

Lower bounds for essential dimensions via orthogonal representations

Vladimir Chernousov *

Department of Mathematics

University of Alberta

Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2G1

Jean–Pierre Serre

Collège de France

3, rue d'Ulm

75231 Paris, Cedex 05

§ 1 Introduction

Let us first recall what is the *essential dimension* of a functor, cf. [?] and [?]. Let k be a field, and let \mathcal{F} be a functor from the category of field extensions of k into the category of sets. Let F/k be an extension and let ξ be an element of $\mathcal{F}(F)$. If E is a field with $k \subset E \subset F$ we say that ξ *comes from* E if it belongs to the image of $\mathcal{F}(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(F)$. The *essential dimension* $\text{ed}(\xi)$ of ξ is the minimum of the transcendence degrees E/k , for all E with $k \subset E \subset F$ such that ξ comes from E . One has $\text{ed}(\xi) \leq \text{tr. deg. } F$. If there is equality, we say that ξ is *incompressible*. The *essential dimension* $\text{ed}(\mathcal{F})$ of \mathcal{F} is

$$\text{ed}(\mathcal{F}) = \max \{\text{ed}(\xi)\},$$

the maximum being taken over all pairs (F, ξ) with $k \subset F$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{F}(F)$.

*Supported by the Canada Research Chairs Program, and by NSERC's Grant G121210944

Along similar lines, the *essential dimension* $\text{ed}(\xi; p)$ of $\xi \in \mathcal{F}(F)$ at a prime number p is

$$\text{ed}(\xi; p) = \min \{\text{ed}(\xi_K)\},$$

where ξ_K is the image of ξ in $\mathcal{F}(K)$, and the minimum is taken over all extensions K/F with $[K : F]$ finite and prime to p . The *essential dimension of \mathcal{F} at p* is

$$\text{ed}(\mathcal{F}; p) = \max \{\text{ed}(\xi; p)\}$$

the maximum being taken over all pairs (F, ξ) with $\xi \in \mathcal{F}(F)$. It is clear that $\text{ed}(\mathcal{F}) \geq \text{ed}(\mathcal{F}; p)$.

We will apply this to the functor \mathcal{F} defined by:

$$\mathcal{F}(F) = H^1(F, G) = \{ \text{isomorphism classes of } G\text{-torsors over } F \},$$

where G is a smooth linear algebraic group over k . The *essential dimension* $\text{ed}(G)$ of G (resp. the *essential dimension* $\text{ed}(G; p)$ at p) is $\text{ed}(\mathcal{F})$ (resp. $\text{ed}(\mathcal{F}; p)$). If ξ is a versal G -torsor, in the sense of [?], p.13, one has

$$\text{ed}(G) = \text{ed}(\xi) \text{ and } \text{ed}(G; p) = \text{ed}(\xi; p).$$

In case we feel the need to be precise about F , we write ed_F instead of just ed .

If $\text{char}(k) = 0$, Reichstein and Youssin have given a very efficient lower bound for $\text{ed}(G; p)$, namely:

If G is connected and contains a finite abelian p -group A whose centralizer is finite, then one has $\text{ed}(G; p) \geq \text{rk}(A)$, where $\text{rk}(A)$ denotes the minimum number of generators of A ([?], th. 7.8).

The proof of Reichstein-Youssin uses resolution of singularities, hence does not apply (for the time being) when $\text{char}(k) > 0$. What we do in the present paper is to prove most of their results relative to $p = 2$ in arbitrary characteristic (except¹ characteristic 2) by using orthogonal groups and quadratic forms (especially "monomial" quadratic forms, cf. ??). For instance :

(1.1) *If G is semisimple of adjoint type, and -1 belongs to the Weyl group, then*

$$\text{ed}(G; 2) \geq \text{rank}(G) + 1.$$

¹It seems likely that a similar method can also be applied in characteristic 2, but we have not checked all the necessary steps.

This is the case $G = G^\circ$ of th. ?? of ?. Note that it implies

$$\text{ed}(E_8; 2) \geq 9 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ed}(E_7; 2) \geq 8.$$

(1.2) $\text{ed}(\mathbf{Spin}_n; 2) \geq [n/2]$ for $n > 6$, $n \neq 10$, the inequality being strict if $n \equiv -1, 0$ or $1 \pmod{8}$, cf. th. ?? and th. ??.

(1.3) $\text{ed}(\mathbf{HSpin}_n; 2) > n/2$ if $n \geq 8$, $n \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, cf. th. 13.

Of course, these results give lower bounds for $\text{ed}(G)$ itself, for instance $\text{ed}(E_8) \geq 9$.

§ 2 The Main Theorem

In what follows, we assume $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ and k algebraically closed.

Let G° be a simple algebraic group over k of adjoint type, and let T be a maximal torus of G° . Let $c \in \text{Aut}(G^\circ)$ be such that $c^2 = 1$ and $c(t) = t^{-1}$ for every $t \in T$ (it is known that such an automorphism exists, see e.g. [?], Exp. XXIV, Prop. 3.16.2, p. 355). This automorphism is inner (i.e. belongs to G°) if and only if -1 belongs to the Weyl group of (G, T) . When this is the case, we put $G = G^\circ$. If not, we define G to be the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(G^\circ)$ generated by G° and c . We have

- $G = G^\circ$ for types A_1, B_r, C_r, D_r (r even), G_2, F_4, E_7, E_8 ;
- $(G : G^\circ) = 2$ and $G = \text{Aut}(G^\circ)$ for types A_r ($r \geq 2$), D_r (r odd), E_6 .

Let $r = \dim(T)$ be the rank of G .

Theorem 1 *If G is as above, we have $\text{ed}(G; 2) \geq r + 1$.*

The proof of Theorem ?? consists in:

- a) construction of a G -torsor θ_G over a suitable extension K/k with $\text{tr.deg}_k(K) = r + 1$, see below;
- b) proof that the image of θ_G in a suitable $H^1(K, \mathbf{O}_N)$ (cf. ??) is incompressible (§??–6); this implies that θ_G itself is incompressible, and th. ?? follows.

Let us start with part a). Let R be the root system of G with respect to T , and let R_{sh} be the (sub) root system formed by the short roots of R .

Let $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ be a basis of R_{sh} . The root lattices of R and R_{sh} are the same; hence Δ is a basis of the character group $X(T)$. This allows us to identify T with $\mathbf{G}_m \times \dots \times \mathbf{G}_m$ using the basis Δ .

Call A_0 the kernel of “multiplication by 2” on T . Let $A = A_0 \times \{1, c\}$ be the subgroup of G generated by A_0 and by the element c defined above. The group A is isomorphic to $(\pm 1)^{r+1}$.

Take $K = k(t_1, \dots, t_r, u)$ where t_1, \dots, t_r and u are independent indeterminates. We have $H^1(K, A) = H^1(K, \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}) \times \dots \times H^1(K, \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$. Identify $H^1(K, \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$ with $K^\times/(K^\times)^2$ as usual. Then u and the t_i ’s define elements (u) and (t_i) of $H^1(K, \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$. Let θ_A be the element of $H^1(K, A)$ with components $((t_1), \dots, (t_r), (u))$. Let θ_G be the image of θ_A in $H^1(K, G)$. We will prove in ??:

Theorem 2 (K, θ_G) is incompressible, and remains so after any field extension of K of odd degree.

Note that Theorem ?? implies Theorem ?? since $\text{tr. deg. } K = r + 1$.

Remarks. (i) It would not be useful to take A_0 instead of A . Indeed, A_0 is a subgroup of T and $H^1(K, T) = 1$ by Hilbert Theorem 90. Hence the image in $H^1(K, G)$ of any element of $H^1(K, A_0)$ is trivial. In particular, the class θ_G defined above is killed by the quadratic extension $K(\sqrt{u})/K$.

(ii) Suppose that $G = G^\circ$, i.e. that c belongs to G° . The subgroup A constructed above is the same as the one described in [?], p.139, for compact Lie groups. It is also the same one (with the same θ_G) as in Reichstein-Youssin theory [?].

§ 3 An orthogonal representation

Proposition 3 There exists a quadratic space (V, q) over K , and an orthogonal irreducible linear representation

$$\rho : G \longrightarrow \mathbf{O}(V, q)$$

with the following property:

(*) the nonzero weights of T on V are the short roots and they have multiplicity 1.

Proof. Let B be a Borel subgroup containing T . This defines an order on the root system R . Let β be the highest root of R_{sh} with respect to that order. It is a dominant weight. We choose for V an irreducible representation $L(\beta)$ of G° with highest weight β . By a well-known criterion ([?], Lemmas 78, 79, p. 226), $L(\beta)$ is an orthogonal representation of G° . Since $R_{sh} \cup \{0\}$ is R -saturated in the sense of [?], VIII. § 7.2, the nonzero weights of $L(\beta)$ belong to R_{sh} , hence are conjugate to β by the Weyl group. This implies that they have multiplicity 1, so that $(*)$ is fulfilled.

It remains to show that this orthogonal representation of G° extends to an orthogonal representation of $\text{Aut}(G^\circ)$, and hence of G . This can be done in the following way:

If $\text{Aut}(G^\circ) = G^\circ$, there is nothing to prove.

If $\text{Aut}(G^\circ) \neq G^\circ$, the roots have the same length, so that β is the highest root of R , and $V = L(\beta)$ is essentially the adjoint representation of G° . More precisely, if \tilde{G}° denotes the universal covering of G° , one can take for V the image of $\text{Lie}(\tilde{G}^\circ)$ in $\text{Lie}(G^\circ)$, with the obvious action of $\text{Aut}(G^\circ)$. One puts on V the "normalized Killing form" $q(x, y)$. That form is defined first over \mathbf{Z} , in which case it is equal to $\text{Tr}(\text{ad}(x) \cdot \text{ad}(y))/2h$ where h is the Coxeter number (see [?], [?], [?]); it is then defined by base change for every simple group scheme, and the computation of its discriminant done in the references above shows that it is nondegenerate. \square

Examples. a) When the roots of R have the same length, we have $V = \text{Lie}(G^\circ)$, except for :

- type A_n when p divides $n + 1$;
- type E_6 when $p = 3$.

In both cases, V has codimension 1 in $\text{Lie } G^\circ$.

b) When the roots have different length, then:

- If G is of type G_2 , then $V = L(\omega_1)$, where ω_1 is the first fundamental weight (in Bourbaki's notation); its dimension is 7.
- If G is of type F_4 , then $V = L(\omega_4)$; its dimension is 26 if $p \neq 3$ and 25 if $p = 3$.
- If G is of type B_r , $r > 1$, then $V = L(\omega_1)$ is the standard representation of $G = \mathbf{SO}_{2r+1}$ of dimension $2r + 1$.

- If G is of type C_r , $r > 1$, then $V = L(\omega_2)$. When $p \nmid r$, one has $V \oplus 1 = \bigwedge^2(V_1)$ where $V_1 = L(\omega_1)$ is the standard representation of $\tilde{G} = \mathbf{Sp}_{2r}$; one has $\dim V = 2r^2 - r - 1$. When $p \mid r$, V is a subquotient of $\bigwedge^2(V_1)$ of dimension $2r^2 - r - 2$.

§ 4 Monomial quadratic forms

Consider the following general situation. Let A be an abelian group of type $(2, \dots, 2)$ and rank s and let $\lambda : A \rightarrow \mathbf{O}(V, q)$ be an orthogonal representation of A . As above, take $K = k(t_1, \dots, t_s)$, where t_1, \dots, t_s are independent indeterminates, and define $\theta_A \in H^1(K, A)$ as in ???. Let $\theta_O = \lambda(\theta_A)$ be the image of θ_A in $H^1(K, \mathbf{O}(V, q))$. Let $X(A) = \text{Hom}(A, \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$ be the character group of A . Let X_λ be the subset of $X(A)$ made up of the characters whose multiplicity in λ is odd.

Theorem 4 *The integers $\text{ed}(\theta_O)$ and $\text{ed}(\theta_O; 2)$ are both equal to the rank r_λ of the subgroup of $X(A)$ generated by X_λ .*

Note that $\theta_O \in H^1(K, \mathbf{O}(V, q))$ may be interpreted as a quadratic form (namely, the twist of q by θ_O); we will denote this form by q_O ; it is well defined up to K -isomorphism. To prove Theorem ??, we first need to compute explicitly q_O .

Computation of q_O

If $\alpha \in X(A)$, let V_α be the corresponding weight subspace of V . We have an orthogonal decomposition $V = \bigoplus_\alpha V_\alpha$; put $m_\alpha = \dim V_\alpha$.

Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s$ be the canonical basis of $X(A)$ corresponding to the projections $A = \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} \times \dots \times \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$. Any element $a \in A$ acts by multiplication by $\alpha(a)$ on V_α . Hence twisting $q|_{V_\alpha}$ by θ_O we obtain a quadratic form $\langle t^\alpha, \dots, t^\alpha \rangle$ of dimension m_α , where $t^\alpha = \alpha(t) = t_1^{n_1} \cdots t_s^{n_s} \in K^\times$ for $\alpha = n_1\alpha_1 + \cdots + n_s\alpha_s$. Hence q_O can be written as

$$q_O = \bigoplus m_\alpha \langle t^\alpha \rangle,$$

where $m_\alpha \langle t^\alpha \rangle$ means the direct sum of m_α copies of the 1-dimension form $\langle t^\alpha \rangle$. Note that, because -1 is a square, we have $\langle t^\alpha, t^\alpha \rangle = 0$ in the Witt group $W(K)$, so that the formula can also be written as

$$q_O = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in X_\lambda} \langle t^\alpha \rangle \quad \text{in } W(K),$$

where the sum is over the set X_λ defined above.

Examples. Let $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathbf{O}(V, q)$ be as in Proposition ?? and let λ denote the composition $A \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathbf{O}(V, q)$.

a) If $G = G_2$ and V is as defined in ??, then

$$q_O = \langle u, t_1, ut_1, t_2, ut_2, t_1t_2, ut_1t_2 \rangle = \langle\langle u, t_1, t_2 \rangle\rangle - \langle 1 \rangle,$$

where $\langle\langle u, t_1, t_2 \rangle\rangle$ is the generic 3-Pfister form.

b) Similarly, in the case of $G = F_4$ (and $p \neq 3$), q_O is

$$q_O = q_3 \otimes (q_2 - \langle 1 \rangle) \oplus \langle 1, 1 \rangle,$$

where q_2 (resp. q_3) is a generic 2-Pfister form (resp. 3-Pfister form). When $p = 3$, the term $\langle 1, 1 \rangle$ is replaced by $\langle 1 \rangle$.

c) In case $G = E_8$, q_O can also be computed. One finds:

$$q_O = 8 \langle 1 \rangle \oplus \langle 1, u \rangle \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{(m)} \langle t_1^{m_1} \cdots t_8^{m_8} \rangle \right)$$

where $m = (m_1, \dots, m_8)$ runs through the 120 octuples of 0, 1's such that

$$m_1m_2 + m_3m_4 + m_5m_6 + m_7m_8 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$$

Monomial quadratic forms

A rank n quadratic form $f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ over $K = k(t_1, \dots, t_s)$ is called *monomial* if it is a diagonal form

$$f(X) = \sum a_i X_i^2,$$

with $a_i \in K$ and if each coefficient a_i is a monomial in t_1, \dots, t_s with exponents in \mathbf{Z} (“Laurent monomial”). As usual, we write such a form as $f = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$.

Examples. a) The generic 2-Pfister form $\langle 1, t_1, t_2, t_1t_2 \rangle$ is monomial over $k(t_1, t_2)$.

b) The form $q_O = \bigoplus_\alpha \langle t^\alpha \rangle$ defined above is monomial.

Let $f = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ be monomial. After dividing the a_i 's by squares, we may assume that they are "square-free", i.e. for every i and j , the exponent of t_j in the monomial a_i is 0 or 1. We can then write f as:

$$f = \bigoplus m_f(\mu) \langle t^\mu \rangle,$$

where the exponent $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_s)$ belongs to $\{0, 1\}^s = (\mathbf{F}_2)^s$, t^μ means $t_1^{\mu_1} \cdots t_s^{\mu_s}$, and $m_f(\mu)$ is ≥ 0 . We say that f is *multiplicity free* if it is square-free and $m_f(\mu) = 0$ or 1 for every μ .

Proposition 5 *A multiplicity free monomial quadratic form f over $K = k(t_1, \dots, t_s)$ is anisotropic.*

Proof. Let v be the valuation of K with value group \mathbf{Z}^s (with lexicographic order) which is trivial on k and such that

$$v(t_1) = (1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, v(t_s) = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$$

(see [?], Chap. 6, § 10). If f represents 0 we get an equation

$$\sum t^\mu \phi_\mu(t)^2 = 0,$$

where the non-zero terms have different v -valuations (and even different valuations in $\Gamma/2\Gamma$). This is only possible if all the terms are 0.

Alternate proof. use the fact that f is a subform of a generic s -Pfister form, and that such a form is anisotropic, cf. [?], p. 111. \square

Let f be a monomial square-free quadratic form over K , and let X_f be the subset of $(\mathbf{F}_2)^s$ made up of the μ 's such that $m_f(\mu)$ is odd. Let $e = e_f$ be the rank of X_f , i.e. the dimension of the \mathbf{F}_2 -subspace of $(\mathbf{F}_2)^s$ generated by X_f .

Proposition 6 *The integers $\text{ed}(f)$ and $\text{ed}(f; 2)$ are both equal to e .*

Note that for $f = q_O$ the rank of X_{q_O} is obviously equal to that of X_λ , hence Theorem ?? follows from Proposition ??.

Remark. One may wonder whether the equality $\text{ed}(f) = \text{ed}(f; 2)$ remains true for an arbitrary quadratic form f . It is not hard to see that it does if $\text{ed}(f; 2) \leq 2$, but we do not know what happens for larger values of $\text{ed}(f; 2)$.

§ 5 Proof of Proposition ??

We use induction on the number s of the indeterminates t_1, \dots, t_s , the case $s = 0$ being obvious. Since -1 is a square in k , each pair $\langle t^\mu, t^\mu \rangle$ is hyperbolic, and can be replaced by $\langle 1, -1 \rangle = \langle 1, 1 \rangle$. Hence every monomial quadratic form f can be written as $f = \langle 1, \dots, 1 \rangle \oplus q$, with q multiplicity free. Since $X_f = X_q$ or $X_q \cup \{0\}$, we have $e = \text{rank}(X_f) = \text{rank}(X_q)$.

We now make a further reduction on f . In order to state it, let us say that q is *e-reduced* if the set X_q contains the first e basic vectors

$$x_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0); \quad x_2 = (0, 1, \dots, 0); \quad \dots; \quad x_e = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0).$$

This amounts to saying that

$$q = \langle t_1, \dots, t_e, a_{e+1}, \dots, a_n \rangle,$$

where the a_i , for $i > e$, are pairwise distinct square-free monomials in t_1, \dots, t_e of total degree $\neq 1$.

Lemma 7 *There is an automorphism of the extension K/k which transforms q into an *e*-reduced form.*

Proof. Note that $\mathbf{GL}_s(\mathbf{Z})$ acts in a natural way on the set of monomials with exponents in \mathbf{Z} . This gives a natural embedding of $\mathbf{GL}_s(\mathbf{Z})$ into $\text{Aut}(K/k)$. Moreover, the natural map

$$\mathbf{GL}_s(\mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{GL}_s(\mathbf{F}_2)$$

is surjective, since $\mathbf{GL}_s(\mathbf{F}_2) = \mathbf{SL}_s(\mathbf{F}_2)$ and

$$\mathbf{SL}_s(\mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbf{SL}_s(\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z})$$

is well known to be surjective for any m . By the very definition of e , the set X_q contains e elements z_1, \dots, z_e which are linearly independent over \mathbf{F}_2 . Hence there exists $\phi \in \mathbf{GL}_s(\mathbf{Z}) \subset \text{Aut}(K/k)$ whose reduction mod 2 transforms the z_i into the first e basic vectors x_1, \dots, x_e . It is clear that $\phi(q)$ is *e*-reduced. \square

We now use the “residue operators” of the local theory of quadratic forms (see e.g. [?], Chap. VI, § 1.5). Recall that, if v is a discrete valuation of a

field K , with residue field \tilde{K} , one may write any quadratic form q over K in the form

$$q = \langle u_1, \dots, u_m, \pi u_{m+1}, \dots, \pi u_n \rangle,$$

where the u 's are units, π is a uniformizing element and m is an integer with $0 \leq m \leq n$. One defines the first residue $\partial_1(q)$ of q as the class in the Witt group $W(\tilde{K})$ of the quadratic form $\langle \tilde{u}_1, \dots, \tilde{u}_m \rangle$, where \tilde{u}_i denotes the image of u_i in \tilde{K} ; similarly, the second residue $\partial_2(q)$ of q is the class in $W(\tilde{K})$ of $\langle \tilde{u}_{m+1}, \dots, \tilde{u}_n \rangle$. It is known (*loc. cit.*) that the class of $\partial_1(q)$ does not depend on the choice of π , nor on the choice of the diagonalization of q ; as for the class of $\partial_2(q)$, it is only defined up to similarity (i.e. up to multiplication by a 1-dimensional quadratic form).

Proposition 8 *Let v be a discrete valuation on an extension L of k trivial on k , let \tilde{L} be its residue field, and let ϕ be a quadratic form over L . Let e be a positive integer. Assume:*

- a) $\partial_2(\phi) \neq 0$ in $W(\tilde{L})$.
- b) $\text{ed}_{\tilde{L}}(\psi; 2) \geq e - 1$ for every quadratic form ψ over \tilde{L} belonging to the Witt class of $\partial_1(\phi)$.

Then $\text{ed}_L(\phi; 2) \geq e$.

(Both ed's are relative to k , viewed as a subfield of L and of \tilde{L} .)

Proposition ?? implies Proposition ??

We apply induction on e . The case $e = 0$ or 1 is trivial. Let us assume $e > 1$. We may suppose that f is of the form $f = \langle 1, \dots, 1 \rangle \oplus q$, where q is e -reduced and multiplicity free. Since the exponents μ appearing in X_f are sums of the x_i ($1 \leq i \leq e$), the t^μ appearing in q belong to the subfield $k(t_1, \dots, t_e)$ of K . This shows that $\text{ed}(f) \leq e$.

It remains to show that $\text{ed}(f; 2) \geq e$. To do so, consider the valuation v on K associated to the indeterminate t_1 . Such a valuation is characterized by the properties:

$$\begin{aligned} v(t_1) &= 1; \\ v(x) &= 0 \quad \text{if } x \in k(t_2, \dots, t_s)^\times. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have $\tilde{K} = k(t_2, \dots, t_s)$.

Let us write f as $f = \phi \oplus \langle t_1 \rangle \otimes \phi'$, where ϕ, ϕ' are monomial quadratic forms over $k(t_2, \dots, t_s)$. The second residue of f with respect to v is given by $\partial_2(f) = \partial_2(q) = \phi'$. Since q is multiplicity free, so is ϕ' . It is clear that $\phi' \neq 0$, and hence ϕ' is anisotropic, by Proposition ???. Since the Witt class of ϕ' is $\partial_2(f)$, we have checked condition a) of Proposition ??.

Let us look at condition b). Of course ϕ is a representative of $\partial_1(f)$. Moreover, it is clear that ϕ can be written as $\phi = m\langle 1, 1 \rangle \oplus \psi$, where m is an integer ≥ 0 and ψ is a multiplicity free $(e - 1)$ -reduced monomial quadratic form over \tilde{K} , hence is anisotropic, by Proposition ???. Since $\langle 1, 1 \rangle = \langle 1, -1 \rangle$, this shows that any quadratic form ψ' over \tilde{K} which belongs to the Witt class $\partial_1(f)$ is isomorphic to $m'\langle 1, 1 \rangle \oplus \psi$, hence is $(e - 1)$ -reduced. We may thus apply the induction assumption to ψ' , and deduce that $\text{ed}_{\tilde{K}}(\psi'; 2) \geq e - 1$. By Proposition ??, we get $\text{ed}_K(f; 2) \geq e$, as required.

Proof of Proposition ??

Let L' be an odd-degree extension of L , and let F be a subfield of L' , containing k , and such that ϕ is L' -isomorphic to a quadratic form ϕ_F over F . We have to show that $\text{tr. deg}_k(F) \geq e$. We distinguish two cases:

i) *The case $L' = L$.* Let w be the restriction of v to the subfield F . There are three possibilities:

i₁) w is trivial on F (i.e. $v(x) = 0$ for every $x \in F^\times$). In that case, the coefficients of ϕ_F are v -units, and this implies that $\partial_2(\phi) = 0$, which we assumed is not true.

i₂) The value group $v(F^\times)$ is a subgroup of even index of $v(L^\times) = \mathbf{Z}$. The same argument as for i₁) shows that $\partial_2(\phi) = 0$.

i₃) The index of $v(F^\times)$ in $v(L^\times)$ is odd. In that case, $\partial_1(\phi) \in W(\tilde{L})$ is the image of $\partial_1(\phi_F) \in W(\tilde{F})$ under the natural map $W(\tilde{F}) \rightarrow W(\tilde{L})$. Here \tilde{F} is the residue field of F with respect to w . Choose any representative $\psi_{\tilde{F}}$ of $\partial_1(\phi_F)$; it gives a representative $\psi_{\tilde{L}}$ of $\partial_1(\phi)$, hence we have

$$\text{ed}_{\tilde{F}}(\psi_{\tilde{F}}; 2) \geq \text{ed}_{\tilde{L}}(\psi_{\tilde{L}}; 2) \geq e - 1$$

by hypothesis b). This implies that $\text{tr. deg}_k(\tilde{F}) \geq e - 1$, hence $\text{tr. deg}_k(F) \geq e$ by a standard result of valuation theory, cf. [?], Chap. 6, § 10, no. 3.

ii) *The general case.* Let S be the set of extensions w of v to L' . For each $w \in S$, let $e(w/v)$ and $f(w/v)$ be the ramification index and the residue degree of w with respect to v .

Lemma 9 *There exists $w \in S$ such that both $e(w/v)$ and $f(w/v)$ are odd.*

Proof. By dévissage, it is enough to prove this in the following two cases.

a) The extension L'/L is separable. In that case, we have the standard formula (cf. [?], Chap. 6, §8, no. 5)

$$\sum_{w \in S} e(w/v)f(w/v) = [L' : L].$$

Since $[L' : L]$ is odd, there is at least one $w \in S$ such that $e(w/v)f(w/v)$ is odd.

b) We have $\text{char}(L) = p > 0$ and L'/L is purely inseparable. In that case, S is reduced to one element w , and one checks that $e(w/v)$ and $f(w/v)$ are powers of p , hence are odd. \square

End of proof of ii). Select w as in Lemma ???. We are going to apply case i) to (L', ϕ, w) . Note first that the w -residues of ϕ are the images of its v -residues by the base change $\tilde{L} \rightarrow \tilde{L}'$. Since $[\tilde{L}' : \tilde{L}]$ is odd, the map $W(\tilde{L}) \rightarrow W(\tilde{L}')$ is injective. This shows that $\partial_2(\phi) \neq 0$ in $W(\tilde{L}')$, so that condition a) is satisfied by (L', ϕ, w) .

It remains to check condition b). Let ψ_0 be the unique anisotropic representative of $\partial_1(\phi)$; by a classical theorem of Springer (cf. [?], p.198), it remains anisotropic in \tilde{L}' . Hence the representatives ψ of $\partial_1(\phi)$ over \tilde{L}' are the sums of ψ_0 and some hyperbolic forms; in particular they come from \tilde{L} . Since an odd degree extension does not change $\text{ed}(\ ; 2)$ we have $\text{ed}_{\tilde{L}'}(\psi; 2) \geq e - 1$. We have thus checked conditions a) and b) over L' , and we may apply part i) of the proof.

This concludes the proof of Proposition ?? and hence of Proposition ?? and of Theorem ???. \square

Remark. Let K/k be a field extension, with k algebraically closed. Let q and q' be quadratic forms over K which belong to the same Witt class. Is it true that $\text{ed}(q) = \text{ed}(q')$ and $\text{ed}(q; 2) = \text{ed}(q'; 2)$? It is so when $K = k(t_1, \dots, t_e)$ and one of the forms q or q' is monomial. We do not know what happens in general.

§ 6 Proof of Theorem ??

Let $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathbf{O}(V, q)$ be as in Proposition ??, and let $\theta_O = \rho(\theta_G)$ be the image of θ_G in $H^1(K, \mathbf{O}(V, q))$. If ρ_A denotes the composition $A \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathbf{O}(V, q)$,

we have $\theta_O = \rho_A(\theta_A)$. By Theorem ??, it suffices to show that the rank of $\langle X_{\rho_A} \rangle$ is $r + 1$. We need the following.

Lemma 10 *Let R be an irreducible root system, and R_{sh} the set of short roots. Let $Q(R)$ be the root lattice of R . If α and β are elements of R_{sh} , we have:*

$$\alpha = \beta \pmod{2Q(R)} \iff \alpha = \pm\beta.$$

Proof. This can be checked by inspection of all possible root systems. \square

Let us compute the weights of ρ_A and their multiplicities. For a short root $\alpha \in R_{sh}^+$ we denote by V_α the corresponding weight subspace of V for T . By construction, $\dim V_\alpha = 1$ and we have an orthogonal decomposition

$$V = V_0 \oplus \left\{ \bigoplus_{\alpha} (V_\alpha \oplus V_{-\alpha}) \right\},$$

where the sum is taken over all positive short roots.

Any element $a \in A^\circ$ acts by multiplication by $\alpha(a)$ on $W_\alpha = V_\alpha \oplus V_{-\alpha}$, and acts trivially on V_0 . The automorphism c of ?? preserves V_0 and permutes V_α and $V_{-\alpha}$. Since k is algebraically closed, there is a basis $\{u_\alpha, v_\alpha\}$ of W_α such that $c(u_\alpha) = u_\alpha$, and $c(v_\alpha) = -v_\alpha$. It follows that the weight subspaces for ρ_A belonging to $\bigoplus_{\alpha} (V_\alpha \oplus V_{-\alpha})$ correspond to characters $\alpha \in R_{sh}^+$ and $\alpha\gamma$, where $\gamma \in X(A) = \text{Hom}(A, \pm 1)$ is given by $A^\circ \mapsto 1$ and $c \mapsto -1$. Furthermore, all these weights of ρ_A have multiplicity 1, by Lemma ???. Depending on the action of c on V_0 the set X_{ρ_A} may contain additionally 0 and γ . In all cases the rank of $\langle X_{\rho_A} \rangle$ is $r + 1$, as required. \square

§ 7 Spin groups

We keep the notation of the previous §§. In particular, the ground field k is algebraically closed of characteristic $\neq 2$. If $n > 2$, we denote by \mathbf{Spin}_n the universal covering of the group \mathbf{SO}_n (relative to the unit quadratic form $\langle 1, \dots, 1 \rangle$). For $n \leq 6$, this group is “special”, which implies that $\text{ed}(\mathbf{Spin}_n) = 0$, cf. [?]. The situation is different for $n > 6$. In order to state it precisely, let us define an integer $e(n)$ by :

$$\begin{aligned} e(10) &= 4; \\ e(n) &= [n/2] = \text{rank } \mathbf{Spin}_n \text{ if } n > 6 \text{ and } n \neq 10. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 11 *We have $\text{ed}(\mathbf{Spin}_n; 2) \geq e(n)$ for every $n > 6$.*

Proof. Let us write $e = e(n)$, and put $K = k(t_1, \dots, t_e)$, where t_1, \dots, t_e are independent indeterminates. We are going to construct a *monomial quadratic form* f_n of rank n over K with the following properties:

- (i) the Stiefel-Whitney classes $w_1(f_n)$ and $w_2(f_n)$ are both zero. (For the definitions of the Stiefel-Whitney classes, see e.g. [?], § 17.)
- (ii) $\text{rank}(X_{f_n}) = e$, with the notation of the lines preceding Proposition ??.

Such a form f_n corresponds to an element $[f_n]$ of $H^1(K, \mathbf{O}_n)$ which belongs to the image of $H^1(K, \mathbf{Spin}_n) \rightarrow H^1(K, \mathbf{O}_n)$ (because of (i)) and is such that $\text{ed}([f_n]; 2) = e$ (because of (ii), cf. Proposition ??). This shows that $H^1(K, \mathbf{Spin}_n)$ contains an element ξ_n with $\text{ed}(\xi_n; 2) \geq e$; hence the theorem.

Here is the construction of f_n . There are four cases, depending on the value of n modulo 4:

- a) $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, $n \geq 8$. We have $e = n/2$, which is even. We define f_n by:

$$f_n = \langle t_1, \dots, t_e \rangle \otimes \langle 1, t_1 \cdots t_e \rangle.$$

Condition (ii) is obvious (but would not be true in the excluded case $n = 4$). As for condition (i), it follows from the general formulae:

$$w_1(f \otimes f') = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad w_2(f \otimes f') = w_1(f) \cdot w_1(f')$$

if $\text{rank}(f)$ and $\text{rank}(f')$ are even. Indeed this shows that $w_1(f_n) = 0$ and that $w_2(f_n) = (t_1 \cdots t_e) \cdot (t_1 \cdots t_e) = (-1) \cdot (t_1 \cdots t_e) = 0$ since -1 is a square in k .

- b) $n \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$, $n \geq 7$. Here $e = (n-1)/2$, which is odd. We put:

$$f_n = \langle t_1, \dots, t_e \rangle \otimes \langle 1, t_1 \cdots t_e \rangle \oplus \langle t_1 \cdots t_e \rangle.$$

Conditions (i) and (ii) are checked as in case a).

- c) $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $n \geq 9$. Here $e = (n-1)/2$, which is even. We put:

$$f_n = f_{n-1} \oplus \langle 1 \rangle = \langle t_1, \dots, t_e \rangle \otimes \langle 1, t_1 \cdots t_e \rangle \oplus \langle 1 \rangle.$$

Conditions (i) and (ii) follow from case a).

- d) $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. This case splits into four subcases:

d₁) $n = 10$. Here $e = 4$ and we put

$$f_{10} = f_8 \oplus \langle 1, 1 \rangle = \langle t_1, \dots, t_4 \rangle \otimes \langle 1, t_1 \dots t_4 \rangle \oplus \langle 1, 1 \rangle.$$

d₂) $n = 14$. Here $e = 7$. We put

$$f_{14} = \langle t_7 \rangle \otimes (\langle\langle t_1, t_2, t_3 \rangle\rangle_0 \oplus \langle\langle t_4, t_5, t_6 \rangle\rangle_0)$$

where $\langle\langle a, b, c \rangle\rangle_0$ means $\langle\langle a, b, c \rangle\rangle - \langle 1 \rangle$, i.e. $\langle a, b, c, ab, bc, ac, abc \rangle$. The simplest way to check condition (i) is to rewrite f_{14} in the Witt ring $W(K)$ as

$$f_{14} = \langle t_7 \rangle \cdot (\langle\langle t_1, t_2, t_3 \rangle\rangle + \langle\langle t_4, t_5, t_6 \rangle\rangle).$$

This shows that f_{14} belongs to the cube I^3 of the augmentation ideal I of $W(K)$, and that implies condition (i). Condition (ii) is easy to check.

d₃) $n = 18$. Here $e = 9$. We put:

$$f_{18} = \langle t_1, t_2, t_1 t_2 \rangle \otimes \langle t_7, t_8 \rangle \oplus \langle t_3, t_4, t_3 t_4 \rangle \otimes \langle t_8, t_9 \rangle \oplus \langle t_5, t_6, t_5 t_6 \rangle \otimes \langle t_7, t_9 \rangle.$$

In the Witt ring $W(K)$, one has:

$$f_{18} = \langle\langle t_1, t_2 \rangle\rangle \cdot \langle t_7, t_8 \rangle + \langle\langle t_3, t_4 \rangle\rangle \cdot \langle t_8, t_9 \rangle + \langle\langle t_5, t_6 \rangle\rangle \cdot \langle t_7, t_9 \rangle,$$

and this shows that $f_{18} \in I^3$, hence condition (i). As for condition (ii), one checks that, if one makes the change of variables:

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= t_1 t_7, \quad T_2 = t_2 t_7, \quad T_3 = t_1 t_2 t_7, \quad T_4 = t_3 t_8, \quad T_5 = t_4 t_8, \\ T_6 &= t_3 t_4 t_8, \quad T_7 = t_5 t_9, \quad T_8 = t_6 t_9, \quad T_9 = t_5 t_6 t_9, \end{aligned}$$

then f_{18} becomes *e-reduced* (as a monomial quadratic form in the T_i). This implies (ii).

d₄) $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $n > 18$. We define f_n by induction on n , as the sum of f_{n-8} and f_8 (with independent variables):

$$f_n = f_{n-8} \oplus \langle t_{e-3}, t_{e-2}, t_{e-1}, t_e \rangle \otimes \langle 1, t_{e-3} t_{e-2} t_{e-1} t_e \rangle.$$

Conditions (i) and (ii) are proved by induction on n . This concludes the proof. \square

Remarks. 1) The reader may wonder whether the quadratic form f_n used above could have been defined via an abelian finite subgroup of $\mathbf{Spin}_n(k)$

whose image in $\mathbf{SO}_n(k)$ is of type $(2, \dots, 2)$. The answer is “yes”; this follows from the well known construction of abelian 2-subgroups of \mathbf{Spin}_n from binary linear codes (see e.g. [?], pp. 1043–1044). Indeed, this is how we first obtained case d_3 above ($n = 18$).

2) When $n \equiv -1, 0$ or $1 \pmod{8}$, the bound given by Theorem ?? can be slightly improved. This is due (in characteristic 0, at least) to Reichstein-Youssin ([?], Theorem 8.16). More precisely:

Theorem 12 *Assume $n \equiv -1, 0$ or $1 \pmod{8}$, $n \geq 7$. Then:*

$$\text{ed}(\mathbf{Spin}_n; 2) \geq [n/2] + 1.$$

Proof. We define a $(2, \dots, 2)$ -subgroup A of $\mathbf{Spin}_n(k)$ as in ??, namely as $A_0 \times \{1, \tilde{c}\}$, where A_0 is the 2-division subgroup of the maximal torus T , and \tilde{c} is a lifting in $\mathbf{Spin}_n(k)$ of the element c of the adjoint group. The congruence condition on n implies that \tilde{c} is of order 2. We have $\text{rank } A = r+1 = [n/2]+1$. Let us suppose first that $n \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$. The spin representation is then orthogonal, and it gives a homomorphism

$$\rho : \mathbf{Spin}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{O}_N, \text{ with } N = 2^r = 2^{(n-1)/2}.$$

If $K = k(t_1, \dots, t_r, u)$ we define $\theta_A \in H^1(K, A)$ as in ?? . The image of θ_A by ρ corresponds to a rank N quadratic form q , which is easily shown to be isomorphic (up to a change of variables) to $\langle u \rangle \otimes \langle\langle t_1, \dots, t_r \rangle\rangle$. By Proposition ??, we have $\text{ed}(q; 2) \geq r+1$. This shows that the image θ of θ_A in $H^1(K, \mathbf{Spin}_n)$ is such that $\text{ed}(\theta; 2) \geq r+1$, and the theorem follows. The case where $n \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ is analogous : one takes for ρ the direct sum of the two half-spin representations (which are orthogonal, because $n \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$).

□

§ 8 Other examples

Theorem 13 (i) $\text{ed}(\mathbf{HSpin}_n; 2) \geq n/2 + 1$ if $n > 0$, $n \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$.
(ii) $\text{ed}(\mathbf{PSO}_n; 2) \geq n - 2$ if n is even ≥ 4 .
(iii) $\text{ed}(2.E_7; 2) \geq 7$.
(iv) $\text{ed}(\mathbf{PGL}_n) \geq v_2(n)$ if $n > 0$.

(Undefined notation will be explained below.)

Proof (sketch). Let G be the group \mathbf{HSpin}_n (resp. \mathbf{PSO}_n , resp. $2.E_7$, resp. \mathbf{PGL}_n) mentioned in the theorem. We apply the method of the previous sections to a suitable abelian subgroup A of $G(k)$, of rank $e = n/2 + 1$ (resp. $n - 2$, resp. 7, resp. $v_2(n)$) and to a suitable orthogonal representation $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(V)$. We thus get a monomial quadratic form q over $K = k(t_1, \dots, t_e)$, and a routine computation, based on Theorem ??, shows that $\text{ed}(q; 2) = e$, hence the result.

Here are the definitions of A and ρ in each case (the “routine computation” is left to the reader):

Case (i). The group $G = \mathbf{HSpin}_n$ is the *half-spin group*, i.e. the quotient of \mathbf{Spin}_n by a central subgroup of order 2 distinct from the kernel of $\mathbf{Spin}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{SO}_n$. This is well defined whenever $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, with a slight ambiguity for $n = 8$, since in that case \mathbf{HSpin}_8 is isomorphic to \mathbf{SO}_8 (and hence $\text{ed}(\mathbf{HSpin}_8; 2) = 7$). The group G acts faithfully on the corresponding half-spin representation S . Since $n \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, this is an orthogonal representation. Let T be a maximal torus of G . As in ?? we define A to be the subgroup of $G(k)$ generated by the elements of order 2 of T and by an element c of order 2 of $N(T)$ such that $ctc = t^{-1}$ for every $t \in T$ (such an element exists because n is divisible by 8). The group A is an elementary abelian $(2, \dots, 2)$ -group of rank $e = n/2 + 1$. We choose for V the direct sum $S \oplus \text{Lie}(G)$.

Case (ii). The group $G = \mathbf{PSO}_n$ is the quotient \mathbf{SO}_n/μ_2 , i.e. an adjoint group of type $D_{n/2}$. The group A is the image in $G(k)$ of the diagonal matrices of square 1 in \mathbf{SO}_n . It is a $(2, \dots, 2)$ -abelian group of rank $e = n - 2$. One takes for V the Lie algebra of G , with the quadratic form defined by $\text{Tr}(x \cdot y)$.

Case (iii). The group $G = 2.E_7$ is a simply connected group of type E_7 . Choose a maximal torus T of G , and let $c \in N(T)$ be such that $ctc^{-1} = t^{-1}$ for every $t \in T$. We have $c^2 = z$, where z is the non trivial element of the center of G . Let A_0 be the kernel of $t \mapsto t^2$; it is an elementary group of type $(2, \dots, 2)$ and of rank 7; it contains z . The subgroup A of G generated by A_0 and c is an abelian group of type $(4, 2, \dots, 2)$ and of rank 7. The image of A in the adjoint group $G' = G/\{1, z\}$ is $A' = A/\{1, z\}$; it is elementary abelian of rank 7. If $K = k(t_1, \dots, t_7)$, we have a canonical element $\theta_{A'}$ in $H^1(K, A')$; since -1 is a square in K , there exists an element $\theta_A \in H^1(K, A)$ whose image in $H^1(K, A')$ is $\theta_{A'}$. We choose for orthogonal representation of G the adjoint representation. The action of A on this representation factors

through A' , hence gives a monomial quadratic form q over $k(t_1, \dots, t_7)$ and one checks that q is 7-reduced.

Case (iv). Here $G = \mathbf{PGL}_n$ and $e = 2m$, where m is the 2-adic valuation of n . If we write n as $2^m N$, with N odd, there is a natural injection of $\mathbf{PGL}_2 \times \dots \times \mathbf{PGL}_2$ (m factors) in G . Let A_1 be a $(2, 2)$ -subgroup of \mathbf{PGL}_2 , and let $A = A_1 \times \dots \times A_1$ (m factors). We have an embedding

$$A \longrightarrow \mathbf{PGL}_2 \times \dots \times \mathbf{PGL}_2 \longrightarrow \mathbf{PGL}_n = G,$$

and A is a $(2, \dots, 2)$ -group of rank e . We select for V the space M_n of $n \times n$ matrices, with the scalar product $\text{Tr}(x \cdot y)$. The group G acts by conjugation on M_n . (Here the monomial quadratic form q is the tensor product of a generic e -Pfister form by the unit form $\langle 1, \dots, 1 \rangle$ of rank N^2 ; since N is odd, Theorem ?? shows that the essential dimension of q at 2 is indeed equal to e .) \square

Remarks. 1) We do not know how good are the lower bounds of Theorems ??, ??, ?? and ???. Some are rather weak: for instance, th. ?? applied to type B_n gives roughly half the true value of $\text{ed}(G; 2)$. What about those on \mathbf{Spin}_n , \mathbf{HSpin}_n , and E_8 ? These questions are related: an upper bound for \mathbf{HSpin}_{16} would give one for E_8 .

2) Applying Proposition ?? to the generic quadratic form $q = \langle t_1, \dots, t_n \rangle$ and the generic quadratic form $q' = \langle t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, t_1 \cdots t_{n-1} \rangle$ of discriminant 1 one recovers the well known facts that $\text{ed}(\mathbf{O}_n; 2) \geq n$ and $\text{ed}(\mathbf{SO}_n; 2) \geq n-1$ (if $n \geq 2$), cf. e.g. [?], Theorems 10.3 and 10.4.

3) There are cases where the method “ $A \rightarrow G \rightarrow \mathbf{O}(V, q)$ ” fails to give any result. For instance, let G be a group of type E_6 (adjoint, or simply connected, it does not matter). By using the relations of this group with G_2 (cf. [?], Exercise 22.9) it is not hard to see that $\text{ed}(G; 2)$ is equal to 3. One can show that there is no way to prove this by the $A \rightarrow G \rightarrow \mathbf{O}(V, q)$ method: every orthogonal representation $G \rightarrow \mathbf{O}(V, q)$ gives a map $H^1(K, G) \rightarrow H^1(K, \mathbf{O}(V, q))$ which is *trivial*, hence gives no information on $\text{ed}(G)$.

Acknowledgement

Work on the present paper started in 2002, at the Centre Bernoulli, E.P.F.L., Lausanne. We want to thank the Centre Bernoulli for its hospitality and its stimulating atmosphere.

References

- [BS 53] A. Borel and J-P. Serre, Sur certains sous-groupes des groupes de Lie compacts, Comm. Math. Helv. **27** (1953), 128–139 (= A. Borel, Oe. 24).
- [Bo 64] N. Bourbaki, Algèbre Commutative, Chap. V-VI, Hermann, Paris, 1964; English translation, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [Bo 75] N. Bourbaki, Groupes et Algèbres de Lie, Chap. VII-VIII, Hermann, Paris, 1975; English translation, Springer-Verlag, 2005.
- [BR 97] J. Buhler and Z. Reichstein, On the essential dimension of a finite group, Compositio Math. **126** (1997), 159–179.
- [DG 70] M. Demazure and A. Grothendieck, Structure des Schémas en Groupes Réductifs, SGA 3 III, LN 153, Springer-Verlag, 1970.
- [GMS 03] S. Garibaldi, A. Merkurjev and J.-P. Serre, Cohomological Invariants in Galois Cohomology, A.M.S. Univ. Lectures Series 28, Providence, R.I., 2003.
- [GN 04] B. H. Gross and G. Nebe, Globally maximal arithmetic groups, J. Algebra **272** (2004), 625–642.
- [L 73] T.-Y. Lam, The Algebraic Theory of Quadratic Forms, Benjamin, MA, 1973.
- [Pf 95] A. Pfister, Quadratic Forms with Applications to Geometry and Topology, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1995.
- [R 00] Z. Reichstein, On the notion of essential dimension for algebraic groups, Transform. Groups **5** (2000), 265–304.
- [RY 00] Z. Reichstein and B. Youssin, Essential dimensions of algebraic groups and a resolution theorem for G -varieties (with an appendix by János Kollar and Endre Szabó), Canad. J. Math. **52** (2000), 1018–1056.
- [Sel 57] G. B. Seligman, Some remarks on classical Lie algebras, J. Math. Mech. **6** (1957), 549–558.

[SpSt 70] T. Springer and R. Steinberg, Conjugacy classes, LN 131 (1970),
167-266 (= R. Steinberg, C.P., no 25, 293-394).

[St 67] R. Steinberg, Lectures on Chevalley groups, Yale University, 1967.