

SYK-superconductors and their holographic duals

Strange Metals, SYK Models and Beyond; June 2-3, 2022; Collège de France

Jörg Schmalian Karlsruhe Institute of Technology



KIT – University of the State of Baden-Wuerttemberg and National Research Center of the Helmholtz Association

www.kit.edu

collaborators, references, funding ...









Koenraad Schalm



Gian-Andrea Inkof Karlsruhe



Veronika Stangier Karlsruhe

- I. Esterlis and J.S., Phys. Rev. B 100, 115132 (2019)
- D. Hauck, M. J. Klug, I. Esterlis, J. S., Ann. of Phys. 417, 168120 (2020)
- G. A. Inkof, K. Schalm, J. S., npj-Quantum Materials 7, 56 (2022).
- V. Stangier, I. Esterlis, and J.S. preprint







superconductivity: from microscopics to continuum's theory



BCS theory

L. P. Gor'kov (1959) $\psi(\mathbf{r}, \tau) = V_{ep}F(\mathbf{r}, \tau; \mathbf{r}, \tau)$ $e^* = 2e$ $m = m(\rho_F, V_{ep})$

Ginzburg-Landau theory



Gor'kov ~1970

anomalous propagator $F\left(m{r}, au;m{r}', au'
ight) = -\left\langle T\psi_{\uparrow}\left(m{r}, au
ight)\psi_{\downarrow}\left(m{r}', au'
ight)
ight
angle$





superconductivity: from microscopics to continuum's theory



conventional s.c.

BCS theory

L. P. Gor'kov (1959) $\psi(\mathbf{r}, \tau) = V_{\text{ep}}F(\mathbf{r}, \tau; \mathbf{r}, \tau)$ $e^* = 2e$ $m = m(\rho_F, V_{\text{ep}})$

Ginzburg-Landau theory

quantum critical s.c.

SYK-type models



holographic s.c. in AdS_{D+1}

- mass and charge of the scalar field
- physical interpretation of the holographic dimension
- origin of gravity
- ...

superconductivity and quantum criticality





Q: Why is superconductivity in critical metals abundant?

N. D. Mathur, N. D. et al Nature **394**, 39 (1998), N. Doiron-Leyraud, et al. Phys. Rev. B **80**, 214531 (2009), S. Karahara et a. Phys. Rev B **81**, 184519 (2010).

Cooper instability



superconductivity: the natural ground state of a good metal

Fermi liquid

$$G_k(\omega) \sim \frac{Z_{\rm qp}}{i\omega - \varepsilon_k} + \cdots \qquad \Sigma(\omega) \sim (Z_{\rm qp}^{-1} - 1) i\omega$$



$$\chi_{\text{pair}}^0 = \int d\omega \int d\epsilon_k G_k(\omega) G_{-k}(-\omega) \sim \int d\omega \frac{1}{|\omega|} \sim \log \frac{D}{T}$$

 \rightarrow instability $T_{\rm c} \sim D e^{-1/\lambda_{\rm pair}}$

L. N. Cooper, Phys. Rev. 104, 1189 (1956),

J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper, and J. R. Schrieffer, Phys. Rev 106,162 (1957); 108,1175 (1957)

Cooper problem and quantum criticality



instantaneous pairing: superconductivity at QCPs should be the exception, not the rule

quantum critical NFL (strange metal)

$$\Sigma(\omega) \sim -i \operatorname{sign}(\omega) |\omega|^{1-\gamma}$$

$$\chi_{\text{pair}}^{0} = \frac{\chi_{\text{pair}}^{0}}{1 - \lambda_{\text{pair}}\chi_{\text{pair}}^{0}}$$

$$\chi_{\text{pair}}^{0} = \int d\omega \int d\epsilon_{k} G_{k}(\omega) G_{-k}(-\omega) = \int d\omega \frac{1}{|\omega + g\omega^{1-\gamma}|} \sim \text{finite}$$

superconductivity only if $\lambda_{\mathrm{pair}} > \lambda_{\mathrm{pair}}^*$

- A. Balatsky, Philos. Mag. Lett. 68, 251 (1993); A. Sudbo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 2575 (1995);
- B. L. Yin and S. Chakravarty, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 10, 805 (1996).

two quite different answers...

A: superconductivity by critical bosons

 $S_{\rm int} \sim g_{ijk} \int \phi_k \psi_i^\dagger \psi_j$

objections

Is this allowed, controlled ... ? (Migdal theorem, only perturbation theory ...)

 $\Sigma(\omega) \sim -i \operatorname{sign}(\omega) |\omega|^{1-\gamma}$

singular pairing interaction

$$\lambda_{\text{pair}} \to \lambda_{\text{pair}}(\omega) \propto |\omega|^{-\gamma}$$

generalized Cooper instability

D. T. Son, Phys. Rev. D 59, 094019 (1999)
Ar. Abanov, A. Chubukov, and A. Finkel'stein, EPL 54, 488 (2001)
Ar. Abanov, A. Chubukov, and J. S. EPL 55, 369 (2001)
A. V. Chubukov and J. S., PRB 72, 174520 (2005)
J.-H. She and J. Zaanen, PRB 80, 184518 (2009)
M. A. Metlitski, D. F. Mross, S. Sachdev, and T. Senthil, PRB 91, 115111 (2015)

B: holographic superconductivity $S_{AdS_{d+2}} = \int d^{d+2}x \sqrt{g} \left(D_a \psi^* D^a \psi + V(\psi) \right)$

duality botwoon OFT and aravity

objections

Is this relevant, quantitative ... ? (real life is not conformally invariant ...)

critical modes, powerlaws ...

pairing near AdS-black holes is possible; can be enhanced

Cooper pairs form in holographic metals

S. S. Gubser, *Phys. Rev.* D **78**, 065034 (2008) S. A. Hartnoll, C. P. Herzog and G. T. Horowitz, PRL **101**, 031601 (2008)



two quite different answers...



 $S_{\rm int} \sim g_{ijk} \int \phi_k \psi_i^{\dagger} \psi_j$

bosonic dynamics gets dominated by fermions

critical fermions

$$\Sigma(\omega) \sim -i \operatorname{sign}(\omega) |\omega|^{1-\gamma}$$

singular pairing interaction

$$\lambda_{\text{pair}} \to \lambda_{\text{pair}}(\omega) \propto |\omega|^{-\gamma}$$

generalized Cooper instability

D. T. Son, Phys. Rev. D 59, 094019 (1999)
Ar. Abanov, A. Chubukov, and A. Finkel'stein, EPL 54, 488 (2001)
Ar. Abanov, A. Chubukov, and J. S. EPL 55, 369 (2001)
A. V. Chubukov and J. S., PRB 72, 174520 (2005)
J.-H. She and J. Zaanen, PRB 80, 184518 (2009)
M. A. Metlitski, D. F. Mross, S. Sachdev, and T. Senthil, PRB 91, 115111 (2015)

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

B: holographic superconductivity

$$S_{\mathrm{AdS}_{d+2}} = \int d^{d+2}x \sqrt{g} \left(D_a \psi^* D^a \psi + V(\psi) \right)$$

duality between QFT and gravity theory in one extra dimension

$$Z_{\rm QFT} \sim \int D\psi e^{-N^2 S_{\rm AdS_{d+2}}[\psi]}$$

critical modes, powerlaws ...

pairing near AdS-black holes is possible; can be enhanced

Cooper pairs form in holographic metals

S. S. Gubser, *Phys. Rev.* D **78**, 065034 (2008) S. A. Hartnoll, C. P. Herzog and G. T. Horowitz, PRL **101**, 031601 (2008)

Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev (SYK) model

model of non-dispersive fermions with random interactions

$$H = -\mu \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i^{\dagger} c_i + \frac{1}{(2N)^{3/2}} \sum_{ijkl}^{N} U_{ij,kl} c_i^{\dagger} c_j^{\dagger} c_k c_l \qquad \overline{|U_{ij,kl}|^2} = U^2$$

replicas, average, introduce bi-local fields (propagators, self energies)...

$$Z = \int DG(\tau, \tau') D\Sigma(\tau, \tau') e^{-S} \qquad S = -N\left(\operatorname{tr}\log\left(-\partial_{\tau} - \Sigma\right) + \int G\Sigma - U^2 \int G^2 G^2\right)$$

saddle point (large N):

$$\Sigma(\tau) = -U^2 G(\tau)^2 G(-\tau)$$

$$(\partial_\tau + \Sigma) G = -\delta(\tau - \tau')$$

low-energy powerlaw solution

$$G(\tau, \tau') \sim \frac{\operatorname{sign}(\tau - \tau')}{|\tau - \tau'|^{2\Delta}} \qquad \Delta = \frac{1}{4}$$

S. Sachdev and J. Ye, PRL, **70** 3339, (1993), A. Georges, O. Parcollet, and S. Sachdev PRL **85**, 840 (2000), A. Kitaev, talk at KITP http://online.kitp.ucsb.edu/online/joint98/kitaev/, February, 2015.



Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev (SYK) model





IR-solution is re-parametrization invariant

 $\tau \to f(\tau)$

explicitly broken by UV physics

$$Z = Z_0 \int Df e^{\alpha \frac{N}{U\beta} \int d\tau Sch[f,\tau]}$$

 $Sch [\phi, \tau] = \frac{\phi^{'''}(\tau)}{\phi^{'}(\tau)} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{\phi^{''}(\tau)}{\phi^{'}(\tau)}\right)^{2}$

closely related to gravity theories in AdS_2 (+dilaton fields)

$$S_{AdS_2} = -\frac{1}{8\pi G} \int d\tau Sch\left[\phi,\tau\right]$$

D. Stanford, J. Maldacena, Phys. Rev D 94 (2016)

S. Sachdev and J. Ye, PRL, **70** 3339, (1993), A. Georges, O. Parcollet, and S. Sachdev PRL **85**, 840 (2000), A. Kitaev, talk at KITP http://online.kitp.ucsb.edu/online/joint98/kitaev/, February, 2015.

Our model: Yukawa-SYK-model of electron-boson coupling



$$H = -\mu \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{\sigma=\pm} c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{i\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{M} \left(\pi_{k}^{2} + \omega_{0}^{2} \phi_{k}^{2} \right) + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{N} \sum_{ij,\sigma}^{N} \sum_{k}^{M} g_{ij,k} c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} \phi_{k},$$

I. Esterlis and J.S., Phys. Rev. B **100**, 115132 (2019)

related models:

W. Fu, D. Gaiotto, J. Maldacena, and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. D **95**, 026009 (2017) \rightarrow SUSY SYK-model E. Marcus and S. Vandoren, Journal of High Energy Physics, **166** (2019) \rightarrow Majorana fermions Y. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 017002 (2020). \rightarrow superconductivity due to 1/N corrections D. Chowdhury and E. Berg, Phys. Rev. Research **2**, 013301 (2020) \rightarrow s.c. from purely fermionic couplings

extensions to finite dimensions:

J. Kim, E. Altman, and X. Cao, Phys. Rev. B **103**, L081113 (2021) \rightarrow Dirac systems I. Esterlis, H. Guo, A. A. Patel, and S. Sachdev, PRB **103**, 235129 (2021) \rightarrow compressible Fermi systems

Our model: Yukawa-SYK-model of electron-boson coupling



$$H = -\mu \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{\sigma=\pm} c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{i\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{M} \left(\pi_{k}^{2} + \omega_{0}^{2} \phi_{k}^{2} \right) + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{N} \sum_{ij,\sigma}^{N} \sum_{k}^{M} g_{ij,k} c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} \phi_{k},$$

random electron-phonon coupling $g_{ij,k} = g_{ij,k}' + i g_{ij,k}''$

$$\overline{g'_{ij,k}g'_{i'j',k'}} = \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\overline{g}^2\delta_{k,k'}\left(\delta_{ii'}\delta_{jj'} + \delta_{ij'}\delta_{ji'}\right)$$

$$\overline{g_{ij,k}^{\prime\prime}g_{i'j',k'}^{\prime\prime}} = \frac{\alpha}{2}\bar{g}^2\delta_{k,k'}\left(\delta_{ii'}\delta_{jj'} - \delta_{ij'}\delta_{ji'}\right)$$

$$\alpha = 0$$
Gaussian orthogonal ensemble
 \Rightarrow time reversal symmetry for each configurationsuperconductivity $\alpha = 1$ Gaussian unitary ensemble \Rightarrow max. TRS-breakingno s.c. $0 < \alpha < 1$ "partial" TRS-breakingpair breaking

I. Esterlis and J.S., Phys. Rev. B **100**, 115132 (2019) D. Hauck, M. J.Klug, I. Esterlis, J.S., Ann. of Phys. **417**, 168120 (2020)

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

replicas, averaging, bilocal fields,...

$$Z = \int DGD\Sigma DF D\Phi DD\Pi e^{-NS}$$

additional bilocal pairing fields: $F(\tau, \tau') \sim c_{\uparrow}(\tau)c_{\downarrow}(\tau')$ and $\Phi(\tau, \tau')$

solution at $N ightarrow \infty$

Nambu-Gor'kov propagator, self energy

 $\hat{G}(i\omega)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} i\omega - \Sigma(i\omega) & \Phi(i\omega) \\ \Phi(i\omega) & i\omega + \Sigma(-i\omega) \end{pmatrix}$

boson propagator, self energy

$$D^{-1}(i\omega) = \omega^2 + \omega_0^2 - \Pi(i\omega)$$

$$\Sigma\left(i\omega\right) = \xrightarrow{\Sigma}$$

$$\Phi\left(i\omega\right) = \underbrace{\neg \varsigma}^{} \overbrace{}^{} } \overbrace{}^{} \overbrace{}^{} \overbrace{}^{} \overbrace{}^{} \overbrace{}^{} \atop}_{ij} \overbrace{}^{} \overbrace{}^{} \underset{ij}{} \overbrace{}^{} \underset{ij}{} \overbrace{}^{} \atopI_{ij}} \overbrace{}^{} \underset{ij}{} \overbrace{}^{} \underset{ij}{} \overbrace{}^{} \underset{ij}{} \overbrace{}^{} \underset{ij}{} \overbrace{}^{} \underset{ij}{} \overbrace{}^{} \underset{ij}{} \overbrace{}^{} \atopI_{ij}} \overbrace{}^{} \underset{ij}{} \overbrace{}^{} \underset{ij}{} \overbrace{}^{} \atopI_{ij}} \overbrace{}^{} \underset{ij}{} \overbrace{}^{} \atopI_{ij}} \overbrace{}_{ij} \overbrace{}^{} \underset{ij}{} \overbrace{}^{} \atopI_{ij}} \overbrace{Ij} I_I \overbrace{Ij} \overbrace{Ij$$

Eliashberg equations of superconductivity become exact!



normal state: critical ground state



$$G_{\text{n.s.}}(\tau_1 - \tau_2) \propto \frac{\tanh(\pi q \mathcal{E}) + \operatorname{sign}(\tau_1 - \tau_2)}{|\tau_1 - \tau_2|^{\frac{1+\gamma}{2}}}$$
$$D_{\text{n.s.}}(\tau_1 - \tau_2) \propto \frac{1}{|\tau_1 - \tau_2|^{1-\gamma}}$$

$\gamma \approx 0.68$

q fermion charge $\mathcal{E}(n)$ spectral asymmetry





pair breaking (partial time reversal symmetry breaking)





 $T_c \left(\alpha \sim Z_B \right) \ll T_c^0$

small coherent weight makes s.c. fragile against pair breaking

$$T_c \left(\alpha \approx \alpha_c \right) = T^* \exp \left(-\frac{D}{\sqrt{\alpha_c - \alpha}} \right)$$

spontaneously broken conformal invariance

like in holographic models in AdS₂



superconducting transition temperature

linearized gap equation of the Yukawa-SYK model

generalized Cooper instability

$$\Phi\left(\epsilon\right) = g^{2} \int_{T}^{\Lambda} \frac{d\epsilon'}{2\pi} \frac{\Phi\left(\epsilon'\right)}{\left|\epsilon - \epsilon'\right|^{\gamma} \left|\epsilon'\right|^{1-\gamma}}$$

γ-model A. Abanov, and A. V. Chubukov, Phys. Rev. B **102**, 024524 (2020), Y.-M. Wu, A. Abanov, and A. V. Chubukov, Phys. Rev. B 102, 094516 (2020)

same gap equation occurs in theories with pairing due to critical:

- antiferromagnetic + ferromagnetic spin fluctuations
- gauge-field induced composite fermion pairing
- nematic fluctuations
- massless gluons in high-density quark matter
- U(1) and Z₂ gauge fluctuations in spin liquids

N. E. Bonesteel, I. A. McDonald, and C. Nayak, PRL 77, 3009 (1996), D. T. Son, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 094019 (1999),. Ar. Abanov, A. Chubukov, and A. Finkel'stein, EPL **54**, 488 (2001), Ar. Abanov, A. Chubukov, and J. S. EPL **55**, 369 (2001), R. Roussev and A. J. Millis, Phys. Rev. B 63, 140504R (2001), A. V. Chubukov and J. S., PRB **72**, 174520 (2005), J.-H. She and J. Zaanen, PRB **80**, 184518 (2009), M. A. Metlitski, D. F. Mross, S. Sachdev, and T. Senthil, PRB **91**, 115111 (2015)

Eliashberg equation



$$\Phi\left(\epsilon\right) = g^{2} \int_{T}^{\Lambda} \frac{d\epsilon'}{2\pi} \frac{\Phi\left(\epsilon'\right)}{\left|\epsilon - \epsilon'\right|^{\gamma} \left|\epsilon'\right|^{1-\gamma}} \qquad \xrightarrow{\gamma \ll 1} \qquad \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \epsilon^{1-\gamma} \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \epsilon^{\gamma} \Phi\left(\epsilon\right) = -\frac{\gamma g^{2}}{\pi} \frac{\Phi\left(\epsilon\right)}{\epsilon}$$

scalar field $\psi(\zeta) = \zeta^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}} \Phi(1/\zeta)$ with coordinate $\zeta = 1/\epsilon$

$$\left(-\partial_{\zeta}^{2}\psi + \frac{m^{2}}{\zeta^{2}}\psi = 0 \qquad m^{2} = -\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\gamma^{2}}{4} - \frac{g^{2}\gamma}{\pi} \right)$$

static Klein-Gordon equation of pairs with mass m in AdS_2

D. T. Son, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 094019 (1999), A. V. Chubukov and J. S., PRB **72**, 174520 (2005), D. Hauck, M. J. Klug, I. Esterlis, J. S., Ann. of Phys. 417, 168120 (2020), A. Abanov, and A. V. Chubukov, Phys. Rev. B **102**, 024524 (2020), Y.-M. Wu, A. Abanov, and A. V. Chubukov, Phys. Rev. B **102**, 024524 (2020), Y.-M. Wu, A. Abanov, and A. V. Chubukov, Phys. Rev. B **102**, 024524 (2020), Y.-M. Wu, A. Abanov, and A. V. Chubukov, Phys. Rev. B **102**, 024524 (2020), Y.-M. Wu, A. Abanov, and A. V. Chubukov, Phys. Rev. B **102**, 024524 (2020), Y.-M. Wu, A. Abanov, and A. V. Chubukov, Phys. Rev. B **102**, 024524 (2020), Y.-M. Wu, A. Abanov, and A. V. Chubukov, Phys. Rev. B **102**, 024524 (2020), Y.-M. Wu, A. Abanov, and A. V. Chubukov, Phys. Rev. B **102**, 024524 (2020), Y.-M. Wu, A. Abanov, and A. V. Chubukov, Phys. Rev. B **102**, 024524 (2020), Y.-M. Wu, A. Abanov, and A. V. Chubukov, Phys. Rev. B **102**, 024516 (2020)



On the level of the action SYK= AdS₂ gravity + other fluctuations

fluctuating field: anomalous Gor'kov Green's function

$$F(\tau, \tau') \to F(\varepsilon, \omega)$$

$$f(\tau, \tau') \to F(\varepsilon, \omega)$$
FT of the relative time FT of the absolute time

Gaussian fluctuations near the quantum critical normal state

$$S^{(\mathrm{sc})}/N = \int_{\omega,\epsilon} \frac{F^{\dagger}(\omega,\epsilon) F(\omega,\epsilon)}{\Pi_{\mathrm{n.s.}}(\omega,\epsilon)} - \frac{\bar{g}^{2}\lambda_{p}}{2} \int_{\omega,\epsilon,\epsilon'} F^{\dagger}(\omega,\epsilon) D_{\mathrm{n.s.}}(\epsilon-\epsilon') F(\omega,\epsilon')$$

$$f$$
particle-particle propagator
$$f$$

$$D_{\mathrm{n.s.}}(\omega,\epsilon) = G_{\mathrm{n.s.}}\left(\frac{\omega}{2}-\epsilon\right) G_{\mathrm{n.s.}}\left(\epsilon+\frac{\omega}{2}\right)$$

$$D_{\mathrm{n.s.}}(\epsilon) \propto |\epsilon|^{-\gamma}$$

holographic map $F(\omega, \epsilon) \rightarrow F\left(\frac{\tau_1 + \tau_2}{2}, \epsilon\right)$



$$F\left(\frac{\tau_1 + \tau_2}{2}, \epsilon\right) = |\epsilon|^{\frac{\gamma - 1}{2}} \int_{\Gamma} \psi(\tau, \zeta) \, dl$$



Radon transformation

$$\Gamma: |\epsilon|^{-1} = \sqrt{\left(\tau - \frac{\tau_1 + \tau_2}{2}\right)^2 + \zeta^2}$$

geodesics of Eucledian AdS_2

$$ds^{2} = g_{ab}dx^{a}dx^{b} = \frac{1}{\zeta^{2}} \left(d\tau^{2} + d\zeta^{2} \right)$$



SYK superconductor = holographic superconductor

$$F\left(\frac{\tau_1 + \tau_2}{2}, \epsilon\right) = \left|\epsilon\right|^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2}} \int_{\Gamma} \psi\left(\tau, \zeta\right) dl$$

holographic superconductor in AdS₂ with Euclidean signature





SYK superconductor = holographic superconductor

$$F\left(\frac{\tau_1 + \tau_2}{2}, \epsilon\right) = \left|\epsilon\right|^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2}} \int_{\Gamma} \psi\left(\tau, \zeta\right) dl$$

holographic superconductor in AdS_2 with Euclidean signature at T=0

$$S^{(\mathrm{sc})} = N \int d\tau d\zeta \begin{pmatrix} m^2 \\ \zeta^2 \end{pmatrix} \psi^2 + |\partial_\tau \psi|^2 + |\partial_\zeta \psi|^2 \end{pmatrix}$$
positive contribution to the mass (no Cooper instability in NFL with instantaneous pairing)
$$S^{(\mathrm{sc})}/N = \int_{\omega,\epsilon} \frac{F^{\dagger}(\omega,\epsilon) F(\omega,\epsilon)}{\prod_{\mathrm{n.s.}}(\omega,\epsilon)} - \frac{\bar{g}^2 \lambda_p}{2} \int_{\omega,\epsilon,\epsilon'} F^{\dagger}(\omega,\epsilon) D_{\mathrm{n.s.}}(\epsilon - \epsilon') F(\omega,\epsilon')$$

holographic instability $m^2 = m_{\rm BF}^2 = -1/4$ (Breitenlohner Freedman condition) = Eliashberg instability

P. Breitenlohner and D. Z. Freedman, Ann. Phys. 144, 249 (1982)

finite-dimensions: Dirac systems





superconductivity for zero density (real coupling constants)

anomalous self energy condenses in I=1 longitudinal channel

$$\Phi(k) = \sum_{m=-1}^{1} \phi_m(|k|) Y_{1,m}(\hat{k}) \frac{k_{\mu} \gamma^{\mu}}{|k|} + \cdots$$

V. Stangier, I. Esterlis, J. S., unpublished

finite-dimensions: Dirac systems





superconductivity for zero density (real coupling constants)

anomalous self energy condenses in I=1 longitudinal channel

$$\Phi(k) = \sum_{m=-1}^{1} \phi_m(|k|) Y_{1,m}(\hat{k}) \frac{k_{\mu} \gamma^{\mu}}{|k|} + \cdots$$

V. Stangier, I. Esterlis, J. S., unpublished

finite-dimensions: compressible fermions





charged black holes at low energies $\rightarrow AdS_2$

J. Maldacena, J. Michelson, and A. Strominger, JHEP 9902, 011 (1999).

back to SYK, T>0, finite particle number



the holographic map can be extended

$$S^{(\mathrm{sc})} = S_{\mathrm{AdS}_2} = N \int d^2 x \sqrt{g} \left(D_a \psi^* D^a \psi + m^2 \left| \psi \right|^2 \right)$$

• black hole horizon at finite T $\zeta_T^{-1} = 2\pi T$

$$ds^{2} = g_{ab}dx^{a}dx^{b} = \frac{1}{\zeta^{2}}\left((1-\zeta^{2}/\zeta_{T}^{2})d\tau^{2} + \frac{1}{(1-\zeta^{2}/\zeta_{T}^{2})}d\zeta^{2}\right)$$







back to SYK, T>0, finite particle number



the holographic map can be extended

$$S^{(\mathrm{sc})} = S_{\mathrm{AdS}_2} = N \int d^2 x \sqrt{g} \left(D_a \psi^* D^a \psi + m^2 |\psi|^2 \right)$$

• black hole horizon at finite T $\zeta_T^{-1} = 2\pi T$

$$ds^{2} = g_{ab}dx^{a}dx^{b} = \frac{1}{\zeta^{2}}\left((1 - \zeta^{2}/\zeta_{T}^{2})d\tau^{2} + \frac{1}{(1 - \zeta^{2}/\zeta_{T}^{2})}d\zeta^{2}\right)$$

• away from half filling $D_a = \partial_a - iq^* A_a$ Cooper pair charge: $q^* = 2q$ boundary electric field: $A_a = \left(\frac{i\mathcal{E}}{\zeta} \left(1 - \zeta/\zeta_T\right), 0\right)$

> A. Georges, O. Parcollet, S. Sachdev, PRB **63**, 134406 (2001)



Source fields

add an external pairing field e.g. via Josephson coupling to another superconductor

$$S_J = -\int d\tau J_0(\tau) \frac{1}{N} \sum_i c_{i\uparrow}(\tau) c_{i\downarrow}(\tau) + h.c.$$

holographic map $J(\zeta,\omega) = 2J_0(\omega) \zeta^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}} \int_1^\infty \frac{dx}{x^{\frac{1+\gamma}{2}}} \frac{\cos\left(\omega\zeta\sqrt{x^2-1}\right)}{\sqrt{x^2-1}}$ $S_{J,\mathrm{AdS}_2} = -\int d^2x \sqrt{g} \left(J^*\left(x\right)\psi\left(x\right) + h.c.\right)$ $\frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{T_c}{\sqrt{2}}$



dynamic pairing susceptibility



not easy to calculate within Eliashberg approach, but easy in holography

N. Iqbal, H. Liu, M. Mezei, Phys. Rev. D91, 025024 (2015)

$$\chi_{\text{AdS}_2} = \frac{1 - g\mathcal{G}}{1 - f\mathcal{G}}. \quad \mathcal{G}(T, \omega) = \frac{2\nu - \gamma}{2\nu + \gamma} T^{2\nu} \frac{\Gamma(u - \nu) \Gamma(v + \nu)}{\Gamma(u + \nu) \Gamma(v - \nu)} \qquad u = \frac{1}{2} + i2q\mathcal{E}$$
$$v = \frac{1}{2} - i\frac{\omega - 4\pi Tq\mathcal{E}}{2\pi T}$$



dynamic susceptibility



dynamic response, non-equilibrium behavior ... fluctuations beyond Eliashberg, ...

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

Conclusions:

a

