

# SIMPLE QUASICRYSTALS ARE SETS OF STABLE SAMPLING

BASARAB MATEI AND YVES MEYER

VERSION FRANÇAISE ABRÉGÉE

Soit  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  un ensemble compact et soit  $E_K \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  le sous-espace de  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  composé de toutes les fonctions  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  dont la transformée de Fourier  $\hat{f}(\xi) = \int e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} f(x) dx$  est nulle hors de  $K$ . En utilisant la terminologie introduite dans [3], un ensemble  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  est un “ensemble d’échantillonnage stable” pour  $E_K$  s’il existe une constante  $C$  telle que pour toute  $f \in E_K$  on ait

$$(0.1) \quad \|f\|_2^2 \leq C \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |f(\lambda)|^2.$$

Si  $n = 1$  et si  $K$  est un intervalle de  $\mathbb{R}$ , le problème a été résolu par Albert Ingham en 1936. L’énoncé d’Ingham a été ensuite généralisé par Beurling et c’est sous cette forme que nous l’utiliserons.

Pour  $n \geq 1$  et  $K$  arbitraire, H.J. Landau [3] a démontré que (0.1) implique  $\text{dens } \Lambda \geq |K|$ . Mais la réciproque n’est pas vraie et  $|K| < \text{dens } \Lambda$  n’implique pas (0.1) même dans le cas le plus simple où  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Nous allons prouver le résultat suivant : *Pour tout quasicrystal simple  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  et tout ensemble compact  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , la condition  $|K| < \text{dens } \Lambda$  entraîne (0.1).*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is motivated by some recent advances on what is now called “compressed sensing”. Let us begin with a theorem by Terence Tao. Let  $p$  be a prime number and  $\mathbb{F}_p$  be the finite field with  $p$  elements. We denote by  $\#E$  the cardinality of  $E \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ . The Fourier transform of a complex valued function  $f$  defined on  $\mathbb{F}_p$  is denoted by  $\hat{f}$ . Let  $M_q$  be the collection of all  $f : \mathbb{F}_p \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  such that the cardinality of the support of  $f$  does not exceed  $q$ . Then Terence Tao proved that for  $q < p/2$  and for any set  $\Omega$  of frequencies such that  $\#\Omega \geq 2q$ , the mapping  $\Phi : M_q \mapsto l^2(\Omega)$  defined by  $f \mapsto \mathbf{1}_\Omega \hat{f}$  is injective. Here and in what follows,  $\mathbf{1}_E$  will denote the indicator function of the set  $E$ . This property is no longer true if  $\mathbb{F}_p$  is replaced by  $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$  and if

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 42B10; Secondary: 42C30.

*Key words and phrases.* Fourier expansions, Compressed Sensing, Irregular sampling.

$N$  is not a prime.

We want to generalize this fact to functions  $f$  of several real variables with applications to image processing. The Fourier transform of  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  will be defined by

$$(1.1) \quad \hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-2\pi i \xi \cdot x) f(x) dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

To generalize Tao's theorem to the continuous setting we begin with a parameter  $\beta \in (0, 1/2)$  which will play the role of  $q$  and define a collection  $M_\beta$  of functions  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  as follows: we write  $f \in M_\beta$  if  $\hat{f}$  is supported by a compact set  $K \subset [0, 1]^2$  whose measure  $|K|$  does not exceed  $\beta$ . This compact set  $K$  depends on  $f$  and  $M_\beta$  is not a vector space. If  $f, g$  belong to  $M_\beta$ , then  $f + g$  belongs to  $M_{2\beta}$ , a situation which is classical in nonlinear approximation. It will be proved below that for every  $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$  there exists a set  $\Lambda_\alpha \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$  with the following properties: (a) density  $\Lambda_\alpha = 2\alpha$  and (b) the mapping  $\Phi : M_\beta \mapsto \ell^2(\Lambda_\alpha)$  defined by  $\Phi(f) = (f(\lambda))_{\lambda \in \Lambda_\alpha}$  is injective when  $0 < \beta < \alpha$ . This set  $\Lambda_\alpha$  plays the role of  $\Omega$  in Tao's work and the density of  $\Lambda_\alpha$  is then playing the role of the cardinality of  $\Omega$ . Any  $f \in M_\beta$  can be retrieved from the information given by the "irregular sampling"  $f(\lambda) = a(\lambda)$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda_\alpha$ , and one would like to do it by some fast algorithm. Tao's theorem can be decomposed into two statements. In the first one  $\Omega$  and  $T$  are fixed with the same cardinality. We denote by  $l^2(T)$  the vector space consisting of all functions  $f$  supported by  $T$ . Then the first theorem by Tao says that the mapping  $\Phi : l^2(T) \mapsto l^2(\Omega)$  is an isomorphism. The second theorem easily follows from this first statement. We now generalize this first theorem to the continuous case. Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a compact set and  $E_K \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be the translation invariant subspace of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  consisting of all  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  whose Fourier transform  $\hat{f}(\xi) = \int e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} f(x) dx$  is supported by  $K$ . We now follow [3].

**Definition 1.1.** *A set  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  has the property of stable sampling for  $E_K$  if there exists a constant  $C$  such that*

$$(1.2) \quad f \in E_K \Rightarrow \|f\|_2^2 \leq C \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |f(\lambda)|^2.$$

In other words any "band-limited"  $f \in E_K$  can be reconstructed from its sampling  $f(\lambda)$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Here is an equivalent definition. Let  $L^2(K)$  be the space of all restrictions to  $K$  of functions in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a set of stable sampling for  $E_K$  if and only if the collection of functions  $\exp(2\pi i \lambda \cdot x)$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , is a frame of  $L^2(K)$ .

**Definition 1.2.** A set  $\Lambda$  has the property of stable interpolation for  $E_K$  if there exists a constant  $C$  such that

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c(\lambda)|^2 \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(K)}^2$$

for every finite trigonometric sum  $f(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} c(\lambda) \exp(2\pi i \lambda \cdot x)$ .

In the one dimensional case and when  $K$  is an interval, A. Ingham (1936) proved the following estimate:

**Proposition 1.1.** Let  $\Lambda$  be an increasing sequence  $\lambda_j$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , of real numbers such that  $\lambda_{j+1} - \lambda_j \geq \beta$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\beta$  is a positive constant. Let  $I$  be any interval with length  $|I| > 1/\beta$ . Then we have  $C \sum |c_j|^2 \leq \int_I |\sum c_j \exp(2\pi i \lambda_j t)|^2 dt$  where  $C = \frac{2}{\pi} (1 - \frac{1}{|I|^2 \beta^2})$ .

This constant  $C$  is not optimal. The condition  $|I| > 1/\beta$  cannot be replaced by  $|I| < 1/\beta$  and Ingham's inequality does not tell anything in the limiting case  $|I| = 1/\beta$ . This was generalized A. Beurling (see [2]) who proved the following:

**Proposition 1.2.** Let  $\Lambda$  be an increasing sequence  $\lambda_j$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , of real numbers fulfilling the following two conditions

- (a)  $\lambda_{j+1} - \lambda_j \geq \beta' > 0$
- (b) if  $T$  large enough we have  $\lambda_{j+T} - \lambda_j \geq \beta T$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\beta > 0$ .

Let  $I$  be any interval with length  $|I| > 1/\beta$ . Then we have  $C \sum |c_j|^2 \leq \int_I |\sum c_j \exp(2\pi i \lambda_j t)|^2 dt$  where  $C = C(\beta, \beta', T, |I|)$ .

Here the length of  $I$  only depends on the averaged distance between  $\lambda_{j+1}$  and  $\lambda_j$ . The final result easily follows from the preceding one:

**Proposition 1.3.** Let  $\Lambda$  be an increasing sequence  $\lambda_j$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , of real numbers such that  $\lambda_{j+1} - \lambda_j \geq \beta > 0$  and let  $\overline{\text{dens}} \Lambda = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} R^{-1} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \text{card}\{\Lambda \cap [x, x + R]\}$  be the upper density of  $\Lambda$ . The lower density is defined by replacing upper bounds by lower bounds. Then for any interval  $I$ ,  $|I| < \underline{\text{dens}} \Lambda$  implies (1.2) and  $|I| > \overline{\text{dens}} \Lambda$  implies (1.3).

Returning to the general case  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  H.J. Landau proved in [3] that (1.2) implies  $\underline{\text{dens}} \Lambda \geq |K|$  and (1.3) implies  $\overline{\text{dens}} \Lambda \leq |K|$ . These necessary conditions are not sufficient. Indeed  $|K| < \underline{\text{dens}} \Lambda$  does not even imply (1.2) when  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n$ . The following result shows that Landau's necessary conditions are sufficient for some sets  $\Lambda$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a simple quasicrystal and  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a compact set. Then  $|K| < \underline{\text{dens}} \Lambda$  implies (1.2). If  $K$  is Riemann integrable, then  $|K| > \overline{\text{dens}} \Lambda$  implies (1.3).

A compact  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a Riemann integrable if the Lebesgue measure of its boundary is 0.

We now define a simple quasicrystal as in [2] or [3]. Let  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  be a lattice and if  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ , let us write  $p_1(x, t) = x$ ,  $p_2(x, t) = t$ . We now assume that  $p_1$  once restricted to  $\Gamma$  is an injective mapping onto  $p_1(\Gamma) = \Gamma_1$ . We make the same assumption on  $p_2$ . We furthermore assume that  $p_1(\Gamma)$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $p_2(\Gamma)$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ . The dual lattice of  $\Gamma$  is denoted  $\Gamma^*$  and is defined by  $x \cdot y \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $x \in \Gamma$ ,  $y \in \Gamma^*$ . We use the following notations. For  $\gamma = (x, t) \in \Gamma$  we write  $t = \tilde{x}, \tilde{t} = x$ . Note that  $t$  is uniquely defined by  $x$ . The same notations are used for the two components of  $\gamma^* \in \Gamma^*$ . If  $I = [-\alpha, \alpha]$ , the simple quasicrystal  $\Lambda_I \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is defined by

$$(1.4) \quad \Lambda_I = \{p_1(\gamma); \gamma \in \Gamma, p_2(\gamma) \in I\}.$$

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4.

If  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a compact set,  $M_K \subset \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$(2.1) \quad M_K = \{p_2(\gamma^*); \gamma^* \in \Gamma^*, p_1(\gamma^*) \in K\}.$$

The density of  $\Lambda_I$  is uniform and is given by  $c|I|$  where  $c = c(\Gamma)$  and similarly the density of  $M_K$  is  $|K|/c$  when  $K$  is Riemann integrable ([5], [6]). Therefore  $|K| < \text{dens } \Lambda_I$  implies  $|I| > \text{dens } M_K$  which will be crucial in what follows. We sort the elements of  $M_K$  in increasing order and denote the corresponding sequence by  $\{m_k; k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Then we have ([5], [6])

**Lemma 2.1.** *The sequence  $\{\tilde{m}_k; k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is equidistributed on  $K$ .*

We now prove our main result.

We replace  $K$  by a larger compact set still denoted by  $K$  which is Riemann integrable and still satisfies  $|K| < \text{dens } \Lambda$ . By a standard density argument we can assume  $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(K)$ . Lemma 2.1 implies

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{1}{|K|} \|\hat{f}\|_2^2 = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \sum_{k=-T}^T |\hat{f}(\tilde{m}_k)|^2.$$

The right-hand side in(2.2) is given by

$$(2.3) \quad c_K \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\varphi(\varepsilon m_k)|^2 |\hat{f}(\tilde{m}_k)|^2$$

where  $\varphi$  is any function in the Schwartz class  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  normalized by  $\|\varphi\|_2 = 1$ . The constant  $c_K = \frac{C}{|K|}$  is taking care of the density of the sequence  $m_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $C$  only depends on the lattice  $\Gamma$ . At this stage we use the auxiliary function of the real variable  $t$  defined as

$$(2.4) \quad F_\varepsilon(t) = \sqrt{\varepsilon} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi(\varepsilon m_k) \hat{f}(\tilde{m}_k) \exp(2\pi i m_k t).$$

We denote by  $\phi$  the Fourier transform of  $\varphi$ . We will suppose that  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty([-1, 1])$  is a positive and even function. Since  $|I| > \text{dens } M_K$ , Beurling's theorem applies to the interval  $I$ , to the set of frequencies  $M_K$  and to the trigonometric sum defined in (2.4). Then one has

$$(2.5) \quad \varepsilon \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\varphi(\varepsilon m_k)|^2 |\hat{f}(\tilde{m}_k)|^2 \leq C \int_I |F_\varepsilon(t)|^2 dt.$$

Let us compute the lim sup as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  of the right-hand side of (2.5). To this aim, we use the definition of  $M_K$  and write

$$(2.6) \quad F_\varepsilon(t) = \sqrt{\varepsilon} \sum_{\gamma^* \in \Gamma^*} \varphi(\varepsilon p_2(\gamma^*)) \hat{f}(p_1(\gamma^*)) \exp(2\pi i p_2(\gamma^*)t).$$

Poisson identity says that this sum can be computed on the dual lattice. We then have

$$(2.7) \quad F_\varepsilon(t) = c(\Gamma) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \phi\left(\frac{t - p_2(\gamma)}{\varepsilon}\right) f(p_1(\gamma)).$$

We then return to the estimation of

$$(2.8) \quad \limsup_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_I |F_\varepsilon(t)|^2 dt,$$

where  $F_\varepsilon$  is given by (2.7). To this end, we notice that all terms in the right-hand side of (2.7) for which  $|p_1(\gamma)| \geq \alpha + \varepsilon$  vanish on  $I = [-\alpha, \alpha]$ . Indeed the support of  $\phi$  is contained in  $[-1, 1]$ . We can restrict the summation to the set  $\Lambda_{I,\varepsilon} = \{p_1(\gamma); \gamma \in \Gamma, |p_2(\gamma)| \leq \alpha + \varepsilon\}$ . For  $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$  we have

$$(2.9) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \Lambda_{I,\varepsilon} = \Lambda_I \text{ and } \Lambda_{I,\varepsilon} \subset \Lambda_{I,1}.$$

We split  $F_\varepsilon$  into a sum  $F_\varepsilon = F_\varepsilon^N + R_N$  where

$$(2.10) \quad F_\varepsilon^N(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma, |p_1(\gamma)| \leq N, |p_2(\gamma)| \leq \alpha + \varepsilon} \phi\left(\frac{t - p_2(\gamma)}{\varepsilon}\right) f(p_1(\gamma)),$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad R_N(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma, |p_1(\gamma)| > N, |p_2(\gamma)| \leq \alpha + \varepsilon} \phi\left(\frac{t - p_2(\gamma)}{\varepsilon}\right) f(p_1(\gamma)).$$

The triangle inequality yields  $\|R_N\|_2 \leq \varepsilon_N \|\phi\|_2$  with

$$(2.12) \quad \varepsilon_N = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma, |p_1(\gamma)| > N, |p_2(\gamma)| \leq \alpha + 1} |f(p_1(\gamma))|.$$

Let us observe that this series converges. Therefore  $\varepsilon_N$  tends to 0. Indeed  $f$  belongs to the Schwartz class and the set  $Y = \{p_1(\gamma); |p_2(\gamma)| \leq \alpha + 1\}$  is uniformly sparse in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Using the terminology of [3],  $Y$  is a "model set". For the term (2.10) the estimations are more involved. Since  $|p_1(\gamma)| \leq N$ ,

the points  $p_2(\gamma)$  appearing in (2.10) are separated by a distance  $\geq \beta_N > 0$ . If  $0 < \varepsilon < \beta_N$  the different terms in (2.10) have disjoint supports which implies

$$(2.13) \quad \|F_\varepsilon^N\|_{L^2(I)} \leq \sigma(N, \varepsilon) \|\phi\|_2$$

where

$$\sigma(N, \varepsilon)^2 = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma, |p_1(\gamma)| \leq N, |p_2(\gamma)| \leq \alpha + \varepsilon} |f(p_1(\gamma))|^2.$$

If  $\varepsilon$  is small enough we have

$$\{\gamma \in \Gamma, |p_1(\gamma)| \leq N, |p_2(\gamma)| \leq \alpha + \varepsilon\} = \{\gamma \in \Gamma, |p_1(\gamma)| \leq N, |p_2(\gamma)| \leq \alpha\}.$$

and  $\sigma(N, \varepsilon) = \sigma(N, 0)$ . Therefore

$$(2.14) \quad \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_I |F_\varepsilon(t)|^2 dt \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_I} |f(\lambda)|^2 + \eta_N$$

and letting  $N \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain the first claim. The following lemma clarifies and summarizes our proof:

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $x_j, j \in \mathbb{N}$ , be a sequence of pairwise distinct points in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $f(x)$  be a function in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with a compact support and, for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $f_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-n/2} f(x/\varepsilon)$ . Then for any sequence  $c_j \in l^1$  we have*

$$(2.15) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\| \sum_0^\infty c_j f_\varepsilon(x - x_j) \right\|_2 = \left( \sum_0^\infty |c_j|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

The proof of the second claim uses the same strategy and notations. The first assertion of Beurling's theorem is used and the details can be found in a forthcoming paper.

François Golsse raised the following problem. Let us assume  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_j, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  where  $\lambda_j = j + r_j, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $r_j$  are equidistributed mod 1. Is it true that  $\Lambda$  is a set of stable sampling for any compact set  $K$  with measure  $|K| < 1$ ? There are some examples where this happens. For instance if  $\alpha$  is irrational, the set  $\Lambda$  defined by  $\lambda_j = j + \{\alpha j\}, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , is a set of stable sampling for every compact set of the real line with a measure less than 1. Indeed this set  $\Lambda$  is a simple quasicrystal. On the other hand we cannot take  $r_j$  at random as the following lemma is showing:

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $r_j, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , be independent random variables equidistributed in  $[0, 1]$ . Then almost surely the random set  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_j = j + r_j, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is not a set of stable sampling.*

This lemma answers an issue raised by Jean-Michel Morel. Recently in [1] the problem of random sampling of band-limited functions was studied. More precisely, the authors proved the following

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let*

$$B = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) : \text{supp } \hat{f} \subset [-1/2, 1/2]^d\},$$

*be the space band-limited functions. Let  $r \geq 1$  be the number of random samples in each cube  $k + [0, 1]^d$ . With probability one the following holds: For each  $k > 0$  there exists a function  $f_k \in B$  such that*

$$(2.16) \quad \sum_{x_i \in X(\omega)} |f_k(x_i)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{k} \|f_k\|_2^2.$$

*Consequently, the sampling inequality is false almost surely.*

Exactly the same proof applies to our lemma. Here are the details.

Our probability space  $\Omega$  is  $[0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$  equipped with the product measure and the elements of  $\Omega$  are denoted by  $\omega = (r_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ . The random set under study is  $\Lambda(\omega)$ . We now prove a stronger statement. Almost surely (1.1) fails for  $\Lambda(\omega)$  when the compact set  $K$  in (1.1) is the union between the two intervals  $[0, \alpha]$  and  $[1, 1 + \alpha]$  where  $\alpha$  is arbitrarily small. The measure of  $K$  is  $2\alpha$ . To prove this statement, it suffices to construct a sequence of random functions  $f_{N,\omega}(x)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|f_N\|_2 = \sqrt{2}$ ,  $\hat{f}_N$  is supported by  $K$  but  $\sum_j |f_N(\lambda_j)|^2 \leq CN^{-2}$ . We start with a function  $\phi$  belonging to the Schwartz class, normalized by  $\|\phi\|_2 = 1$  and such that the Fourier transform of  $\phi$  is supported by  $[0, \alpha]$ . Then  $f_N(x) = \phi(x - n_N)(\exp(2\pi i x) - 1)$  fulfils these requirements when  $n_N = n_N(\omega)$  is a random integer which is now defined. Given  $N$  there are almost surely infinitely many integers  $m$  such that the following holds

$$(2.17) \quad m - N \leq j \leq m + N \Rightarrow 0 < r_j < 1/N.$$

This observation follows from the Borel-Cantelli lemma applied to the independent events  $E_{k,N} = \{0 < r_j < 1/N, |3Nk - j| \leq N\}$ . The probability of  $E_{k,N}$  is  $N^{-2N-1}$  and the sum over  $k$  of these probabilities diverges. Therefore with probability 1, for every  $N$  there exists a random integer  $n_N$  such that  $|n_N - j| \leq N \Rightarrow 0 < r_j < 1/N$ . Since  $f_N(j) = 0$ ,  $\lambda_j = j + r_j$  and  $0 < r_j < 1/N$  we have

$$(2.18) \quad \sum_{|n_N - j| \leq N} |f_N(\lambda_j)|^2 < CN^{-2}.$$

On the other hand the rapid decay of  $\phi$  yields

$$(2.19) \quad \sum_{|n_N - j| > N} |f_N(\lambda_j)|^2 < CN^{-2}.$$

Putting these estimates together we can conclude.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Bass R.F. and Gröchenig K, *Random sampling of band-limited functions*, preprint.
- [2] Beurling, A. *Collected Works of Arne Beurling (2 vol.)*, edited by L. Carleson et al., Birkhauser, (1989).
- [3] Landau, H.J. *Necessary density conditions for sampling and interpolation of certain entire functions*. Acta Math. **117** (1967) 37-52.
- [4] Matei Basarab and Meyer Yves, *A variant of compressed sensing*, *Revista Matematica Iberoamericana*, (2008).
- [5] Meyer Yves, *Nombres de Pisot, nombres de Salem et Analyse Harmonique*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **117**, (1970).
- [6] Meyer Yves, *Trois problèmes sur les sommes trigonométriques*, Astérisque 1, (1973), SMF.
- [7] Olevskii Alexander and Ulanovskii Alexander, *A universal sampling of band-limited signals*, C.R.Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **342** (2006) 927-931.

UNIVERSITÉ PARIS NORD - 99, AV. JEAN-BAPTISTE CLÉMENT, 93430 VILLETANEUSE, FRANCE

*E-mail address:* `matei@math.univ-paris13.fr`

CMLA, ENS-CACHAN - 61, AV. DU PRÉSIDENT-WILSON, 94235 CACHAN CEDEX, FRANCE

*E-mail address:* `yves.meyer@cmla.ens-cachan.fr`