## **Mechanics of Morphogenesis**



Lecture 4: Adhesion as an active, dissipative and regulated process

#### Thomas Lecuit chaire: Dynamiques du vivant



#### **Molecular Model of Cadherin-based Adhesion**

I. Extracellular homophobic ligation Physiol Rev 92:

Physiol Rev 92: 597–634, 2012 Hirano & Takeichi



Hirokawa N. and Heuser J.E. J. Cell Biol (1981) 91:399



#### Molecular Model of Cadherin-based Adhesion: Summary

#### Conclusions

- Cell-cell adhesion energy cannot be straightforwardly extrapolated from single molecule Cadherin interaction energy.
- Other features than extracellular Cadherin/Cadherin interaction kinetics and binding energy are required to account for cell sorting behaviour.
- Low affinity of single molecule Cadherin homodimerisation: role of molecule organisation in clusters?
- Interaction with F-actin affects diffusivity of Cadherins: impact on clustering?
- Interaction with F-actin accounts for cell-cell force separation and cell sorting: integration of intra-/extra-cellular coupling.



• Cadherin based Adhesion most likely evolved in 3 parallel steps:

I. Emergence of Cadherins involved in sensing external environment and signalling in organisms with facultative multicellularity (LCA to Metazoa and Choanoflafelates ?).

2. Emergence of Catenins and actin coupling involved in cellcell interactions and epithelial organisation (possibly in LCA to slime molds, Metazoa, choanos etc ?)

3. Functional coupling of Catenins and Cadherins (LCA to Sponges and Bilateria ?)





## Adhesion in multicellular organisms

I. Affinity and Adhesion: a specificity problem

2. Adhesion: a thermodynamic model

3. The molecular framework of adhesion

4. Evolutionary origin of adhesion mechanisms

#### 5. Adhesion as an active mechanism

- 4.1. Clustering
- 4.2. Mechanosensation Mechano-transduction

6. Adhesion and dissipation



#### I.Active partitioning of Cadherin in finite sized clusters



#### Observed for all Cadherins



Yap A. et al Dev. Cell, 35: 12-.20 2015.

I. Cadherin clusters are out-of-equilibrium dynamic structures

-Thermodynamics predict complete phase separation of Cadherin 2D « molecular sorting »

-Active processes must constantly seed, break and limit the growth of E-cadherin clusters





#### I. Cadherin forms « puncta »



Adams et al JCB, 1998



As cell contacts form, E-cadherin::GFP forms small sized clusters (few 10s of minutes in vertebrate MDCK cells)



#### I. Cadherin forms « puncta »



Adams et al J. Cell Biol., 1998. WJ Nelson



I. Cadherin puncta are homophilic clusters



Wu Y. et al Dev. Cell, 32: 139-154. 2015.

Thomas LECI

COLLÈGE DE FRANCE



#### I. Cadherin puncta are homophilic clusters

Compositional turnover using Fluorescent Recovery After Photobleaching (FRAP)





I. Cadherin clusters are out-of-equilibrium dynamic structures



- Analysis of E-cadherin clustering using PALM: Photoactivation localisation microcopy
- E-cadherin forms nano-clusters: defined according to proximity (below resolution ~30nm)
- Power-law distribution of E-cadherin clusters



## I. Cadherin clusters are out-of-equilibrium dynamic structures



• The distribution of E-cadherin nano-clusters is set by density of molecules at cell junctions (but not by the age of the junction).



#### I. Endocytosis influences cluster size





#### I.Actin coupling influences cluster size





## I. Impact of Cis- and Trans binding in cluster size



- Sub-regions (30x30 nm) of crystal like high density form and require cis- and trans-interactions
- However, clusters form independent of cis- and trans-interactions



Wu Y. et al *Dev. Cell*, 32: 139-154. 2015.

I.Actin corrals around E-cadherin cis- and trans- clusters





#### cis- clusters

trans- clusters





Wu Y. et al Dev. Cell, 32: 139-154. 2015.



I.Actin restricts the size and increases the density of clusters





- Clusters form independent of F-actin
- The size of clusters is limited by F-actin corrals
- The density increases in small clusters

Wu Y. et al Dev. Cell, 32: 139-154. 2015.



#### 60 nm Cell 2 Cytoplasm (2)

Cadherin

nanoclusters

Cell 1

60 nm



Adhesion as an active, regulated system

Extracellular

Cytoplasm

Cytoplasm (1)

Extracellular



Yap A. et al Dev. Cell, 35: 12-.20 2015.

#### I. Current Working Model Cadherin clustering

- Formation of cis- non adhesive clusters are corralled by actin
- Actin corrals may be diffusion traps facilitating trans-cluster formation (Wu et al Nature 2011) (Sako et al ICB 1998)
- Cis-/trans-interaction and F-actin cooperate to form high density clusters (Truong Quang et al CB 2013, Wu et al DevCell 2015)
- F-actin stabilises E-cadherin clusters: compositional turnover
- F-actin immobilises E-cadherin clusters at cell junctions (Cavey et al, Nature 2008)



#### I. Conclusions

#### • Cadherins form small aggregates

This is a general property of all cadherins, found in all organisms

#### Mechanisms of cluster formation

- ligation of ectodomains: cis and trans and diffusion trap (Honig, Shapiro, Troyanovksy, Zaidel-Bar)
- actin interaction (Kusumi, Nelson Dufour, Lecuit, Lenne, Yap, Troyanovsky, Zaidel-Bar etc)
- endocytosis:
  - clustering induces endocytosis (Levayer et al 2013)
  - endocytosis affects clustering (Truong Quang et al 2013)
- lipid interactions: in mammals.



2. Cadherin, mechano-sensing and -transduction

# Function of clusters strengthen adhesion: local on/off association. regionalise tension transmission at cortex signalling: local actin organisation for instance.

• E-cadherin as local mechanical « integrators »



2. Cadherin, mechano-sensing and -transduction



- Mechano-sensation
- Mechano-transduction



#### 2. Questioning E-cadherin mechanical coupling to actin?

## Deconstructing the Cadherin-Catenin-Actin Complex

Soichiro Yamada,<sup>1,3</sup> Sabine Pokutta,<sup>1,2,3</sup> Frauke Drees,<sup>1,3</sup> William I. Weis,<sup>1,2,\*</sup> and W. James Nelson<sup>1,\*</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Molecular and Cellular Physiology <sup>2</sup>Department of Structural Biology Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

Cell 123, 889-901, December 2, 2005

The E-cadherin/β-catenin/a-catenin/F-actin complex cannot be identified biochemically in bulk or at membrane surfaces.

The interaction between all components are much more dynamic than anticipated.

#### BUT let's consider that:

- I. Clusters of E-cadherin may allow high affinity interaction while monomers diffuse without interacting with F-actin
- 2. Interaction among adhesive complex components may be force dependent: e.g. catch-bond.



Thomas LECUIT 2017-2018

#### 2. Coupling to F-actin: cluster immobilisation at junctions

Though single molecules diffuse at the membrane E-cadherin clusters are immobilised by actin filaments at the cortex.







Matthieu Cavey<sup>1</sup>, Matteo Rauzi<sup>1,2</sup>, Pierre-François Lenne<sup>2</sup> & Thomas Lecuit<sup>1</sup> *Nature*. 453:751. 2008

#### 2. Coupling to F-actin: clusters are tethered to cortical actin

Actomyosin relaxation following laser nano-ablation

E-cadherin cluster redistribution requires F-actin and a-catenin







Thomas LECUIT 2017-2018

Matthieu Cavey<sup>1</sup>, Matteo Rauzi<sup>1,2</sup>, Pierre-François Lenne<sup>2</sup> & Thomas Lecuit<sup>1</sup> *Nature*. 453:751. 2008

#### 2. Coupling to F-actin: Actin driven flow

E-cadherin clusters are advected by actin flows



VE-Cadherin::GFP





VE-Cadherin::GFP







#### 2. a-Catenin is mechanosensitive

E-cadherin Myosin II-B Merge Non-Muscle Myosin-II (NMII) is a present at cell junctions Vinculin recruitment requires a-catenin binding to F-actin Vinculin recruitment requires NMII α-Catenin Vinculin E-Cadherin Auto-inhibitory domain VH2 VH1 Domain1 Domain2 VH3 Adhesion modulation domain 510 633 697 848 906 Full lenath β-Catenin Vinculin α18 Inhibitory domain F-actin Myosin-II inhibitor Myosin-II inhibitor How Walk month Vinculir Yonemura et al, Nature Cell Biology, 12:533. 2010



#### 2. a-Catenin is mechanosensitive



#### 2. a-Catenin is mechanosensitive

Fluorescence Recovery after Photobleaching (FRAP):

- Probe recovery dynamics of a-catenin at lateral cell surfaces and cell junctions
- The return of fluorescence is lower at junctions: a-catenin is more stably bound
- This stabilisation requires Myosin-II based tension
- Deletion of auto-inhibitory domain enhances protein stabilisation





Yonemura et al, Nature Cell Biology, 12:533. 2010

#### 2. E-cadherin is under molecular tension

Borghi N. et al Nelson WJ. and Dunn A., PNAS, 109:12568. 2012

Α

В

FRET-based sensor of molecular tension in the intracellular domain of E-cadherin



Grashoff C. et al., Schwartz M., Nature, 466:263. 2010

Force-FRET curve of the TS-Mod: based on the elastic properties of the spider silk domain.

Thomas LECUIT 2017-2018

I-6pN force sensitivity



#### 2. E-cadherin is under molecular tension

Probing internal stress on E-cadherin:

- free membrane E-cadherin under tension
- depends on Actin and Myosin-II









Probing external stress on E-cadherin:

- cell stretching increases tension on E-cadherin

Thomas LECUIT 2017-2018





Borghi N. et al Nelson WJ. and Dunn A., PNAS, 109:12568. 2012

#### 2. Force dependency of binding to F-actin

#### The minimal cadherin-catenin complex binds to actin filaments under force

Craig D. Buckley,  $l_{k}^{1}$  Jiongyi Tan,  ${}^{2*}$  Karen L. Anderson,  ${}^{3}$  Dorit Hanein,  ${}^{3}$  Niels Volkmann,  ${}^{3}$  William I. Weis,  ${}^{2,4,5}$  W. James Nelson,  ${}^{5,6}$  Alexander R. Dunn ${}^{1,2,7}$ 

SCIENCE 31 OCTOBER 2014 • VOL 346 ISSUE 6209



F platform trap 1 trap 2

Binding is more frequent at intermediate than low displacement speed

Binding of a-cat to F-actin causes a restoring force on trap Force is measured based on trap stiffness and displacement A  $B_{20}$ ,  $C_{200}$ 





Thomas LECUIT 2017-2018

#### 2. Force dependency of binding to F-actin





2017-2018

Buckley CD et al Nelson WJ. and Dunn A., Science, 346:6209. 2014

#### 2. Force dependency of binding to F-actin

#### Falsification of a one bound state model A two bound state model fits the lifetime distribution at 3 force bins





Buckley CD et al Nelson WJ. and Dunn A., Science, 346:6209. 2014

## 2. Force dependency of binding to F-actin

Bell's equation:  $k_{ij}(F) = k_{ij}^0 \exp(Fx_{ij}/k_BT)$  Bell GI. Science 200:618. 1978  $k_{ij}$ : rate of transition from i to j under force F  $x_{ij}$ : distance to transition state

- slip-bond model: exponential increase of dissociation rate of bond under force F
- catch-bond model: exponential decrease of dissociation rate of bond under force F

 $k_{ij}(F) = k_{ij}^0 \exp(-Fx_{ij}/k_BT)$ 



#### 2. Mechanotransduction by Cadherins



• E-cadherin clusters form a ring stabilised by cellular contractility



Cell deformation correlate with anistropic distribution of E-cadherin clusters



• A gradient of contractility associated with E-cadherin anisotropy



Thomas LECUIT 2017-2018

Engl W. Yap LL., Thiery JP. and Viasnoff V., Nature Cell Biol, 16:584. 2014



Increment of E-cad intensity proportional to increment of F-actin turnover time

E-cadherin immobilisation correlates with reduced F-actin turnover  $\Delta IP/IP_{dim} = (2.0 \pm 0.3) \Delta \tau / \tau_{dim}$ 



Thomas LECUIT 2017-2018 Engl W. Yap LL., Thiery JP. and Viasnoff V., *Nature Cell Biol*, 16:584. 2014



COLLÈGE DE FRANCE Thomas LECUIT 2017-2018

Engl W. Yap LL., Thiery JP. and Viasnoff V., Nature Cell Biol, 16:584. 2014

#### 2. Mechanotransduction by Cadherins

• E-cadherin is stabilized by cortical tension via an effect on F-actin turnover





Thomas LECUIT 2017-2018

#### 2. Mechanotransduction by Cadherins

• Suggests a positive Feedback Loop: E-cadherin under load modifies the actin cytoskeleton which in turn stabilises E-cadherin





#### 2. Mechanotransduction by Cadherins: tension feedback loop



Leerberg JM et al Yap, AS., Curr Biol, 24:1689. 2014

• Tension feedback loop involves tension sensing by a-catenin and vinculin, and recruitment of actin elongation factor VASP.





Dynamic interplay between E-cadherin and Actomyosin networks at cell contacts



E-cadherin::GFP Myosin-II::Cherry

Girish Kale



## Adhesion in multicellular organisms

I. Affinity and Adhesion: a specificity problem

2. Adhesion: a thermodynamic model

3. The molecular framework of adhesion

4. Evolutionary origin of adhesion mechanisms

5. Adhesion as an active mechanism
4.1. Clustering
4.2. Mechanosensation - Mechano-transduction

## 6. Adhesion and dissipation



Thomas LECUIT 2017-2018

#### What are the determinants of adhesion strength?

- Strength of Molecular interactions: E-cad-E-cad, E-cad-Factin coupling
  - Number of bonds
  - Strength of bonds: but this depends on how fast one pulls on a chemical bond.
  - Nature of bonds: catch versus slip bonds.
  - Mechanical feedback: vinculin based reinforcement
- Molecular organisation:
  - Clusters enable phase transition between seemingly « free » monomers and clusters. Change effective affinity be few orders of magnitude.
  - Avidity effect: entropic effects vs binding energy.
  - Molecular clutch: strong coupling to F-actin and feedback control of tension on cluster density.

#### However:

- All interactions have relatively low affinity: mM to  $\mu M$
- « Design »: many dynamic, weak bonds.



- Adhesion clusters with actomyosin cortex are viscoelastic aggregates.
  - elastic on short time scale (seconds), but behave like viscous fluids on longer times scales than turnover time.
  - on short time scale, depends chiefly on strength of molecular bonds. But:
    - The faster stress is applied, the greater the force to rupture interactions (Evans).
    - Stress induces molecular strain (a-catenin, Talin, Titin etc) that reinforces molecular interactions.
  - on long time scales (morphogenetic time scales (10 s minutes), energy is dissipated and adhesive interface remains strong.
- Energy dissipation at adhesion clusters: many weak bonds
  - E-cadherin turnover: 20s. Rebinding and bond exchange
  - Actin turnover: <10s. Polymer dissipation
  - Active (motor) and passive cross linkers turnover: 5-20s



# All component of the Adhesion complexes undergo extensive and rapid turnover





1.0

τ<sub>1/2</sub> (min) 50

0.0

0



- Cells have evolved means to control the modes of dissipation at adhesive interfaces to prevent formation/propagation of fractures:
  - orientation: tension or sheer stress
  - length scale.  $l = (\eta/\gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}}$   $\eta$  : viscosity  $\gamma$  : friction
- Cells can actively control the rheology of the adhesive cortex and thereby actively control dissipation:
  - Cortex stiffening: actin polymerisation (ENA/Vasp).
  - Cortex fluidisation: motors (Rhol regulation)
  - E-cadherin stabilisation (via actin?)

2017-2018

- E-cadherin turnover: endocytosis (actin and motor dependent)
- Clusters of E-cadherin: weak interactions (mM range), but many (10<sup>3</sup> 10<sup>4</sup>) within 10-100nm, with rapid rebinding kinetics ensure high local dissipation while maintaining sufficient coupling between cell surfaces



COLLÈGE DE FRANCE 1530 Thomas LECUIT

Mesoscopic shear and traction stresses resulting from external stress and/or local contractility of the o

Yap AS. Duszyc K. and Viasnoff V. Cold Spring Harbor Perspective Biology. Aug. 2017

#### Similarities between cell-cell and cell-substrate adhesion



Bertocchi et al, Nature Cell Biology 2016



Gardel ML. et al, Waterman CM. *Ann Rev of Cell & Dev Biol*, 2010 Case L. and Waterman CM. *Nature Cell Biol*, 2015

#### Similarities between cell-cell and cell-substrate adhesion

- Clustering: discretisation and compartmentation of mechanics
- Actin coupling and force transmission
- Adhesive function and tension transmission function
- Mechano-sensation and transduction: clutch mechanism
- Control of dissipation





#### Similarities between cell-cell and cell-substrate adhesion

#### Cells often exhibit both types of adhesion



Millan J. et al. BMC Biol. 8:11. 2010



- Cadherin based adhesion is an out-of-equilibrium system whereby active processes control the dynamic organisation in clusters.
- Cadherin clusters transmit cortical tension and response to force:
   -cluster organisation: turnover, density
   -molecular coupling: catch bond , strain dependent reinforcement etc
- Energy is constantly dissipated at adhesion sites:

   turnover of all molecular components (~10 seconds)
   many weak bonds (low affinity interactions) concentrated locally
- Viscoelastic properties of adhesion sites underlie organisation/plasticity paradox of tissue dynamics (see Lecture 1).



- Why do multicellular adhesive systems adopt near thermodynamic equilibrium configurations?
  - separation of time scales between molecular and cellular processes (1 to 2 orders of magnitude)?
- What underlies the modes of energy dissipation at adhesive interfaces?
  - spatial modes: xy, xz?
  - time scales of energy dissipation?
  - impact of molecular organisation?
  - mechano-chemical feedbacks?
- What underlies the mechanical adaptation of adhesion sites?
  - time scales of feedbacks.
- What sets tissue mechanical length scale and how is this tuned by the viscoelastic properties of adhesion and the modes of energy dissipation?
  - Does this scale with tissue size? e.g. during tissue growth?



#### Conclusions

#### Next Lecture: 21 November 2017

« Cellular Tension »

