Observations of dynamics in the lowermost mantle

Sanne Cottaar, University of Cambridge Vedran Lekic

> *Collège de France December 2nd 2016*

Daniel Thorpe Barbara Romanowicz

OUTLINE

Clustering analysis of seismic tomographic models in the lower mantle

Agreement with regional higherfrequency waveform studies in the varied morphology of Large Low Shear Velocity Provinces (LLSVPs)

Suggestions of mesoscale slow-velocity features

> Observations of anisotropy at LLSVP boundaries

CLUSTERING ANALYSIS OF PROFILES, '2D'

 Clustering analysis in the upper mantle shows it distinguishes 'tectonic' regions.



Lekic & Romanowicz 2011

- Extend this method to map regions in the lower mantle
- Here information from five models is collated in a vote map.



Kustowski et al., 2008; Ritsema et al., 2011; Mégnin and Romanowicz, 2000; Houser et al., 2008; Simmons et al., 2010

CLUSTERING ANALYSIS OF PROFILES, '2D'

- ► k- means clustering- set to two clusters
- 'distance' is L2-norm between lower mantle shear wave velocity profiles





Lekic et al. 2012

Kustowski et al., 2008; Ritsema et al., 2011; Mégnin and Romanowicz, 2000; Houser et al., 2008; Simmons et al., 2010

CLUSTERING ANALYSIS FOR 3 CLUSTERS

- ► Lowermost mantle represents a dichotomy with strong gradients in between.
- The mid-lower mantle shows strong gradients around both fast and slow anomalies, suggesting a trichotomy.



CLUSTERING ANALYSIS USING SLIDING WI

- We clustered all Vs perturbation profiles using 300 km depth range every 50 km depth
- ►Using 3 clusters.
- ►Including the latest Vs tomographic models:
- HSML-S (Houser et al. 2008)
- GyPSuM (Simmons et al. 2010)
- TX2011 (Grand 2002)
- S40RTS (Ritsema et al. 2011)
- Savani (Auer et al. 2014)
- SEMUCBWMI (French & Romanowicz 2014)
- SPani (Tesoniero et al. 2015)
- ME2016-S (Moulik & Ekstrom 2016)
- SPI2RTS (Koelemeijer et al. 2016)

COLOR SCALE – 3 CLUSTERS – 5 TOMOGRAPHIC MODELS



Cottaar & Lekic 2016

QUALITATIVE INTERPRETATION OF THE CLUSTERS

 The fast velocities in the lowermost mantle are readily interpreted as slabs, and thus associated with compositional variations.
The slower velocities are more difficult to interpret:



Labrosse et al. 2015

- Purely thermal variations
- the introduction/creation, survival, and destruction of chemical heterogeneity



Li and McNamara 2013



VOTE MAPS ACROSS DIFFERENT DEPTHS





VOTE MAPS

 V_S vs. V_P

- HSML-P (Houser et al. 2008)
- GyPSuM (Simmons et al. 2010)
- LLNL_G3Dv3-P (Simmons et al. 2012)
- SPani (Tesoniero et al. 2015)
- ME2016-P (Moulik & Ekstro⁻⁻m 2016)



S velocity vote maps



950 - 1250 km

1350 - 1650 km

1750 - 2050 km









P velocity vote maps











CROSS-SECTIONAL VIEW OF VOTES

Vote map shows variation in slope boundary



CROSS-SECTIONAL VIEW OF VOTES

Clusters are not uniform in their velocity







Ni et al. 2002 Ritsema et al. 1998

Wang & *Wen* 2007



► Pacific anomaly consists of multiple smaller anomalies.



► Pacific anomaly consists of multiple smaller anomalies.

PILES IN THE PACIFIC – VARYING SLOPES



► P wave travel times confirmation of the different suggested slopes.

3D COMPILATION SHOWING SUGGESTED LLSVP MORPHOLOGY

- Total volume of LLSVPs of 8-9 %.
 across models, and ~4% for consensus region.
- Previous estimates are only 1-2% (Wang & Wen 2004, Burke et al. 2008)
- The African LLSVP is roughly 30% larger than the Pacific LLSVP

3/5 votes 5/5 votes Cottaar & Lekic 2016

