College de France, May 21, 2013

SUPERFLUIDTY IN ULTRACOLD ATOMIC GASES (A TALE OF TWO SOUNDS)



Sandro Stringari









CNR-INFM

PLAN OF THE LECTURES

Lecture 1. **Superfluidity** in ultra cold atomic gases: examples and open questions (May 14)

Lecture 2. A tale of two sounds (first and second sound) (May 21)

Lecture 3. **Spin-orbit** coupled Bose-Einstein condensed gases: quantum phases and **anisotropic dynamics** (May 28)

Lecture 4. **Superstripes** and **supercurrents** in spin-orbit coupled Bose-Einstein condensates (June 4) Major question: How to **measure** the **superfluid density** in an ultracold gas ? (not available from equibrium thermodynamics, needed **transport** phenomena)





$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rho + \vec{\nabla}(\vec{j}) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}s + \vec{\nabla}(\vec{s}\vec{v}_N) = 0$$

$$m\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\vec{v}_s + \nabla(\mu(n) + V_{ext}) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\vec{j} + \vec{\nabla}P + n\vec{\nabla}V_{ext} = 0$$

$$At T=0: \rho = \rho_s; \vec{j} = \rho\vec{v}_s$$
eqs. reduce to
T=0 irrotational
superfluid HD equations
$$equivalent at T=0$$

At T=0 irrotational hydrodynamics follows from superfluidity (role of the phase of the order parameter). Quite successful to describe the macroscopic dynamic behavior of trapped atomic gases (Bose and Fermi) (expansion, collective oscillations) Hydrodynamics predicts anisotropic expansion of the superfluid (Kagan, Surkov, Shlyapnikov 1996; Castin, Dum 1996,





T=0 Bogoliubov sound (wave packet propagating in a dilute BEC, Mit 97)



T=0 Collective oscillations in dilute BEC
(axial compression mode) : checking validity of
hydrodynamic theory of superfluids in trapped gases

Exp (Mit, 1997) $\omega = 1.57 \omega_z$

HD Theory (S.S. 1996): $\omega = \sqrt{5/2} \omega_z = 1.58\omega_z$



5 milliseconds per frame

T=0 breathing mode in elongated Fermi superfluids Exp: Altmeyer et al. (Innsbruck 2007) Theory: T=0 Hydrodynamics with Monte Carlo eq. of state



SOLVING THE HYDRODYNAMIC EQUATIONS OF SUPERFLUIDS

AT FINITE TEMPERATURE

In uniform matter Landau equations gives rise to two solutions below the critical temperature:

First sound: superfluid and normal fluids move in phase

Second sound: superfluid and normal fluids move in opposite phase.

If condition $\frac{c_2^2}{c_2^2} \frac{C_P - C_V}{C_V} \ll 1$ is satisfied (small compressibility and/or small expansion coefficient) well satisfied by unitary Fermi gas)

second sound reduces to **Isobaric oscillation** (constant pressure)



In this regime second sound velocity is fixed by superfluid density

First and second sound velocities in uniform matter







Unitary Fermi gas H. Hu et al. 2009



In dilute Bose gases the superfluid density practiclly concides with BEC density and second sound reduces to the oscillation of the **condensate** with the thermal part remaining at rest



What happens in the presence of harmonic confinement?

$$V_{ext} = (1/2m)[\omega_{\perp}^{2}(x^{2} + y^{2}) + \omega_{z}^{2}z^{2}]$$

Can we derive solutions of HD equations at finite temperature ?

- Various theoretical (either numerical and analytical) studies at finite T available for isotropic 3D harmonic trapping (Castin, Levin, Griffin, Hu, Taylor, Trento team)
- **Exp.** results available in **elongated** geometries $\omega_z \ll \omega_{\perp}$ (both discretized solutions and sound propagation)

First sound scaling solutions available at unitarity for any T

 For isotropic harmonic trapping an exact scaling solution (breathing oscillation) of the Schrodinger equation can be proven at unitarity. The frequency of the oscillation is twice the harmonic frequency (Castin, 2004).

 $\vec{v}_{S} = \vec{v}_{N} = \beta(t)\vec{r}_{\perp} + \delta(t)\vec{z}$

 $n(\vec{r},t) = e^{2\alpha(t) + \gamma(t)} n(\vec{r}')$

 $s(\vec{r},t) = e^{2\alpha(t) + \gamma(t)} s(\vec{r}')$

 $T(t) = e^{(2\alpha(t) + \gamma(t))^2/3}T$

 $\vec{r}' = (e^{\alpha(t)}x, e^{\alpha(t)}y, e^{\gamma(t)}z)$

 In a recent paper (Hou et al. 2013) we have proven that at unitarity Landau's two fluid HD equations admit exact scaling solutions for arbitrary deformed harmonic trapping

$$\boxed{\lambda = \omega_z / \omega_\perp} \qquad \omega^2 = \left(\frac{5}{3} + \frac{4}{3}\lambda^2 \pm \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{16\lambda^4 - 32\lambda^2 + 25}\right)\omega_\perp^2$$

Frequency does not depend on Temperature

 Compared to Castin's theorem our result holds only in hydrodynamic regime, but applies to more relevant experimental situations (deformed harmonic traps)



From 3D to 1D at finite temperature

In the presence of tight radial trapping $\omega_z \ll \omega_1$ (still LDA in radial direction) 3D Hydrodynamic equations can be reduced to **1D form** (Bertaina et al. 2011)

- New equation of state ($P_1(n_1) \neq P(n)$
- Easier experimental conditions
- Easier realization of HD condition
- $\omega_z \tau << 1$
- New role of viscosity and thermal conductivity ensuring 1D form of equations $\omega << \omega_{\perp}^2 \tau$
- Easier theoretical calculation

1D hydrodynamic condition

 $\omega << \omega_{\perp}^2 \tau$

Implies that both normal velocity field and temperature variations do **NOT depend on radial variable**. Follows from the condition $\eta >> mn_{1D}\omega$

(viscous penetration depth larger than radial size)

Independence of superfluid velocity on radial variables follows from equation $m\partial_t \vec{v}_s + \nabla \delta \mu(n) = 0$ T=0 1D Hydrodynamics

In a **tube with hard walls**, independence of normal velocity on radial coordinates implies vanishing of normal velocity field (only superfluid can move: **fourth sound** in superfluid helium).

With radial harmonic trapping also the normal part can move .

1D Hydrodynamic equation for first sound at unitarity:

$$m(\omega^{2} - \omega_{z}^{2})v_{z} - \frac{7}{5}m\omega_{z}^{2}z\partial_{z}v_{z} + \frac{7}{5}\frac{P_{1}}{n_{1}}\partial_{z}^{2}v_{z} = 0$$

$$n_{1} = \int ndxdy \qquad P_{1} = \int dxdyP \propto n_{1}^{7/5}f(T/n_{1}^{2/5})$$

Solutions are discretrized because of axial trapping

at T=0 (
$$P_1 \propto n_1^{7/5}$$
)

$$\omega^2 = \frac{1}{5}(k+1)(k+5)\omega_z^2$$

$$w_1 = z^k + \dots$$
at large T ($P_1 = Tn_1$)

$$\omega^2 = \frac{1}{5}(7k+5)\omega_z^2$$

Lowest frequency solutions:

- Sloshing (k=0, $\omega = \omega_z$, $v_z = const$)
- Scaling Axial breathing (k=1, $\omega = \sqrt{12/5}\omega_z$, $v_z = z$) are temperature independent

Higher nodal modes (k=2) exhibit Temperature dependence (test of EoS and of 1D hydrodynamic approximation)

Higher nodal modes can be excited by proper density modulation of laser perturbation



Exp data and theory predictions: Meng Kohn Tey at al PRL 2013



Temperature increases with holding time (heating effect)

Frequency of scaling axial mode (k=1) remains constant Frequency of higher nodal modes (k=2,3) decreases

Measured temperature dependence of k=2 mode

Theory predictions obtained solving 1D HD eqs. with MIT equation of state

$$m(\omega^2 - \omega_z^2)v_z - \frac{7}{5}m\omega_z^2 z\partial_z v_z + \frac{7}{5}\frac{P_1}{n_1}\partial_z^2 v_z = 0$$

Meng Kohn Tey at al PRL 2013 (IBK-MIT-Trento collaboration)



Measurement of **second sound** and determination of the **superfluid density** in a strongly **interacting Fermi gas** (Innsbruck- Trento collaboration) **First** measurements of second sound carried out at **Utrecht** (2009) in a dilute 1D like Bose gas

- density wave of the condensate, thermal cloud practically remains at rest).
- Sound velocity fixed by temperature dependence of condensate fraction

Phys. Rev. A **80**, 043605 (2009) Sound propagation in a Bose-Einstein condensate at finite temperatures

R. Meppelink, S. B. Koller, and P. van der Straten¹

¹Atom Optics and Ultrafast Dynamics, Utrecht University, P.O. Box 80,000, 3508 TA Utrecht, The Netherlands (Dated: September 18, 2009)

We study the propagation of a density wave in a magnetically trapped Bose-Einstein condensate at finite temperatures. The thermal cloud is in the hydrodynamic regime and the system is therefore described by the two-fluid model. A phase-contrast imaging technique is used to image the cloud of atoms and allows us to observe small density excitations. The propagation of the density wave in the condensate is used to determine the speed of sound as a function of the temperature. We find the speed of sound to be in good agreement with calculations based on the Landau two-fluid model.

More interesting conditions are expected to occur in the interacting Fermi gas at unitarity:

- Large space overlap between superfluid and normal densities
- Superfluid density different from pair condensate density

In a recent paper we have provided a combined exp + theory investigation of the **propagation of second sound** and of **superfluid density** in a strongly interacting **Fermi** gas

Second sound and the superfluid fraction in a resonantly interacting Fermi gas

Leonid A. Sidorenkov, Meng Khoon Tey, and Rudolf Grimm Institut für Quantenoptik und Quanteninformation (IQOQI), Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften and Institut für Experimentalphysik, Universität Innsbruck, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria

Yan-Hua Hou¹, Lev Pitaevskii^{1,2}, and Sandro Stringari¹ ¹Dipartimento di Fisica, Universitá di Trento and INO-CNR BEC Center, I-38123 Povo, Italy and ²Kapitza Institute for Physical Problems RAS, Kosygina 2, 119334 Moscow, Russia (Dated: February 13, 2013)

> arXiv: 1302.2871 Nature, 15 May online

Both first and second sound have been investigated

To excite **first sound** one suddenly turns on a repulsive (green) laser beam in the center of the trap [similar tecnhnique used at Mit (1998) and Utrecht (2009) to generate Bogoliubov sound in dilute BEC and at Duke (2011) to excite sound in a Fermi gas along the BEC-BCS crossover at T=0]



Velocity of **first sound** of radially trapped unitary Fermi gas given by adiabatic law (excellent approximation due to small thermal expansion) also below critical temperature

By measuring velocity of the signal at different times (different pulse positions) one extracts behavior as a function of T/T_F^{1D} . T is fixed, but T_F decreases as the perturbation moves to the periphery (lower density)

 $5 n_1$



To excite **second sound** one keeps the repulsive (green) laser power constant with the exception of a short time modulation producing local heating in the center of the trap



The average laser power is kept constant to limit the excitation of pressure waves (first sound)

First sound

propagates also beyond the boundary between the superfluid and the normal parts

Second sound propagates only within the region of co-existence of the super and normal fluids.

Second sound is basically an isobaric wave, but signal is visbile because of small, but finite thermal expansion.



Second sound:

relative density and temperature variations are fixed by thermal expansion (consequence of isobaric nature of second sound) and are not negligeable (except at very small temperatures)

In Innsbruck experiment second sound is **excited** via a **thermal** perturbation and **detected** imaging the propagation of the **density** signal.





Relative density vs T fluctuations during the propagation of second sound (thermodynamics from MIT) From measurement of 1D second sound velocity and relationship with 1D superfluid density

$$mc_2^2 = \frac{n_{s1}Ts_1}{n_{n1}C_{P1}}$$

one extracts 1D superfluid density



From integral definition

$$n_{s1} = \int n_s dx dy$$

one can reconstruct 3D superfluid fraction



Some comments:

- Superfluid fraction of unitary Fermi gas behaves similarly to superfluid helium (strongly interacting superfluid)
- Very different behavior compared to dilute BEC gas. New benchmark for many-body calculations
- Superfluid density differs significantly from condensate fraction of pairs (about 0.5 at T=0, Astrakharchik et al 2005)



 Condensation fraction of pairs measurable by fast ramping of scattering length to BEC side (bimodal distribution) (Jila 2004, Mit (2004, 2012))

Other questions concerning superfluid density

- Behavior in 2D (BKT transition). Superfluid density has a jump at the transition. Possible strategies to measure ρ_s
 i) second sound in 2D dilute Bose gas
 ii) measurement of moment of inertia
 iii) transverse response function
- Control of superfluid flow via superleak (only superfluid can flow)
 Thermomechanical effect. 'Cooling by heating a superfluid'



(Papoular et al, PRL 2012) $T_R > T_L$ By heating the rhs ($T_R > T_L$) one predicts a flow of the superfluid from right to left with consequent increase of quantum

degeneracy in the left hand side. Change in density fixed by behavior of chemical potential at fixed density

$$\left| \delta n = -n^2 k_T \partial_T \mu \right|_n \delta T$$

