume that $r_1 \geq 2r_0$ and $\varepsilon^* < 1$. Then, the property $\|f(x_n)\|_{2r_0} < 1$ implies

$$(2) \quad \|e_n\|_{2r_0} < 2.$$

Then, as we have also $\|x_n\|_{2r_0} < 1$, we get from the previous inequality

$$(3) \quad \|\tilde{\delta}_n\|_{r_0} \leq C.$$

The next estimate comes directly from the properties of the smoothing operators: for any $r' \geq r$, we have

$$(4) \quad \|\delta_n\|_{r'} \leq C_{r,r'} t_n^{r'-r} \|\tilde{\delta}_n\|_r.$$

Thus, we have

$$(5) \quad \|\delta_n\|_{r_0} \leq C.$$

To estimate e_{n+1} , we will use Taylor's formula at order 1 or 2. On one side

$$f(x_n + \delta_n) = f(x_n) + \int_0^1 Df(x_n + u\delta_n, \delta_n) du$$

which gives, in view of the properties of Df , for $r \geq 0$

$$\|e_{n+1}\|_r \leq \|e_n\|_r + C_r (\|\delta_n\|_{r_0} (\|x_n\|_{r+r_0} + \|\delta_n\|_{r+r_0}) + \|\delta_n\|_{r+r_0}).$$

Using $\|\delta_n\|_{r_0} \leq C$, we get

$$(6) \quad \|e_{n+1}\|_r \leq \|e_n\|_r + C'_r (\|x_n\|_{r+r_0} + \|\delta_n\|_{r+r_0}).$$

This crude estimate will be useful in complement to the one coming from

$$f(x_n + \delta_n) = f(x_n) + Df(x_n, \delta_n) + \int_0^1 (1-u) D^2 f(x_n + u\delta_n, \delta_n, \delta_n) du.$$

Here, from the definition of δ_n we get

$$e_{n+1} = Df(x_n, (1-S(t_n))L(x_n, e_n)) - \int_0^1 (1-u) D^2 f(x_n + u\delta_n, \delta_n, \delta_n) du = e'_{n+1} - e''_{n+1}$$

The properties of $D^2 f$ give, for any $r \geq 0$

$$\|e''_{n+1}\|_r \leq C_r (\|\delta_n\|_{r_0}^2 (\|x_n\|_{r+r_0} + \|\delta_n\|_{r+r_0}) + \|\delta_n\|_{r+r_0} \|\delta_n\|_{r_0}).$$

Using again $\|\delta_n\|_{r_0} \leq C$, we get

$$(7) \quad \|e''_{n+1}\|_r \leq C''_r (\|\delta_n\|_{r_0}^2 \|x_n\|_{r+r_0} + \|\delta_n\|_{r+r_0} \|\delta_n\|_{r_0}).$$

To estimate e'_{n+1} , we will use the approximation property of $S(t_n)$.

4. CONVERGENCE OF THE ITERATION SCHEME

Lemma 4.1. *Let $r \geq r_0$. There exists a constant $A = A(r)$ such that, for every $n \geq 0$ such that x_{n+1} is defined, one has*

$$(8) \quad \|\tilde{\delta}_n\|_{r-r_0} \leq A t_n^{5r_0} \|y\|_r,$$

$$(9) \quad \|\delta_n\|_{r+r_0} \leq A t_n^{7r_0} \|y\|_r,$$

$$(10) \quad \|x_{n+1}\|_{r+r_0} \leq A t_n^{7r_0} \|y\|_r,$$

$$(11) \quad \|e_{n+1}\|_r \leq A t_n^{7r_0} \|y\|_r$$

Proof. We have $x_0 = 0$ and $e_0 = y$. For $n \geq 0$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{\delta}_n\|_{r-r_0} &= A_1(n, r) t_n^{5r_0} \|y\|_r, \\ \|\delta_n\|_{r+r_0} &= A_2(n, r) t_n^{7r_0} \|y\|_r, \\ \|x_{n+1}\|_{r+r_0} &= A_3(n, r) t_n^{7r_0} \|y\|_r, \\ \|e_{n+1}\|_r &= A_4(n, r) t_n^{7r_0} \|y\|_r. \end{aligned}$$

We write C_r for various constants depending only on r .

From (1), we have $A_1(0, r) \leq C_r$. From (4), we have

$$(12) \quad A_2(n, r) \leq C_r A_1(n, r)$$

Next we have $A_3(0, r) = A_2(0, r)$ and, for $n > 0$

$$(13) \quad A_3(n, r) \leq A_2(n, r) + A_3(n-1, r)(t_{n-1}t_n^{-1})^{7r_0},$$

with $t_{n-1}t_n^{-1} = t_n^{-1/3}$. From (6), we have also

$$(14) \quad A_4(n, r) \leq C_r(A_2(n, r) + t_n^{-7r_0/3}(A_3(n-1, r) + A_4(n-1, r))).$$

Finally, from (1), we get, for $n > 0$

$$(15) \quad A_1(n, r) \leq C_r t_n^{-7r_0/3}(A_3(n-1, r) + A_4(n-1, r)).$$

Whatever the values of the constants C_r , the inequalities (12)-(15) imply that the sequences $A_i(n, r)$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$, are bounded from above by a constant depending only on r . \square

Lemma 4.2. *There exists a constant A^* , and, for any $r \geq 8r_0$, a constant $A^*(r)$, such that, for all $n \geq 0$ such that x_{n+1} is defined, one has*

$$(16) \quad \|e_{n+1}\|_{r_0} \leq A^* t_n^{3r_0} \|e_n\|_{r_0}^2 + A^*(r) t_n^{8r_0-r} \|y\|_r.$$

Proof. Write $e_{n+1} = e'_{n+1} - e''_{n+1}$ as above. We have, from (7), (4), (1)

$$\|e''_{n+1}\|_{r_0} \leq C \|\delta_n\|_{r_0} \|\delta_n\|_{2r_0} \leq C' t_n^{3r_0} \|\tilde{\delta}_n\|_0^2 \leq A^* t_n^{3r_0} \|e_n\|_{r_0}^2$$

On the other hand, from the properties of Df , $S(t_n)$ and L , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e'_{n+1}\|_{r_0} &\leq C \|(1 - S(t_n))L(x_n, e_n)\|_{2r_0} \\ &\leq C'(r) t_n^{3r_0-r} \|L(x_n, e_n)\|_{r-r_0} \\ &\leq C''(r) t_n^{3r_0-r} (\|x_n\|_r + \|e_n\|_r) \\ &\leq A^*(r) t_n^{8r_0-r} \|y\|_r, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from Lemma 4.1. The proof of the lemma is complete. \square

We now take $r_1 := 17r_0$.

Lemma 4.3. *There exists a constant C^* such that, if $\|y\|_{r_1}$ is small enough, we have*

$$(17) \quad \|e_n\|_{r_0} \leq C^* t_n^{-6r_0} \|y\|_{r_1}.$$

Proof. This clearly holds for $n = 0$ if $C^* \geq 1$. We then proceed by induction, using the previous lemma with $r = r_1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|e_{n+1}\|_{r_0} &\leq A^* t_n^{3r_0} \|e_n\|_{r_0}^2 + A^*(r_1) t_n^{-9r_0} \|y\|_{r_1} \\ &\leq A^*(C^*)^2 t_n^{-9r_0} \|y\|_{r_1}^2 + A^*(r_1) t_n^{-9r_0} \|y\|_{r_1} \\ &= C^{r^*} t_{n+1}^{-6r_0} \|y\|_{r_1} \left(A^* C^* \|y\|_{r_1} + \frac{A^*(r_1)}{C^*} \right). \end{aligned}$$

It is therefore sufficient to take $C^* \geq 2A^*(r_1)$ and then $\|y\|_{r_1} < \frac{1}{2A^*C^*}$. \square

Lemma 4.4. *If $\|y\|_{r_1}$ is small enough, the sequence (x_n) is defined for all $n \geq 0$ and we have, with appropriate constants C and any $0 \leq k \leq 5$*

$$(18) \quad \|\tilde{\delta}_n\|_0 \leq C t_n^{-6r_0} \|y\|_{r_1},$$

$$(19) \quad \|\delta_n\|_{kr_0} \leq C t_n^{(k-6)r_0} \|y\|_{r_1},$$

$$(20) \quad \|e_n\|_{2r_0} \leq C t_n^{-5r_0} \|y\|_{r_1}.$$

Proof. The first inequality follows from (1) and Lemma 4.3. Then the second inequality follows from (4). This proves in particular that $\|x_n\|_{2r_0}$ remains very small. From Hadamard interpolation inequalities and Lemmas 4.1 and 4.3, we have

$$\|e_n\|_{2r_0} \leq C \|e_n\|_{r_0}^{15/16} \|e_n\|_{r_1}^{1/16} \leq C t_n^{-5r_0} \|y\|_{r_1}.$$

Therefore, $\|f(x_n)\|_{2r_0} = \|y - e_n\|_{2r_0}$ remains also very small. This proves that the sequence (x_n) is defined for all $n \geq 0$. \square

We now assume that $\|y\|_{r_1} < \varepsilon^*$, with ε^* small enough so that the conclusions of the last lemma are satisfied.

Lemma 4.5. *The sequence (x_n) converge in E to a limit x such that $f(x) = y$.*

Proof. Let $r \geq r_0$. We have

$$\|\delta_n\|_{3r} \leq A t_n^{7r_0} \|y\|_{3r-r_0}, \quad A = A(3r - r_0),$$

from Lemma 4.1 and

$$\|\delta_n\|_0 \leq C t_n^{-6r_0} \|y\|_{r_1}$$

from Lemma 4.4, hence

$$\|\delta_n\|_r \leq C_r t_n^{-5r_0/3} \|y\|_{3r-r_0}^{1/3} \|y\|_{r_1}^{2/3}$$

by interpolation. This proves the convergence of (x_n) to a limit x . A similar estimate is obtained from $\|e_n\|_r$, which proves that (e_n) converge to 0 in F . As f is continuous, this proves that $f(x) = y$. \square

We have thus constructed a map $g : B^* \rightarrow B$ which satisfies $f \circ g(y) = y$ for $y \in B^*$.

It remains to prove that $g \circ f(x) = x$ for x close to 0, that g is continuous and tame, and that g is Gateaux differentiable with $Dg(y, v) = L(g(y), v) \dots$ This is left to the reader.