





Exotic Kondo effects in nanostructures

S. Florens

Néel Institute - CNRS Grenoble



Some grateful acknowledgments

Theory side:

- A. Georges and O. Parcollet
- T. Costi

Experimental side:

NanoSpintronics team @ Néel Institute
 F. Balestro, V. Bouchiat, N. Roch and W. Wernsdorfer

Summary

- Various quantum dot setups
- Fully screened Kondo: precise test of universality
- Under screened Kondo:
 - logarithmic approach to strong coupling
 - quantum phase transition
- Over screened Kondo: non-Fermi liquid fixed point

Various quantum dot systems



Semiconducting quantum dots

- System: 2D electron gas
- ++ great tunability and scalability by electric gates
- -- small charging energy:
 - U = 10K for spin qbit experiments
 - U = 1K only for Kondo experiments \implies tough!







Carbon nanotube quantum dots

- System: long carbon molecule with gates on top
- ++ tunable & hydrid: metal, ferro or superconducting leads
- + intermediate charging energy U = 10K 100K
- \pm orbital degeneracy: SU(4) vs SU(2) physics







[Kontos (Paris)]



[Wernsdorfer (Grenoble)]

Molecular devices

- System: nanometer size molecule inbetween metal contacts
- reduced tunability (no local gates, but some surprises...)
- ++ more tunability from chemistry
- —— lack of reproducibility
- ++ very large energy scales U = 1000K 10000K
 - \implies best playground for Kondo physics!





Molecular electronics HOWTO: transistor

Basic idea:

- E-beam lithography cannot reach single molecule size
- Solution: open a metal junction via electromigration
- Back gate: molecular transistor





Molecular electronics HOWTO: electromigration

Recipe:

- slow voltage ramp
- interrupt the process at the right time
- hope to catch a molecule in the nanogap!



- Method development: [Park et al. APL (1999)]
- ► First ultra-low temperature measurements [Roch @ Grenoble (2008)]

Molecular electronics HOWTO2: break junctions

Basic idea:

- Solution: open mechanically a metal junction
- No back gate, but strain changes tunnel couplings



J. v. Ruitenbeek, Leiden '95





[D. Ralph (Cornell)]

Fully screened Kondo effect: universality tests

Physical origin of Kondo effect

Lifting of a degeneracy by a Fermi sea









Resonant binding of electrons near Fermi level
 Open channel for transport

Nice illustration with "real" and "fake" spin 1/2

Even charge CNT quantum dot: [Nygard et al., Nature (2000)]

 Magnetic-field induced degeneracy by crossing of singlet and lowest triplet





Consequence: only in the Kondo regime

▶ single parameter scaling of physical quantities
 Zero-bias Conductance: G(T) = G₀ * f(T/T_K) + G₁
 Question for experiments: how to quantify the universal regime?

Kondo model

<u>Schrieffer-Wolff transformation</u>: keep only spin states at $U \gg \Gamma$

 \Rightarrow Antiferromagnetic coupling $J_{\mathcal{K}} = 8 \frac{t^2}{U}$ to the Fermi sea



High temperature limit: local moment

•
$$S(T) = \log 2$$
 and $\chi_{imp}(T) = \frac{1}{4T}$
• $G(T) = 0$

Low temperature limit: singlet state (screening)

•
$$S(T) = 0$$
 and $\chi_{imp}(T) \propto \frac{1}{T_K}$
• $G(T) = \frac{2e^2}{h}$

Intuitive illustration of the universal crossover

Curie constant and entropy from NRG calculations:

• Three large values of U/Γ



Kondo logarithms

Perturbation in j = J/D: badly convergent at $T \ll D$!!

$$\chi_{imp}(T) = rac{1}{4T} \left[1 - j - j^2 \log rac{D}{T} + \ldots
ight] \simeq rac{1}{4T} \left[1 - j_{\mathcal{R}}(T) + \ldots
ight]$$

$$G(T) = \frac{3\pi^2}{16} \left[j^2 + j^3 \log \frac{D}{T} + \ldots \right] \simeq \frac{3\pi^2}{16} \left[j_R^2(T) + \ldots \right]$$

- Renormalized coupling: $j_R(T) = \frac{1}{\log(T/T_K)}$
- Kondo temperature: $T_K = De^{-D/J} = De^{-\pi U/8\Gamma}$
- Renormalized perturbation: not too useful in practice...



Precise condition to be universal

<u>Wilson ratio</u>: $R = \frac{\chi}{\gamma} * \frac{\gamma}{\chi}_{|U=0}$

- Zero T spin susceptibility (screening): $\chi(T) \propto \frac{1}{T_{\kappa}}$
- Low T specific heat (Fermi liquid): $C(T) = \gamma T \propto \frac{T}{T_{\nu}}$



- Small deviation to universality: $U \gtrsim 2\pi\Gamma \implies T_K \lesssim U/40$
- Similar constraints on level spacing

Testing the theory with experiments: G(T)

Semiconducting quantum dots: [van der Wiel et al. Nature (2000)]



Sizable deviations to scaling due to too small U/T_K ?

Molecular quantum dots: [Roch et al. PRL (2009)]



 $T_K = 4K, U > 600K$ conditions are met!

Log tails at $T \gg T_K$?

Testing the theory with experiments: G(B)

Magneto-transport G(B, T): theory exists (NRG by Costi) Kondo resonance splits at $T_K/2$



Fit of the Zeeman splitting:

- Kondo temperature $T_K = 2(g\mu_B/k_B)B_c = 4.8K$, OK!
- no quantitative comparison yet

Testing the theory with experiments: G(V)

Finite-bias conductance

- ► Equilibrium case: $\Gamma_L \gg \Gamma_R$ [i.e. $G(T = 0) \ll 2e^2/h$] \implies spectroscopy of the Kondo resonance
- Out-of-equilibrium case: $\Gamma_L \simeq \Gamma_R$ [i.e. $G(T = 0) \simeq 2e^2/h$]
 - Main physical effect: enhanced scattering from large current density kills the Kondo resonance
 - Crucial and tough problem for many-body theory
 - Reliable experimental data badly needed!
- Recent non-equilibrium NRG and QMC simulations:

Anders PRL (2009), Han PRL (2007)]



Checking Fermi Liquid Theory

At low energy: $T \ll T_K$ and $eV/k_B \ll T_K$

$$G(T, V) = G_0 \left[1 - c_T \left(\frac{\pi T}{T_K} \right)^2 - c_V \left(\frac{eV}{k_B T_K} \right)^2 \right]$$

Out of equilibrium Fermi Liquid theory: [Oguri JPS (2004)]

$$\alpha \equiv \frac{c_V}{\pi^2 c_T} = \frac{3}{4\pi^2} \frac{1 + 5(R - 1)^2}{1 + 2(R - 1)^2}$$
$$= \frac{3}{2\pi^2} \simeq 0.16 \,[\text{Kondo regime} \,(R = 2)]$$
$$= \frac{3}{4\pi^2} \simeq 0.08 \,[\text{uncorrelated} \,(R = 1)]$$

<u>Note:</u> α depends on Γ_L/Γ_R in general but not any more in the R = 2 limit (universality again!)

Hunt for α : 2DEG quantum dot data

Recent experiment: [Grobis et al. PRL (2008)]



Fermi liquid coefficients:



Nice systematics!

▶ 0.8 < α < 1.6: signature of intermediate correlations?!</p>

Hunt for α : molecular quantum dot data



• Here $T_K = 4K \implies$ less noise!

- For this only sample: one extracts $\alpha \simeq 1.5$, OK!
- More studies needed (several T_K and lead asymmetries)

Under screened Kondo effect: logarithmic singularities

Exotic Kondo: historical note

Seminal work: Nozières-Blandin (1981)

- Initial motivation: realistic aspects of Kondo alloys!
- They found surprising physics...

Flurry of theory work since then:

- Under and Over screened Kondo (this talk)
- Kondo in a superconductor (very active experimentally)
- SU(4) Kondo (studied in carbon nanotubes)
- Two impurity Kondo
- Kondo in a pseudogap metal
- Charge or orbital Kondo
- Role of phonons
- Kondo in Luttinger liquids

. . .

Exotic Kondo: experimental realisations?

The challenge in condensed matter:

- ++ Bulk measurement singular magnetic response or extensive entropy easy to spot
- little tunability
- -- conspiracy theory: always a Fermi liquid?? (see later)
- New system: $Si_{1-x}Fe_x$ may be underscreened ??

The challenge in quantum dots:

- ++ Tunability and man-designed Hamiltonian
- \pm Single impurity measurement
- -- Only conductance available
 - \implies requires very careful and tough measurement

Under screening: Nozières-Blandin argument

Spin S = 1 and single screening channel:



• Effective spin $S_{eff} = 1/2$ (residual entropy) • Effective Kondo coupling $J_{eff} \propto -t^2/J$: ferromagnetic! \implies scale dependence: $J_{eff}(T) \propto \frac{1}{\log(T/T_{K})}$ at $T \ll T_{K}$ 1

$$\Longrightarrow J_{eff}(T)$$
 vanishes at low T

Transport: logarithmic approach to unitarity

$$G(T) = \frac{2e^2}{h} \left[1 - \frac{c}{\log^2(T/T_{\kappa})} \right]$$

Disgression: Kondo anomalies in wires

Resistance of $Ag_{1-x}Fe_x$ and $Au_{1-x}Fe_x$: [Costi et al. PRL (2009)]

► LDA predicts spin S = 3/2 for Fe and 3 orbitals involved in screening process



Data compatible with full screening (no underscreening!)

Theory cannot distinguish the spin value S

Disgression: Kondo anomalies in wires

Inelastic contribution to the resistivity:



► This allows to discriminate the spin value: $\implies S = 3/2$ and 3 orbital involved!

Molecular transistor: even charge

C₆₀ device: [Roch et al., Nature (2008)]



Life and death of a spin S = 1 Kondo anomaly:

change in the magnetic ground state of the quantum dot?

Identifying the spin states: gate voltage scan at B = 3T



Zeeman effect agrees with spin 0 or spin 1 ground state

Gate-induced magnetic splitting (tunable Hund's rule!)

Origin for the gating effect

Role of the leads: energy gain by charge fluctuations Hopping from level 1 or 2 $\Longrightarrow \delta E_{1,2} = -\frac{t_{1,2}^2}{E_{add}}$ Singlet stabilization Triplet stabilization -1.0 Sinalet Triplet -0.5 ر» (mV) 0.0 2 0.5 1.0 2.0 2.2 1.8 Odd Even Even Odd dl/dV (2e²/h) V_ (V)

<u>Conclusion</u>: hopping asymmetry $t_1 \gg t_2$

Crucial observation: single screening channel!!

Second evidence for single screening channel

Magnetic field effect:

 No Kondo anomaly at the singlet-triplet degeneracy point!





• Kondo coupling: $J_K \propto \frac{t_1 t_2}{E_c}$ small!

Testing the underscreened Kondo scenario

Analysis of the spin S=1 Kondo anomaly: [Roch et al., PRL (2009)]



- Agreement with S = 1 NRG clearly better but tough experiment!!
- $\frac{dG(T)}{dT}$ shows two logarithmic regimes

Magnetic field effect: smoking gun?

Comparing S = 1/2 and S = 1 Kondo anomalies:



NRG calculations: S = 1 Kondo resonance very sensitive to field



Break junction device

Cobalt in a "cage": [Parks et al. cond-mat (2010)]



Underscreened Kondo anomaly: clearly logarithmic below T_K

Unexpected effect

Stretching the molecule:



Interpretation: strain induced magnetic anisotropy



Putting the $\left|1,0\right\rangle$ state down: kills underscreened Kondo

Under screened Kondo effect: quantum phase transition

Some general arguments

Quantum phase transition:

- continuous change between two physically different ground states
- purely zero temperature phenomenon with observable consequences at finite temperature

Underscreened Kondo:

- a complex many-body ground state (spin+contacts)
- remanent entropy: analogous to a disordered phase
- sensitive to perturbation towards zero entropy state
- zero temperature change of ground state degeneracy: (impurity) quantum phase transition (not a level crossing)

Back to Grenoble experiment

Around the singlet-triplet crossing:



Under-screening





Over screened Kondo effect: Non Fermi Liquid

The model and Nozières-Blandin argument

Two independent Fermi seas (m=1,2):

$$H = H_1 + H_2 + \sum_{\sigma\sigma'} \sum_m J_m \ c^{\dagger}_{\sigma m} \frac{\vec{\tau}_{\sigma\sigma'}}{2} c_{\sigma'm} \cdot \vec{S}$$

Strong coupling argument at $J_1 = J_2$ large



- Effective spin $S_{eff} = 1/2$ (residual entropy)
- Effective Kondo coupling $J_{eff} \propto +t^2/J$: antiferromagnetic!
 - \implies strong coupling fixed point is unstable!

K

Intermediate coupling fixed point

Weak coupling RG: next order

$$\Lambda \frac{dJ}{d\Lambda} = -J^2 + KJ^3$$

•
$$K = \#$$
 channels ($K = 2$ or more)

• $J^* = \frac{1}{K}$ NFL fixed point controlled if $K \gg 1$

Break down of quasiparticle picture!



What's known: (NRG, CFT, Bosonization...)

•
$$S(T=0) = \log(\sqrt{2})$$
: weird!
• $\chi(T) \propto \log(T_K/T)$
• $G(T) = G_0[1 - a\sqrt{T/T_K}]$ at $T \ll T$

Channel anisotropy kills 2CK

Weak coupling RG: with $J_1 \neq J_2$



- $\blacktriangleright \implies$ fine tuning needed!
- but can one realize such Hamiltonian with quantum dots?

Why 2 leads experiments give only 1 channel?



<u>Matrix of couplings:</u> $J_{lpha,lpha'} \propto rac{t_lpha t'_lpha}{U}$ has only one non-zero eigenvalue

$$\implies H = H_{+} + H_{-} + 8\frac{t_{L}^{2} + t_{R}^{2}}{U} \sum_{\sigma\sigma'} c_{\sigma+}^{\dagger} \frac{\vec{\tau}_{\sigma\sigma'}}{2} c_{\sigma'+} \cdot \vec{S}$$

$$\blacktriangleright \text{ One channel only}$$

Goldhaber-Gordon/Oreg proposal



- Charge transfert between leads L/R and island I is suppressed below E^I_c
- Tough contraint: $\Delta E_{\rm I} \ll T \ll E_c^{\rm I}$

Experimental observation





- some evidence for scaling
- issues in the absence of complete quantitative comparison
- is there a better system?

Conclusion

Conclusion

- Beyond the standard (one channel S = 1/2) Kondo effect:
 - rich physics brought by increased complexity
 - great potentialities in molecular electronics
- Theory is ripe to handle complicated impurity problems
 - not yet out-of-equilibrium
 - not yet with ab-initio
- First observations of under and over screened situations made only recently
- Other effects to look for: two-impurity Kondo (and others)