

Semisimplicity and Tensor Products of Group Representations: Converse Theorems

Jean-Pierre Serre

Collège de France, 3 rue d'Ulm, F-75005 Paris

With an appendix by Walter Feit

Department of Mathematics, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520-8283

Communicated by Efin Zelmanov

Received October 8, 1996

INTRODUCTION

Let k be a field of characteristic $p \geq 0$, and let G be a group. If V and W are finite-dimensional G -modules, it is known that:

(1) V and W semisimple $\Rightarrow V \otimes W$ semisimple if $p = 0$ ([2], p. 88), or if $p > 0$ and $\dim V + \dim W < p + 2$ ([6], Corollary 1 to Theorem 1.)

(2) V semisimple $\Rightarrow \wedge^2 V$ semisimple if $p = 0$ or if $p > 0$ and $\dim V \leq (p + 3)/2$ (cf. [6], Theorem 2).

We are interested here in “converse theorems”: proving the semisimplicity of V from that of $V \otimes W$ or of $\wedge^2 V$. The results are the following (cf. Sects. 2, 3, 4, 5):

(3) $V \otimes W$ semisimple $\Rightarrow V$ semisimple if $\dim W \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

(4) $\otimes^m V$ semisimple $\Rightarrow V$ semisimple if $m \geq 1$.

(5) $\wedge^2 V$ semisimple $\Rightarrow V$ semisimple if $\dim V \not\equiv 2 \pmod{p}$.

(6) $\text{Sym}^2 V$ semisimple $\Rightarrow V$ semisimple if $\dim V \not\equiv -2 \pmod{p}$.

(7) $\wedge^m V$ semisimple $\Rightarrow V$ semisimple if $\dim V \not\equiv 2, 3, \dots, m \pmod{p}$.

Examples show that the congruence conditions occurring in (3), (5), (6), and (7) cannot be suppressed: see Sect. 7 for (3), (5), and (7) and the Appendix for (5) and (6). These examples are due to (or inspired by) W. Feit.

1. NOTATION

1.1. The Category C_G

As in the Introduction, G is a group and k is a field; we put $\text{char}(k) = p$. The category of $k[G]$ -modules of finite dimension over k is denoted by C_G . If V and W are objects of C_G , the k -vector space of C_G -morphisms of V into W is denoted by $\text{Hom}^G(V, W)$.

1.2. Split Injections

A C_G -morphism $f: V \rightarrow W$ is called a *split injection* if there exists a left inverse $r: W \rightarrow V$ which is a C_G -morphism. This means that f is injective, and that its image is a direct factor of W , viewed as a $k[G]$ -module. We also say that f is *split*.

If $f: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ and $g: V_2 \rightarrow V_3$ are split injections, so is $g \circ f$. Conversely, if $g \circ f$ is a split injection, so is f .

An object W of C_G is *semisimple* if and only if every injection $V \rightarrow W$ is split.

1.3. Tensor Products

The tensor product (over k) of two objects V and V' of C_G is denoted by $V \otimes V'$.

If $V \rightarrow W$ and $V' \rightarrow W'$ are split injections, so is $V \otimes V' \rightarrow W \otimes W'$.

The vector space k , with trivial action of G , is denoted by $\underline{1}$. We have $\underline{1} \otimes V = V$ for every V .

1.4. Duality

The dual of an object V of C_G is denoted by V^* . If W is an object of C_G , one has $W \otimes V^* = \text{Hom}_k(V, W)$, the action of G on $\text{Hom}_k(V, W)$ being $f \mapsto sfs^{-1}$ for $s \in G$. An element f of $\text{Hom}_k(V, W)$ is G -linear (i.e., belongs to $\text{Hom}^G(V, W)$) if and only if it is fixed under the action of G .

In particular, one has $V \otimes V^* = \text{End}_k(V)$. The unit element 1_V of $\text{End}_k(V)$ defines a G -linear map $i_V: \underline{1} \rightarrow V \otimes V^*$, which is injective if $V \neq 0$.

1.5. Trace

The trace $t_V: V \otimes V^* \rightarrow \underline{1}$ is a G -linear map. The composite map

$$t_V \circ i_V: \underline{1} \rightarrow V \otimes V^* \rightarrow \underline{1}$$

is equal to $\dim V$, viewed as an element of $k = \text{End}^G(\underline{1})$; it is 0 if and only if $\dim V \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. (When $p = 0$ this just means $\dim V = 0$.)

2. FROM $V \otimes W$ TO V

Let V and W be two objects of C_G .

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let V' be a subobject of V . Assume:*

$$i_W: \underline{1} \rightarrow W \otimes W^* \quad \text{is a split injection,} \quad (2.1.1)$$

and

$$V' \otimes W \rightarrow V \otimes W \quad \text{is a split injection.} \quad (2.1.2)$$

Then $V' \rightarrow V$ is a split injection.

Proof. Consider the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V' & \xrightarrow{\beta'} & V' \otimes W \otimes W^* \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma \\ V & \xrightarrow{\beta} & V \otimes W \otimes W^*, \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps come from the injection $V' \rightarrow V$ and the horizontal maps are $\beta = 1_V \otimes i_W$ and $\beta' = 1_{V'} \otimes i_W$ (cf. Sect. 1.5). By (2.1.1), β' is split; by (2.1.2), $V' \otimes W \rightarrow V \otimes W$ is split, and the same is true for γ . Hence $\beta \circ \alpha = \gamma \circ \beta'$ is split, and this implies that α is split.

Remark 2.2. Assumption (2.1.1) is true in each of the following two cases:

(2.2.1) When $\dim W \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, i.e., when $\dim W$ is invertible in k . Indeed, if c denotes the inverse of $\dim W$ in k , the map

$$c \cdot t_W: W \otimes W^* \rightarrow \underline{1}$$

is a left inverse of i_W (cf. Sect. 1.5).

(2.2.2) When $W \neq 0$ and $W \otimes W^*$ is semisimple, since in that case every injection in $W \otimes W^*$ is split.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Assume (2.1.1) and that $V \otimes W$ is semisimple. Then V is semisimple.*

Proof. Let V' be a subobject of V . Since $V \otimes W$ is semisimple, the injection $V' \otimes W \rightarrow V \otimes W$ is split, hence (2.1.2) is true, and Proposition 2.1 shows that $V' \rightarrow V$ splits. Since this is true for every V' , it follows that V is semisimple.

Alternate proof (sketch). One uses (2.1.1) to show that the natural map

$$H^n(G, \text{Hom}_k(V_1, V_2)) \rightarrow H^n(G, \text{Hom}_k(V_1 \otimes W, V_2 \otimes W))$$

is injective for every n, V_1, V_2 . If V is an extension of V_1 by V_2 and (V) denotes the corresponding element of the group $\text{Ext}(V_1, V_2) = H^1(G, \text{Hom}_k(V_1, V_2))$, the assumption that $V \otimes W$ is semisimple implies that (V) gives 0 in $\text{Ext}(V_1 \otimes W, V_2 \otimes W)$ and hence $(V) = 0$. This shows that V is semisimple.

THEOREM 2.4. *If $V \otimes W$ is semisimple and $\dim W \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then V is semisimple.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 2.3 and Remark (2.2.1).

Remark. The condition $\dim W \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ of Theorem 2.4 cannot be suppressed. This is clear for $p = 0$, since it just means $W \neq 0$; for $p > 0$, see Feit's examples in Sect. 7.2.

3. FROM $\mathbf{T}^n V \otimes \mathbf{T}^m V^*$ TO V

Let V be an object of C_G .

LEMMA 3.1. *The injection $j_V = 1_V \otimes i_V: V \rightarrow V \otimes V \otimes V^*$ is split.*

Proof. If we identify $V \otimes V^*$ with $\text{End}_k(V)$, the map

$$j_V: V \rightarrow V \otimes \text{End}_k(V)$$

is the map $x \mapsto x \otimes 1_V$. Let $f_V: V \otimes \text{End}_k(V) \rightarrow V$ be the "evaluation map" $x \mapsto \varphi(x)$ ($x \in V$, $\varphi \in \text{End}_k(V)$). It is clear that $f_V \circ j_V = 1_V$. Hence j_V is a split injection.

If $n \geq 0$, let us write $\mathbf{T}^n V$ for the tensor product $V \otimes V \otimes \cdots \otimes V$ of n copies of V , with the convention that $\mathbf{T}^0 V = \mathbf{1}$.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let V' be a subobject of V . Assume that the natural injection of $\mathbf{T}^n V' = V' \otimes \mathbf{T}^{n-1} V'$ in $V \otimes \mathbf{T}^{n-1} V'$ splits for some $n \geq 1$. Then $V' \rightarrow V$ splits.*

Proof. This is clear if $n = 1$. Assume $n \geq 2$, and use induction on n . We have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{T}^{n-1}V' & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & V \otimes \mathbf{T}^{n-2}V' \\ \gamma \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta \\ \mathbf{T}^{n-1}V' \otimes V' \otimes V'^* & \xrightarrow{\mu} & V \otimes \mathbf{T}^{n-2}V' \otimes V' \otimes V'^*, \end{array}$$

where the horizontal maps are the obvious injections, and the vertical ones are of the form $x \mapsto x \otimes 1_{V'}$, with $1_{V'} \in V' \otimes V'^*$ (cf. Sect. 1.4).

If we put $W = \mathbf{T}^{n-2}V'$, we may write γ as $1_W \otimes j_{V'}$, where $j_{V'}$ is the map of V' into $V' \otimes V' \otimes V'^*$ defined in Lemma 3.1 (with V replaced by V'). From this lemma, and from Sect. 1.3, it follows that γ is a split injection. On the other hand, μ is the tensor product of the natural injection $\mathbf{T}^n V' \rightarrow V \otimes \mathbf{T}^{n-1}V'$, which is split by assumption, with the identity map of V'^* ; hence μ is split and the same is true for $\beta \circ \lambda = \mu \circ \gamma$, hence also for λ . By the induction assumption this shows that $V' \rightarrow V$ is a split injection.

THEOREM 3.3. *Assume that $\mathbf{T}^n V \otimes \mathbf{T}^m V^*$ is semisimple for some integers $n, m \geq 0$, not both 0. Then V is semisimple.*

COROLLARY 3.4. *If $\mathbf{T}^n V$ is semisimple for some $n \geq 1$, then V is semisimple.*

Proof of Theorem 3.3. Consider first the case of Corollary 3.4, i.e., $m = 0$, $n \geq 1$. Let V' be a subobject of V . Then $V \otimes \mathbf{T}^{n-1}V'$ is a subobject of $\mathbf{T}^n V$. Since $\mathbf{T}^n V$ is assumed to be semisimple, so is $V \otimes \mathbf{T}^{n-1}V'$. Hence the injection $\mathbf{T}^n V' \rightarrow V \otimes \mathbf{T}^{n-1}V'$ splits. By Proposition 3.2, this implies that $V' \rightarrow V$ splits. Since this is true for every V' , it follows that V is semisimple.

Since duality preserves semisimplicity, the same result holds when $n = 0$ and $m \geq 1$. Hence, we may assume that $n \geq 1$ and $m \geq 1$, and also that $V \neq 0$. If n and m are both equal to 1, then $V \otimes V^*$ is semisimple by assumption. Put $W = V^*$; using (2.2.2) we see that W has property (2.1.1) and by Proposition 2.3 this implies that V is semisimple (I owe this argument to W. Feit). The remaining case $n + m \geq 3$ is handled by induction on $n + m$, using the fact that $\mathbf{T}^{n-1}V \otimes \mathbf{T}^{m-1}V^*$ embeds into $\mathbf{T}^n V \otimes \mathbf{T}^m V^*$, hence is semisimple.

4. FROM $\wedge^2 V$ AND $\text{Sym}^2 V$ TO V

4.1. Notation

Let V be an object of C_G , and let λ_V be the canonical map

$$V \otimes V \rightarrow \wedge^2 V.$$

Define $\varphi_V: V \rightarrow \wedge^2 V \otimes V^*$ as the composite of the maps $j_V: V \rightarrow V \otimes V \otimes V^*$ (cf. Lemma 3.1) and $\lambda_V \otimes 1_{V^*}: V \otimes V \otimes V^* \rightarrow \wedge^2 V \otimes V^*$. Define $\psi_V: \wedge^2 V \otimes V^* \rightarrow V$ as the composite

$$\wedge^2 V \otimes V^* \rightarrow V \otimes V \otimes V^* \rightarrow V,$$

where the map on the left is $(x \wedge y) \otimes z \mapsto x \otimes y \otimes z - y \otimes x \otimes z$ (for $x, y \in V, z \in V^*$) and the map on the right is the map f_V defined in the proof of Lemma 3.1, i.e., $x \otimes y \otimes z \mapsto \langle x, z \rangle y$. We have

$$\psi_V((x \wedge y) \otimes z) = \langle x, z \rangle y - \langle y, z \rangle x \quad (x, y \in V, z \in V^*).$$

Both φ_V and ψ_V are C_G -morphisms.

PROPOSITION 4.2. *The composite map*

$$V \xrightarrow{\varphi_V} \wedge^2 V \otimes V^* \xrightarrow{\psi_V} V$$

is equal to $(1 - n)1_V$, where $n = \dim V$.

Proof. Choose a k -basis (e_α) of V , and let (e_α^*) be the dual basis of V^* . We have $1_V = \sum e_\alpha \otimes e_\alpha^*$ in $V \otimes V^*$, hence:

$$j_V(x) = \sum x \otimes e_\alpha \otimes e_\alpha^* \quad (x \in V),$$

$$\varphi_V(x) = \sum (x \wedge e_\alpha) \otimes e_\alpha^*,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_V(\varphi_V(x)) &= \sum \langle x, e_\alpha^* \rangle e_\alpha - \sum \langle e_\alpha, e_\alpha^* \rangle x \\ &= x - nx. \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 4.3. *If $\dim V \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, φ_V is a split injection.*

PROPOSITION 4.4. *Let $\rho: W \rightarrow V$ be an injection in C_G . Assume that $\dim W \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and that $\wedge^2 \rho: \wedge^2 W \rightarrow \wedge^2 V$ splits. Then ρ splits.*

Proof. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 W & \xrightarrow{\rho} & V \\
 \varphi_W \downarrow & & \searrow \varphi_V \\
 & & \Lambda^2 V \otimes V^* \\
 & & \sigma \swarrow \\
 \Lambda^2 W \otimes W^* & \xrightarrow{\rho'} & \Lambda^2 V \otimes W^*
 \end{array}$$

where φ_V and φ_W are as above, ρ' is equal to $\Lambda^2 \rho \otimes 1_{W^*}$ and σ is the tensor product of the identity endomorphism of $\Lambda^2 V$ with the natural projection $\rho^*: V^* \rightarrow W^*$. By Corollary 4.3, applied to W , φ_W is split; by assumption, ρ' is split. Hence $\sigma \circ \varphi_V \circ \rho = \rho' \circ \varphi_W$ is split, and this implies that ρ is split.

THEOREM 4.5. *If $\Lambda^2 V$ is semisimple and $\dim V \not\equiv 2 \pmod{p}$, then V is semisimple.*

Proof. We have to show that every injection $W \rightarrow V$ splits. Since $\Lambda^2 V$ is semisimple, the injection $\Lambda^2 W \rightarrow \Lambda^2 V$ splits. If $\dim W \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, Proposition 4.4 shows that $W \rightarrow V$ splits. Assume now that $\dim W \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Let W^0 be the orthogonal complement of W in V^* , i.e., the kernel of the projection $V^* \rightarrow W^*$. We have $\dim W^0 \equiv \dim V - 1 \pmod{p}$, hence $\dim W^0 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, since $\dim V \not\equiv 2 \pmod{p}$. By duality, $\Lambda^2 V^*$ is semisimple. The first part of the argument, applied to $W^0 \rightarrow V^*$, shows that $W^0 \rightarrow V^*$ splits, and hence $W \rightarrow V$ splits.

The next theorem describes the structure of V in the exceptional case left open by Theorem 4.5 (for explicit examples, see Sect. 7.3):

THEOREM 4.6. *Assume $\Lambda^2 V$ is semisimple and V is not. Then V can be decomposed in C_G as a direct sum:*

$$V = E \oplus W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_h \quad (h \geq 0), \tag{*}$$

where:

—the W_i are simple, and $\dim W_i \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$;

— E is a nonsplit extension of two simple modules W, W' such that $\dim W \equiv \dim W' \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

(Note that (*) implies $\dim V \equiv \dim E \equiv 2 \pmod{p}$, as in Theorem 4.5.)

Proof. Using induction on the length of a Jordan–Hölder sequence of V , we may assume that V has no simple direct factor whose dimension is $0 \pmod{p}$.

Let W be a simple subobject of V . Let us show that $\dim W \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. If not, Proposition 4.4 would imply that $W \rightarrow V$ splits, hence $V = W \oplus V'$ for some $V' \in C_G$. Clearly V' is not semisimple but $\wedge^2 V'$ is (because it is a subobject of $\wedge^2 V$). By Theorem 4.5, applied to V and V' , we have

$$\dim V \equiv \dim V' \equiv 2 \pmod{p},$$

hence $\dim W \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, which contradicts the hypothesis that V has no simple direct factor of dimension divisible by p .

Hence, we have $\dim W \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Moreover, *the injection $W \rightarrow V$ does not split*. Indeed, if V would decompose in $W \oplus V'$, we would have $\dim V' \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, and Theorem 4.5, applied to V' , would show that V' is semisimple, hence also V , which is not true. The module W is *the only simple submodule of V* . Indeed, if W_1 were another one, the argument above would show that $\dim W_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, hence $\dim(W + W_1) \equiv 2 \pmod{p}$ since $W \cap W_1 = 0$. By Proposition 4.4, the injection $W \oplus W_1 \rightarrow V$ would split, and so would $W \rightarrow V$, contrary to what we have just seen.

Now put $W' = V/W$. We have $\dim W' \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, and $\wedge^2 W'$ is semisimple (because it is a quotient of $\wedge^2 V$). By Theorem 4.5, W' is semisimple. At least one of the simple factors of W' has dimension $\not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Let S be such a factor, and let V_S be its inverse image in V , so that we have $W \subset V_S \subset V$. One has $\dim V_S \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$; by Proposition 4.4, this shows that we may write V as a direct sum $V_S \oplus V''$. If $V'' \neq 0$, it contains a simple subobject, which is distinct from W , contrary to what was proved above. Hence we have $V'' = 0$, i.e., $S = W'$, which shows that W' is simple and that V is a nonsplit extension of two simple objects W, W' with $\dim W \equiv \dim W' \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

There are similar results for $\text{Sym}^2 V$. First:

THEOREM 4.7. *If $\text{Sym}^2 V$ is semisimple and $\dim V \not\equiv -2 \pmod{p}$, then V is semisimple.*

Proof (sketch). The argument is the same as for $\wedge^2 V$, using symmetric analogues φ_V^σ and ψ_V^σ of φ_V and ψ_V :

$$\varphi_V^\sigma: V \rightarrow \text{Sym}^2 V \otimes V^*,$$

$$\psi_V^\sigma: \text{Sym}^2 V \otimes V^* \rightarrow V.$$

Proposition 4.2 is replaced by

$$\psi_V^\sigma \circ \varphi_V^\sigma = (1 + n)1_V \quad \text{where } n = \dim V.$$

Hence φ_V^σ is a split injection if $\dim V \not\equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. Proposition 4.4 remains valid when $\wedge^2 V$ is replaced by $\text{Sym}^2 V$ and 1 is replaced by -1 .

The same is true for the proof of Theorem 4.5 (with 2 replaced by -2), with one difference:

In the case of \wedge^2 we have used the fact that $\wedge^2 V$ and $\wedge^2 V^*$ are dual to each other. The analogous statement for $\text{Sym}^2 V$ and $\text{Sym}^2 V^*$ is true when $p \neq 2$, but *is not true* in general for $p = 2$; the dual of $\text{Sym}^2 V$ is the space $\mathbf{TS}^2 V^*$ of symmetric 2-tensors on V^* , which is not $\text{Sym}^2 V^*$. Fortunately, the case $p = 2$ does not give any trouble. Indeed:

PROPOSITION 4.8. *If $\text{Sym}^2 V$ is semisimple and $p = 2$, then V is semisimple.*

Proof. Let $F: k \rightarrow k$ be the Frobenius map $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^2$, and let V^F be the representation of G deduced from V by the base change F . The F -semilinear map $V \rightarrow \text{Sym}^2 V$ defined by $x \mapsto x \cdot x$ gives a k -linear embedding of V^F into $\text{Sym}^2 V$, which fits into an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow V^F \rightarrow \text{Sym}^2 V \rightarrow \wedge^2 V \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\text{Sym}^2 V$ is assumed to be semisimple, so is V^F . This means that V becomes semisimple after the base change $F: k \rightarrow k$. By an elementary result ([1, §13, no. 4, Proposition 4]) this implies that V is semisimple.

Remark. More generally, the same argument shows:

$$\text{Sym}^p V \text{ semisimple} \quad \Rightarrow \quad V \text{ semisimple}$$

if the characteristic p is > 0 .

The analogue of Theorem 4.6 is:

THEOREM 4.9. *If $\text{Sym}^2 V$ is semisimple and V is not, then V can be decomposed as $V = E \oplus W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_h$ ($h \geq 0$), where:*

—the W_i are simple, and $\dim W_i \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$;

— E is a nonsplit extension of two simple modules whose dimensions are congruent to $-1 \pmod{p}$.

The proof is the same.

COROLLARY 4.10. *One has $\dim V \geq 2p - 2$.*

Indeed, it is clear that $\dim E \geq (p - 1) + (p - 1)$.

5. HIGHER EXTERIOR POWERS

The results of Sect. 4 can be extended to $\wedge^m V$ for any $m \geq 1$ (cf. Theorem 5.2.1 below). We start with several lemmas.

5.1. Extension Classes Associated with an Exact Sequence

Let

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow V \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0 \tag{5.1.1}$$

be an exact sequence in C_G . We denote by (V) its class in the group

$$\text{Ext}(B, A) = H^1(G, \text{Hom}_k(B, A)) = H^1(G, A \otimes B^*).$$

A cocycle representing this class may be constructed as follows: select a k -linear splitting $f: B \rightarrow V$, and, for every $s \in G$, define $c_f(s)$ in $\text{Hom}_k(B, A)$ as the map $x \mapsto s \cdot f(s^{-1}x) - f(x)$, for $x \in B$. Then c_f is a 1-cocycle on G with values in $\text{Hom}_k(B, A)$, which represents the class (V) .

One has $(V) = 0$ if and only if f can be chosen to be G -linear, i.e., if and only if the injection $A \rightarrow V$ splits.

5.1.2. The Filtration of $\wedge^m V$ Defined by A

We view A as a subobject of V . For every integer α with $0 \leq \alpha \leq m$, let F_α be the subspace of $\wedge^m V$ generated by the $x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_m$ such that x_i belongs to A for $i \leq \alpha$; put $F_{m+1} = 0$. The F_α are G -stable, and they define a decreasing filtration of $\wedge^m V$:

$$\wedge^m V = F_0 \supset F_1 \cdots \supset F_m \supset F_{m+1} = 0.$$

One has $F_m = \wedge^m A$. More generally, the quotient $V_\alpha = F_\alpha / F_{\alpha+1}$ can be identified with $\wedge^\alpha A \otimes \wedge^\beta B$, where $\beta = m - \alpha$; in this identification, an element $x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_m$ of F_α (with $x_i \in A$ for $i \leq \alpha$, as above) corresponds to

$$(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_\alpha) \otimes (\bar{x}_{\alpha+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{x}_m),$$

where \bar{x}_i is the image of x_i in B .

Assume now $\alpha \geq 1$, and put $V_\alpha^2 = F_{\alpha-1} / F_{\alpha+1}$. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V_\alpha \rightarrow V_\alpha^2 \rightarrow V_{\alpha-1} \rightarrow 0, \tag{5.1.3}$$

hence an extension class (V_α^2) in $H^1(G, V_\alpha \otimes V_{\alpha-1}^*)$.

Since $V_\alpha = \wedge^\alpha A \otimes \wedge^\beta B$, we may view (V_α^2) as an element of the cohomology group

$$H^1(G, \wedge^\alpha A \otimes \wedge^\beta B \otimes \wedge^{\alpha-1} A^* \otimes \wedge^{\beta+1} B^*). \tag{5.1.4}$$

5.1.5. Comparison of the Classes (V) and (V_α^2)

The exterior product $(u, x) \mapsto u \wedge x$ defines a map from $\wedge^{\alpha-1}A \otimes A$ to $\wedge^\alpha A$, hence a C_G -morphism:

$$\theta_{A, \alpha}: A \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(\wedge^{\alpha-1}A, \wedge^\alpha A) = \wedge^\alpha A \otimes \wedge^{\alpha-1}A^*. \quad (5.1.6)$$

The same construction, applied to B^* and to $\beta + 1$, gives

$$\theta_{B^*, \beta+1}: B^* \rightarrow \wedge^{\beta+1}B^* \otimes \wedge^\beta B. \quad (5.1.7)$$

By tensoring these two maps, and multiplying by $(-1)^\beta$, we get

$$\Theta_\alpha: A \otimes B^* \rightarrow \wedge^\alpha A \otimes \wedge^\beta B \otimes \wedge^{\alpha-1}A^* \otimes \wedge^{\beta+1}B^*. \quad (5.1.8)$$

Since Θ_α is a C_G -morphism, it defines a map

$$\Theta_\alpha^1: H^1(G, A \otimes B^*) \rightarrow H^1(G, \wedge^\alpha A \otimes \wedge^\beta B \otimes \wedge^{\alpha-1}A^* \otimes \wedge^{\beta+1}B^*).$$

LEMMA 5.1.9. *The image by Θ_α^1 of the class (V) of (5.1.1) is the class (V_α^2) of (5.1.3).*

Proof (sketch). Select a k -splitting f of (5.1.1). Using f , one may identify the exterior algebra $\wedge V$ with $\wedge A \otimes \wedge B$. This defines a k -splitting f_α of V_α^2 . An explicit computation (which we do not reproduce) shows that the cocycle c_{f_α} corresponding to f_α is the image by Θ_α of the cocycle c_f . Hence the lemma.

The next step is to give criteria for Θ_α^1 to be injective. Put

$$a = \dim A \quad \text{and} \quad b = \dim B, \quad (5.1.10)$$

so that we have

$$\dim V = a + b. \quad (5.1.11)$$

LEMMA 5.1.12. *Assume $\binom{a-1}{\alpha-1} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then the morphism $\theta_{A, \alpha}$ defined above is a split injection.*

(Recall that $\binom{x}{y}$ is the binomial coefficient $x(x-1)\cdots(x-y+1)/y!$)

Proof (sketch). Consider the C_G -morphism

$$\theta_{A^*, \alpha}: A^* \rightarrow \wedge^\alpha A^* \otimes \wedge^{\alpha-1}A,$$

and let

$$\theta'_{A^*, \alpha}: \wedge^\alpha A \otimes \wedge^{\alpha-1}A^* \rightarrow A$$

be its transpose. One has

$$\theta'_{A^*, \alpha} \circ \theta_{A, \alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} a-1 \\ \alpha-1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot 1_A \quad \text{in } \text{End}(A). \quad (5.1.13)$$

This identity is proved by a straightforward computation: one chooses a k -basis of the vector space A ; this gives bases of $\wedge^\alpha A, \wedge^{\alpha-1}A^*, \dots$; one

determines the corresponding matrices, etc. The details are left to the reader.

Once (5.1.13) is checked, Lemma 5.1.12 is obvious.

LEMMA 5.1.14. *Assume $\binom{b-1}{\beta} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then the morphism $\theta_{B^*, \beta+1}$ defined above is a split injection.*

Proof. This follows from the preceding lemma, with A replaced by B^* and α by $\beta + 1$.

LEMMA 5.1.15. *Assume*

$$\binom{a-1}{\alpha-1} \cdot \binom{b-1}{\beta} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Then:

- (i) *The C_G -morphism Θ_α defined in (5.1.8) is a split injection.*
- (ii) *The map*

$$\Theta_\alpha^1: H^1(G, A \otimes B^*) \rightarrow H^1(G, \wedge^\alpha A \otimes \wedge^\beta B \otimes \wedge^{\alpha-1} A^* \otimes \wedge^{\beta+1} B^*)$$

is injective.

Proof. Assertion (i) follows from Lemmas 5.1.12 and 5.1.14 since the tensor product of two split injections is a split injection. Assertion (ii) follows from assertion (i).

LEMMA 5.1.16. *Assume*

$$\binom{a-1}{\alpha-1} \cdot \binom{b-1}{\beta} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

If the exact sequence (5.1.3) splits, then $A \rightarrow V$ is a split injection.

Proof. We have $(V_\alpha^2) = 0$ by hypothesis. Since (V_α^2) is the image of (V) by Θ_α^1 (cf. Lemma 5.1.9) and Θ_α^1 is injective (cf. Lemma 5.1.15), we have $(V) = 0$.

5.2. Semisimplicity Statements

Let V be as above an object of C_G , and m an integer ≥ 1 .

THEOREM 5.2.1. *Assume that $\wedge^m V$ is semisimple, and that the integer $\dim V$ has the following property:*

(*) *For every pair of integers $a, b \geq 1$ with $a + b = \dim V$, there exists an integer α , with $1 \leq \alpha \leq m$, such that*

$$\binom{a-1}{\alpha-1} \cdot \binom{b-1}{m-\alpha} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \tag{5.2.2}$$

Then V is semisimple.

Proof. Let A be a subobject of V , and let $B = V/A$. We want to show that $A \rightarrow V$ splits. We may assume that $A \neq 0$, $B \neq 0$. Put as above

$$a = \dim A \quad \text{and} \quad b = \dim B.$$

We have $a, b \geq 1$ and $a + b = \dim V$. Choose α as in (5.2.2). Since $\wedge^m V$ is semisimple, the same is true for its subquotients, and in particular for V_α^2 (cf. Sect. 5.1.2). Hence the exact sequence (5.1.3) splits. By Lemma 5.1.16, this implies that $A \rightarrow V$ splits.

EXAMPLE 5.2.3. If $m = 2$, α may take the values 1 and 2 and (5.2.2) means:

$$b - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \text{if } \alpha = 1,$$

$$a - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \text{if } \alpha = 2.$$

If $\dim V = a + b$ is not congruent to 2 (mod p), one of these two is true. Hence $\wedge^2 V$ semisimple $\Rightarrow V$ semisimple, and we recover Theorem 4.5.

Here are two other examples:

THEOREM 5.2.4. Assume $\wedge^3 V$ is semisimple and

$$\dim V \not\equiv 2, 3 \pmod{p} \quad \text{if } p \neq 2,$$

$$\dim V \not\equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4} \quad \text{if } p = 2.$$

Then V is semisimple.

Proof. Here α may take the values 1, 2, 3 and (5.2.2) means

$$(b - 1)(b - 2)/2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \text{if } \alpha = 1,$$

$$(a - 1)(b - 1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \text{if } \alpha = 2,$$

$$(a - 1)(a - 2)/2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \text{if } \alpha = 3.$$

If $p \neq 2$, these conditions mean, respectively,

$$b \not\equiv 1, 2 \pmod{p},$$

$$a \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p} \quad \text{and} \quad b \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p},$$

$$a \not\equiv 1, 2 \pmod{p}.$$

If $a + b \not\equiv 2, 3 \pmod{p}$, it is clear that one of them is fulfilled.

The case $p = 2$ is similar; the only difference is that the congruence

$$(x - 1)(x - 2)/2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

means that $x \not\equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$.

THEOREM 5.2.5. *Assume that $\wedge^m V$ is semisimple and*

$$\dim V \not\equiv 2, 3, \dots, m \pmod{p}.$$

Then V is semisimple.

Proof. Consider first the case $p = 0$ (see also Sect. 6.1 below). By assumption we have $\dim V \not\equiv 2, 3, \dots, m$. (Note that it is *a priori* obvious that these dimensions have to be excluded.) We may assume $\dim V \neq 0, 1$, hence $\dim V > m$. If $a + b = \dim V$, with $a, b \geq 1$, we put $\alpha = 1 + \sup(0, m - b)$. We have $a - 1 \geq \alpha - 1$ and $b - 1 \geq m - \alpha$ hence both $\binom{a-1}{\alpha-1}$ and $\binom{b-1}{m-\alpha}$ are $\neq 0$. Hence (5.2.2) is satisfied.

Suppose now $p > 0$. The hypothesis $\dim V \not\equiv 2, 3, \dots, m \pmod{p}$ implies $p \geq m$. Hence condition (5.2.2) may be rewritten as

$$a \not\equiv 1, 2, \dots, \alpha - 1 \pmod{p} \quad \text{and} \quad b \not\equiv 1, 2, \dots, m - \alpha \pmod{p}. \quad (5.2.26)$$

If $b \not\equiv 1, 2, \dots, m - 1 \pmod{p}$, we put $\alpha = 1$ and (5.2.6) holds. If $b \equiv i \pmod{p}$ with $1 \leq i \leq m - 1$, we put $\alpha = m - i + 1$. One has

$$a \not\equiv 1, 2, \dots, \alpha - 1 \pmod{p},$$

because otherwise $\dim V$ would be congruent \pmod{p} to $i + 1, \dots, m$, which would contradict our assumption. Hence (5.2.6) holds

5.3. Higher Symmetric Powers

We assume here that $p > m$ (or $p = 0$), so that the dual of $\text{Sym}^\alpha V$ for $\alpha \leq m$ is $\text{Sym}^\alpha V^*$.

THEOREM 5.3.1. *If $\text{Sym}^m V$ is semisimple and $\dim V \not\equiv -2, -3, \dots, -m \pmod{p}$, then V is semisimple.*

Proof (sketch). One rewrites the previous sections with exterior powers replaced by symmetric powers. The sign problems disappear. Moreover, the integer $\binom{a-1}{\alpha-1}$ of (5.1.13) becomes $\binom{a+\alpha-1}{\alpha-1}$. The rest of the proof is the same.

6. FURTHER REMARKS

6.1. Characteristic Zero

When $p = 0$, the theorems of Sects. 2–5 can be obtained more simply by the following method (essentially due to Chevalley [2]):

We want to prove that a linear representation V of G is semisimple, knowing (say) that $\wedge^m V$ is semisimple and $\dim V \not\equiv 2, 3, \dots, m$. By enlarg-

ing k , we may assume it is algebraically closed; we may also assume that $G \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(V)$ is injective and that its image is Zariski-closed; hence G may be viewed as a linear algebraic group over k (more correctly: as the group of k -points of an algebraic linear group). See [6] for these easy reduction steps. Let U be the unipotent radical of G (maximal normal unipotent subgroup). Because the characteristic is 0, an algebraic linear representation of G is semisimple if and only if its kernel contains U . Since $\wedge^m V$ is assumed to be semisimple, this shows that U is contained in the kernel of $\mathbf{GL}(V) \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(\wedge^m V)$. If $\dim V \neq 2, 3, \dots, m$, this kernel is of order m (if $\dim V > m$) or is a torus (if $\dim V < 2$); such a group has no nontrivial unipotent subgroup. Hence $U = 1$, and the given representation $G \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(V)$ is semisimple.

6.2. Generalizations

All the results of Sects. 2–5 extend to linear representations of *Lie algebras*, and also of *restricted Lie algebras* (if $p > 0$). This is easy to check.

A less obvious generalization consists of replacing C_G by a *tensor category* C over k , in the sense of Deligne [3]. Such a category is an abelian category, with the following extra structures:

- (a) for every $V_1, V_2 \in \text{ob}(C)$, a k -vector space structure on $\text{Hom}^C(V_1, V_2)$;
- (b) an exact bifunctor $C \times C \rightarrow C$, denoted by $(V_1, V_2) \mapsto V_1 \otimes V_2$;
- (c) a commutativity isomorphism $V_1 \otimes V_2 \rightarrow V_2 \otimes V_1$;
- (d) an associativity isomorphism $(V_1 \otimes V_2) \otimes V_3 \rightarrow V_1 \otimes (V_2 \otimes V_3)$.

These data have to fulfill several axioms mimicking what happens in C_G (cf. [3]). For instance, there should exist an object “ $\underline{1}$ ” with $\underline{1} \otimes V = V$ for every V , and $\text{End}^C(\underline{1}) = k$; there should be a “dual” V^* with $V^{**} = V$ and $\text{Hom}^C(W, V^*) = \text{Hom}^C(V \otimes W, \underline{1})$ for every $W \in \text{ob}(C)$; etc.

If $V \in \text{ob}(C)$, there are natural morphisms

$$\underline{1} \rightarrow V \otimes V^* \quad \text{and} \quad V \otimes V^* \rightarrow \underline{1}.$$

The *dimension* of V is the element of $k = \text{End}^C(\underline{1})$ defined by the composition

$$\underline{1} \rightarrow V \otimes V^* \rightarrow \underline{1}.$$

It is not always an integer.

All the results of Sects. 2 and 3 are true for C provided the conditions on $\dim V$ or $\dim W$ are interpreted as taking place in k . For instance, if $V \otimes W$ is semisimple and $\dim W \neq 0$ (in k), then V is semisimple. The proofs

require some minor changes: e.g., in Lemma 3.1, one needs to define directly the morphisms

$$f_V: V \otimes V \otimes V^* \rightarrow V \quad \text{and} \quad j_V: V \rightarrow V \otimes V \otimes V^*.$$

Moreover, the basic equality $f_V \circ j_V = 1_V$ is one of the axioms of a tensor category, (cf. [3], (2.1.2)).

As for the results of Sect. 4 on $\Lambda^2 V$ and $\text{Sym}^2 V$, they remain true at least when $p \neq 2$, but some of the proofs (e.g., that of Proposition 4.2) have to be written differently. I am not sure of what happens with Sect. 5: I have not managed to rewrite the proofs in tensor category style. Still, I feel that Theorem 5.2.5 (on $\Lambda^m V$) and Theorem 5.3.1 (on $\text{Sym}^m V$) should remain true whenever $m! \neq 0$ in k (i.e., $p = 0$ or $p > m$).

Remark. An interesting feature of the tensor category point of view is the following principle, which was pointed out to me by Deligne:

Any result on Λ^m implies a result for Sym^m , and conversely (here again we assume $m! \neq 0$ in k). This is done by associating to each tensor category C the category $C' = \text{super}(C)$, whose objects are the pairs $V' = (V_0, V_1)$ of objects of C ; such a V' is viewed as a graded object $V' = V_0 \oplus V_1$, with grading group $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$. The tensor structure of C' is defined in an obvious way, except that the commutativity isomorphism is modified according to the Koszul sign rule: the chosen isomorphism between $(0, V_1) \otimes (0, W_1)$ and $(0, W_1) \otimes (0, V_1)$ is the *opposite* of the obvious one. With this convention, one finds that

$$\dim V' = \dim V_0 - \dim V_1.$$

In particular, if $V \in \text{ob}(C)$, one has $\dim(0, V) = -\dim V$. Moreover, one checks that

$$\Lambda^m(0, V) = \begin{cases} (\text{Sym}^m V, 0) & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ (0, \text{Sym}^m V) & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Hence any general theorem on the functor Λ^m , when applied to C' , gives a corresponding theorem for the functor Sym^m , with a sign change in dimensions (compare for instance Theorem 4.5 and Theorem 4.7).

7. EXAMPLES

The aim of this section is to construct examples showing that the congruence conditions on $\dim W$ and $\dim V$ in Theorems 2.4, 4.5, and 5.2.5 are *best possible*.

We assume that p is > 0 and that k is algebraically closed

7.1. The Group G

Let C be a cyclic group of order p , with generator s . Choose a finite abelian group A on which C acts. Assume

(7.1.1) *the order $|A|$ of A is prime to p , and $|A| > 1$.*

(7.1.2) *the action of C on $A - \{1\}$ is free.*

Let G be the semidirect product $A \cdot C$ of C by A . It is a Frobenius group, with Frobenius kernel A .

Let $X = \text{Hom}(A, k^\times)$ be the character group of A ; we write X additively and, if $a \in A$ and $x \in X$, the image of a by x is denoted by a^x . The group C acts on X by duality, and condition (7.1.2) is equivalent to:

(7.1.3) *The action of C on $X - \{0\}$ is free (i.e., $sx = x \Rightarrow x = 0$).*

If $x \in X$, denote by $\underline{1}^x \in C_A$ the k -vector space k on which A acts via the character x . The induced module $W(x) = \text{Ind}_A^G \underline{1}^x$ is an object of C_G , of dimension p . One checks easily:

(7.1.4) *If $x \neq 0$, $W(x)$ is simple and projective (in C_G).*

Moreover

(7.1.5) *Every $V \in \text{ob}(C_G)$ splits uniquely as $V = E \oplus P$, where E is the subspace of V fixed under A , and P is a direct sum of modules $W(x)$, with $x \in X - \{0\}$.*

If we decompose V in $V = \bigoplus V_x$, where V_x is the A -eigenspace relative to x (i.e., the set of $v \in V$ such that $a \cdot v = a^x v$ for every $a \in A$), one has $E = V_0$ and $P = \bigoplus_{y \neq 0} V_y$.

From (7.1.5) follow:

(7.1.6) *V is semisimple if and only if the action of C on E is trivial (i.e., if and only if $E \cong \underline{1} \oplus \dots \oplus \underline{1}$).*

(7.1.7) *V is projective if and only if E is C -projective (i.e., if and only if E is a multiple of the regular representation of C).*

Note that both (7.1.6) and (7.1.7) apply when $E = 0$, i.e., when no element of V , except 0, is fixed by A .

(7.1.8) *Let x be an element of $X - \{0\}$. If $V = V_0$ (i.e., if A acts trivially on V), then $V \otimes W(x)$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of m copies of $W(x)$, where $m = \dim V$.*

Indeed, V is a successive extension of m copies of $\underline{1}$, hence $V \otimes W(x)$ is a successive extension of m copies of $W(x)$; these extensions split since $W(x)$ is projective (7.1.4); hence the result.

7.2. Examples Relative to Theorem 2.4

We reproduce here an example due to W. Feit, showing that the congruence condition “ $\dim W \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ” of Theorem 2.4 is the best possible:

PROPOSITION 7.2.1. *Let G be a finite group of the type in Sect. 7.1, and let n, m be two positive integers, with $m > 1$ and n divisible by p . There exist $V, W \in \text{ob}(C_G)$ such that:*

- (i) $\dim V = m$ and $\dim W = n$;
- (ii) V is not semisimple;
- (iii) $V \otimes W$ is semisimple.

Proof. Choose:

$V =$ a non-semisimple C -module of dimension m (such a module exists since $m > 1$);

$$W = W(x_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus W(x_{n/p}), \text{ with } x_i \in X - \{0\}.$$

The projection $G \rightarrow C$ makes V into a G -module with trivial A -action. It is clear that (i) and (ii) are true. By (7.1.8), $V \otimes W$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of m copies of W , hence it is semisimple.

7.3. Examples relative to Theorems 4.5 and 5.2.5

The following proposition shows that the congruence conditions of Theorem 5.2.5 are the best possible:

PROPOSITION 7.3.1. *Let i and n be two integers with $2 \leq i \leq p$, $n > 0$ and $n \equiv i \pmod{p}$. There exists a finite group G of the type described in Sect. 7.1 and an object V of C_G such that:*

- (a) $\dim V = n$;
- (b) V is not semisimple;
- (c) $\Lambda^m V$ is semisimple for every m such that $i \leq m \leq p$.

The case $i = 2$ gives the following result, which shows that the condition $\dim V \not\equiv 2 \pmod{p}$ of Theorem 4.5 is the best possible:

COROLLARY 7.3.2. *If $n > 0$ and $n \equiv 2 \pmod{p}$, there exist a finite group G and a non-semisimple G -module V such that $\dim V = n$ and $\Lambda^2 V$ is semisimple.*

(Even better: $\Lambda^m V$ is semisimple for $2 \leq m \leq p$.)

Proof of Proposition 7.3.1. We need to choose a suitable $G = A \cdot C$ of the type described in Sect. 7.1. To do so, write n as $n = i + hp$, with $h \geq 0$.

LEMMA 7.3.3. *There exist a finite abelian group X , on which C acts, and h elements x_1, \dots, x_h of X , with the following properties:*

(7.3.4) *The action of C on $X - \{0\}$ is free.*

(7.3.5) *For every family (I_1, \dots, I_h) of nonempty subsets of $[0, p - 1]$ the relation*

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^h \sum_{j \in I_\alpha} s^j x_\alpha = 0 \quad (*)$$

implies $I_\alpha = [0, p - 1]$ for $\alpha = 1, \dots, h$.

Proof. Assume first that $h = 1$. In that case, (7.3.5) just means that, if I is a subset of $[0, p - 1]$, with $0 < |I| < p$, one has $\sum_{j \in I} s^j x_1 \neq 0$. This is easy to achieve: choose some integer $e > 1$, prime to p , and define X_1 to be the augmentation module of the group ring $\mathbf{Z}/e\mathbf{Z}[C]$, i.e., the kernel of $\mathbf{Z}/e\mathbf{Z}[C] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/e\mathbf{Z}$; put $x_1 = 1 - s$. It is easy to check that (X_1, x_1) has the required property.

If $h > 1$, one takes for X the direct sum of h copies of the C -module X_1 defined above, and one defines x_1, \dots, x_h to be

$$(x_1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, (0, \dots, 0, x_1).$$

Proof of Proposition 7.3.1 (continued). Let (X, x_1, \dots, x_h) be as in Lemma 7.3.3. Property (7.3.4) implies

$$|X| \equiv 1 \pmod{p},$$

hence $|X|$ is prime to p . Let $A = \text{Hom}(X, k^\times)$ be the dual of X ; then X is the dual of A . The semidirect product $G = A \cdot C$ is a group of the type described in Sect. 7.1. Define $V \in \text{ob}(C_G)$ by

$$V = E \oplus W(x_1) \oplus \dots \oplus W(x_h), \quad (7.3.6)$$

where E is a non-semisimple C -module of dimension i (viewed as a G -module with trivial action of A), and $W(x_\alpha) = \text{Ind}_C^{G_1} \mathbf{1}^{x_\alpha}$ (cf. Sect. 7.1). We have $\dim V = i + hp = n$, and it is clear that V is not semisimple. It remains to check that $\wedge^m V$ is semisimple if $i \leq m \leq p$. By (7.3.6), $\wedge^m V$ is a direct sum of modules of type:

$$\wedge^a E \otimes \wedge^{b_1} W(x_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \wedge^{b_h} W(x_h), \quad (7.3.7)$$

with $a + b_1 + \cdots + b_h = m$. Let us show that every such module is semisimple. If all b_α are 0, we have $a = m \geq i$, and $\wedge^a E$ is 0 if $m > i$ and $\wedge^a E = \underline{1}$ if $m = i$. Hence (7.3.7) is either 0 or $\underline{1}$ and is semisimple. We may thus assume that one of the b_α is > 0 . By using induction on h , we may even assume that all the b_α are > 0 . Since

$$b_1 + \cdots + b_h = m - a \leq p,$$

the b_α are $\leq p$. Suppose one of them, say b_1 , is equal to p . We have then

$$b_2 = \cdots = b_h = 0, \quad m = p, \quad a = 0,$$

and the G -module (7.3.7) is equal to $\wedge^p W(x_1) = \underline{1}$, hence is semisimple. We may thus assume that $0 < b_\alpha < p$ for every α . Observe now that, if $x \in X - \{0\}$, the characters of A occurring in the A -module $W(x)$ are $x, sx, \dots, s^{p-1}x$, and their multiplicity is equal to 1. Hence the characters occurring in $\wedge^b W(x)$ are of the form $\sum_{j \in I} s^j x$, for a subset I of $[0, p-1]$ with $|I| = b$. By applying this remark to the $W(x_\alpha)$, one sees that the characters of A occurring in (7.3.7) are of the form

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^h \sum_{j \in I_\alpha} s^j x,$$

with $|I_\alpha| = b_\alpha$. Since $0 < b_\alpha < p$ for every α , it follows from (7.3.5) that such a character is $\neq 0$. Hence no element of (7.3.7), except 0, is fixed under A . By (7.1.6), this implies that (7.3.7) is semisimple. This concludes the proof.

APPENDIX

by Walter Feit

A.1

Let G be a finite group, let p be a prime and let k be a field of characteristic $p > 0$. If V is a $k[G]$ -module of dimension n such that $\wedge^2 V$ is semisimple, then V is semisimple unless $n \equiv 2 \pmod{p}$ by Theorem 4.5. Similarly, Theorem 4.6 asserts that if $\text{Sym}^2(V)$ is semisimple then so is V unless $n \equiv -2 \pmod{p}$.

Corollary 7.3.2 implies that, for odd p , Theorem 4.5 is the best possible result. In Theorem A2 below, it is shown that for $p = 2$, for infinitely many but not all even n , there exists a non-semisimple module V of dimension n with $\wedge^2 V$ semisimple.

Furthermore, Theorem A1(ii) shows that if p is a prime such that $p|(2^m + 1)$ for some natural number m , then there exist infinitely many integers n and non-semisimple modules V of dimension n with $\text{Sym}^2 V$ semisimple.

If p is odd there always exist infinitely many natural numbers m such that $p|(2^m - 1)$. The situation is more complicated in case (ii) of Theorem A1. By quadratic reciprocity $p|(2^m + 1)$ for some m if $p \equiv 3$ or $5 \pmod{8}$, and $p \nmid (2^m + 1)$ for any m if $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$. In case $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, such an m may or may not exist, the smallest value in this case where no such m exists is $p = 73$. It is not known whether a non-semisimple V exists with $\text{Sym}^2 V$ semisimple in case p is a prime such as $7, 23, \dots$ which does not divide $2^m + 1$ for any natural number m .

If $p = 2^m + 1$ is a Fermat prime, Corollary 4.10 implies that the module V constructed in Theorem A1(ii) has the smallest possible dimension $2^{m+1} = 2p - 2$. For no other primes is it known to us whether there exists a non-semisimple module V with $\text{Sym}^2 V$ semisimple of the smallest possible dimension $2p - 2$.

The method of proof of Theorem A1 also yields some additional examples for all odd primes, of non-semisimple modules V with $\wedge^2 V$ semisimple.

Basic results from modular representation theory are used freely below. See, e.g., [4]. The following notation is used, where p is a prime and G is a finite group:

F is a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , the p -adic numbers;

R is the ring of integers in F ;

π is a prime element in R .

From now on it will be assumed that $k = R/\pi R$ is the residue class field. Moreover both F and k are splitting fields of G in all cases that arise.

PROPOSITION A.1.1. *Let $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ be a Brauer character of G , where α_1 is the sum of irreducible Brauer characters which are afforded by projective modules, and α_2 is the sum of irreducible Brauer characters φ_i , no two of which are in the same p -block. Then any $k[G]$ -module W which affords α is semisimple.*

Proof. Since a projective submodule of any module is a direct summand, $W = W_1 \oplus W_2$, where W_i affords α_i . Furthermore, W_1 is the direct sum of irreducible projective modules, and so is semisimple. W_2 is semisimple as all the constituents of an indecomposable module lie in the same block.

An immediate consequence of Proposition A.1.1 is

COROLLARY A.1.1. *Let $\theta = \sum \chi_i + \sum \zeta_j$ be a character of G , where each χ_i and ζ_j is irreducible. Let U be an R -free $R[G]$ -module which affords θ . Suppose that the following hold:*

- (i) χ_i has defect 0 for each i .
- (ii) ζ_j is irreducible as a Brauer character for each j .
- (iii) If $j \neq j'$ then $\zeta_{j'}$ and ζ_j are in distinct blocks.

Then $\bar{U} = U/\pi U$ is semisimple.

Corollary A.1.1 yields a criterion to determine when a module is semisimple, which depends only on the computation of ordinary characters. To construct a non-semisimple $k[G]$ -module the following result is helpful.

PROPOSITION A.1.2 (Thompson, see [4, I.17.12]). *Let U be a projective indecomposable $R[G]$ -module. Let V be an $F[G]$ -module which is a summand of $F \otimes U$. Then there exists an R -free $R[G]$ -module W with $F \otimes W \approx V$ and $\bar{W} = W/\pi W$ indecomposable.*

COROLLARY A.1.2. *Let $\theta = \eta + \psi$ be the character afforded by a projective indecomposable $R[G]$ -module, where η and ψ are characters. Then there exists an indecomposable $\bar{R}[G]$ -module which affords η as a Brauer character.*

A.2

See [5, pp. 355–357] for the results below.

Let D denote a dihedral group of order 8 and let Q be a quaternion group of order 8.

Let m be a natural number. Up to isomorphism there are two extra-special groups of order 2^{2m+1} , $T(m, \varepsilon)$ for $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. The first, $T(m, 1)$, is the central product of m copies of D , while $T(m, -1)$ is the central product of Q with $m - 1$ copies of D . Let $T = T(m, \varepsilon)$, let Z be the center of T and let $\bar{T} = T/Z$. The map $q = q(m, \varepsilon): T \rightarrow Z$ with $q(y) = y^2$ defines a nondegenerate quadratic form on \bar{T} , where Z is identified with the field of two elements. $\bar{T}(m, 1)$ has a maximal isotropic subspace of dimension m , while $\bar{T}(m, -1)$ has a maximal isotropic subspace of dimension $m - 1$. Furthermore the orthogonal group $O_{2m}(q, \varepsilon)$ acts as a group of automorphisms of $T(m, \varepsilon)$.

$O_{2m}(q, \varepsilon)$ has a cyclic subgroup of order $2^m - \varepsilon$ which acts regularly on $\bar{T}(m, \varepsilon)$. Thus if p is a prime with $p|(2^m - \varepsilon)$, then T has an automorphism σ of order p whose fixed point set is Z .

Let $P = \langle \sigma \rangle$ and let G be the semidirect product PT . Then $\bar{G} = P\bar{T}$ is a Frobenius group with Frobenius kernel \bar{T} .

Every irreducible character of \bar{G} which does not have \bar{T} in its kernel is induced from a nonprincipal linear character of \bar{T} and so has degree p .

T has one faithful irreducible character χ . Thus χ extends to an irreducible character of G in p distinct ways. The values of χ are easily computed. Hence all characters of G can be described as follows:

PROPOSITION A.2.1. (i) G has p linear characters $1 = \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p$, whose kernels contain T .

(ii) The irreducible characters of \bar{G} which do not have \bar{T} in their kernel all have degree p , and so are of p -defect 0.

(iii) There is a faithful irreducible character $\tilde{\chi}$ of G such that $\tilde{\chi}\lambda_1, \dots, \tilde{\chi}\lambda_p$ are all the faithful irreducible characters of G . Furthermore, $\tilde{\chi}(1) = 2^m$ and $\tilde{\chi}$ vanishes on all elements of $T - Z$.

(iv) There are two p -blocks of G of positive defect. The sets of irreducible characters in them are $\{\lambda_i | 1 \leq i \leq p\}$ and $\{\tilde{\chi}\lambda_i | 1 \leq i \leq p\}$, respectively.

(v) Every irreducible character of G is irreducible as a Brauer character.

The notation of this subsection, especially of Proposition A.2.1, will be used freely below.

A.3

THEOREM A1. Assume that $p \neq 2$.

(i) Let m be a natural number such that $p|(2^m - 1)$. Then there exists a finite group G and a non-semisimple $k[G]$ -module V of dimension $2^{m+1} \equiv 2 \pmod{p}$ such that $\wedge^2 V$ is semisimple.

(ii) If $p|(2^m + 1)$ for a natural number m , then there exists a finite group G and a non-semisimple $k[G]$ -module V of dimension $2^{m+1} \equiv -2 \pmod{p}$ such that $\text{Sym}^2 V$ is semisimple.

Proof. Let G be as in the previous subsection. As a Brauer character, $\tilde{\chi}\lambda_i$ is \mathbb{Q} -valued for all i . As the induced character $\chi^G = \sum \tilde{\chi}\lambda_i$, it is the character afforded by a projective indecomposable $k[G]$ -module. Hence by Corollary A.1.2 there exists an indecomposable $k[G]$ -module V which affords the Brauer character $\theta = 2\tilde{\chi}$, since $\tilde{\chi} + \tilde{\chi}\lambda_i$ agrees with $2\tilde{\chi}$ as a Brauer character. Since χ is irreducible, θ^2 restricted to T contains the principal character of T with multiplicity 4. Thus θ^2 is the sum of four linear Brauer characters and irreducible Brauer characters of p -defect 0.

V is a $k[G]$ -module, and hence also a $k[T]$ -module. As T is a p' -group, Brauer characters of T are ordinary characters. Let skew be the character

of T afforded by $\wedge^2 V$ and let sym denote the character of T afforded by $\text{Sym}^2 V$. Then for y in T

$$\begin{aligned}\text{skew}(y) &= (\theta(y)^2 - \theta(y^2))/2, \\ \text{sym}(y) &= (\theta(y)^2 + \theta(y^2))/2.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\nu = \pm 1$ denote the Frobenius–Schur index of χ . Then $\sum_{y \in T} \chi(y^2) = \nu|T|$. Hence $\sum_{y \in T} \theta(y^2) = 2\nu|T|$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{|T|} \sum_{y \in T} \text{skew}(y) &= 2 - \nu, \\ \frac{1}{|T|} \sum_{y \in T} \text{sym}(y) &= 2 + \nu.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, both skew and sym contain the principal character as a constituent.

Therefore the Brauer characters sy , sk of G afforded by $\text{Sym}^2 V$ and by $\wedge^2 V$, respectively, both contain at least one linear constituent. Hence each contains at most three linear constituents as $V \otimes V$ has exactly four linear constituents.

(i) $\text{sk}(1) = (2^{m+1}(2^{m+1} - 1))/2$, hence $\text{sk}(1) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

As $p \geq 3$ this implies that $\text{sk} = 1 + \beta$, where β is the sum of irreducible projective Brauer characters. Thus $\wedge^2 V$ is semisimple.

(ii) $\text{sy}(1) = (2^{m+1}(2^{m+1} + 1))/2$, hence $\text{sy}(1) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

As $p \geq 3$ this implies that $\text{sy} = 1 + \beta$, where β is the sum of irreducible projective Brauer characters. Thus $\text{Sym}^2 V$ is semisimple.

Remark. The argument in the proof of Theorem A1 involving the Frobenius–Schur index is only needed for $p = 3$. If $p > 3$, then the last two statements in the proof are clear.

Serre has pointed out that V can be defined directly as $E \otimes X$, where E is an indecomposable two-dimensional module of $P = G/T$ and X is the irreducible G -module afforded by $\tilde{\chi}$ as a Brauer character.

A.4

THEOREM A2. *Suppose that $p = 2$. Let $q \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ be a prime power and let $G = \text{SL}(2, q)$. Then there exists a non-semisimple $k[G]$ -module V of dimension $(q + 1)/2$ such that $\wedge^2 V$ is semisimple.*

Proof. The irreducible characters in the principal 2-block of $\text{PSL}(2, q)$ are 1, St , ψ_1 , and ψ_2 , where $\psi_i(1) = (q - 1)/2$ for $i = 1, 2$. The restriction

of ψ_i to a Borel subgroup is irreducible and so ψ_i is irreducible as a Brauer character. The remaining 2-blocks of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, q)$ are either of defect 0 or 1.

There are $(q - 3)/8$ 2-blocks B_i of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, q)$ of defect 1. The Brauer tree of each B_i has two vertices and one edge, and so every irreducible character in B_i is irreducible as a Brauer character.

Let χ_{i1} and χ_{i2} be the irreducible characters in B_i . The notation can be chosen so that $\chi_{i1}(u) = 2$ and $\chi_{i2}(u) = -2$ for an involution u .

$\mathrm{SL}(2, q)$ has a faithful irreducible character η of degree $(q + 1)/2$ whose values lie in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-q})$ with $\eta = 1 + \psi_1$ as a Brauer character. By Corollary A.1.2 there exists an R -free $R[G]$ -module W which affords η such that $V = W/\pi W$ is indecomposable. The center of G acts trivially on $\wedge^2 W$ and so $\wedge^2 W$ is an $R[\mathrm{PSL}(2, q)]$ -module. Direct computation shows that $\wedge^2 W$ affords $\psi_1 + \sum \chi_{i1}$. By Corollary A.1.1, $\wedge^2 V$ is semisimple.

REFERENCES

1. N. Bourbaki, Modules et anneaux semi-simples, in "Algèbre," Chap. VIII, Hermann, Paris, 1958.
2. C. Chevalley, "Théorie des Groupes de Lie," Vol. III, Hermann, Paris, 1954.
3. P. Deligne, Catégories tannakiennes, in "The Grothendieck Festschrift," Vol. II, pp. 111–195, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1990.
4. W. Feit, "The Representation Theory of Finite Groups," North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.
5. B. Huppert, "Endliche Gruppen" I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1967.
6. J.-P. Serre, Sur la semi-simplicité des produits tensoriels de représentations de groupes, *Invent. Math.* **116** (1994), 513–530.