GISMO

(Giant IR and SubMm Space Observatory)

by

Tim Hawarden

UK Astronomy Technology Centre, Royal Observatory, Edinburgh (tgh@roe.ac.uk)



WHY BUILD A GIANT FIR/subMM SPACE TELESCOPE?

λ Fir/subMM? (difficult)

λ GIANT? (expensive)

λ SPACE? (expensive AND difficult)



FIR/subMM? (difficult)

- λ Much of the electromagnetic
 radiation by which we must study
 the Universe is in the FIR/subMM:
 - ✓ Distant objects are redshifted → We see them better in the IR
 - Most astronomical systems have lots of DUST in them → Visible light is blocked



FIR/subMM? (difficult)

λ Much of the electromagnetic radiation by which we must study the Universe is in the FIR/subMM:

- ✓ Distant objects are redshifted → We see them better in the IR
- Most astronomical systems have lots of DUST in them → Visible light is blocked



HST image of the "TADPOLE" interacting galaxy

Quite nearby: Blue stars

BUT IN THE BACKGROUND:

FAR-AWAY GALAXIES LOOK MUCH REDDER....







FIR/subMM? (difficult)

- λ Much of the electromagnetic radiation by which we must study the Universe is in the FIR/subMM:
 - ✓ Distant objects are redshifted → We see them better in the IR
 - Most astronomical systems have lots of DUST in them → Visible light is blocked



STARS ARE FORMED IN CLOUDS OF GAS AND DUST: THE ORION NEBULA

ORIONIS: THE "TRAPEZIUM" ... YOUNG, HOT STARS

ORIONIS: THE "TRAPEZIUM" ... YOUNG, HOT **STARS BUT WE SEE** THEM **THROUGH A** "WINDOW" IN THE DUST



HOW CAN WE SEE THROUGH DUST DUST WITHOUT A WINDOW?



.. BY USING INFRARED LIGHT

...this image uses light 2 to 4 times longer in wavelength than that by which we see...



AND THE INFRARED CAN SHOW US EVEN MORE..



BABY STARS STILL WRAPPED IN DUST

YOUNG STARS DO MANY EXCITING THINGS



BUT WE STILL CANT SEE THEM CLEARLY...

...THE STAR **ITSELF IS INVISIBLE**, **EVEN IN THIS NEAR-INFRARED PICTURE**, **BEHIND ITS DISC OF DUST**

GALAXIES, TOO, ARE FULL OF DUST

AND WE CAN'T SEE WHATS WHATS HAPPENING, ESPECIALLY IN THEIR CENTRES....

... EVENIN THE THE INFRARED, INFR

... DOES IT CONTAIN A MONSTER BLACK HOLE?

..OR EVEN TWO MONSTERS?

....WE NEED THE FAR INFRARED AND SUBMILLIMETRE TO SEE WHATS GOING ON



WHY BUILD A GIANT FIR/subMM SPACE TELESCOPE?

λ Fir/subMM? (difficult)

λ GIANT? (expensive)

λ SPACE? (expensive AND difficult)



GIANT? (expensive)

The longer the wavelength, the more blurred the image



SOMETHING WHICH LOOKS LIKE THIS IN VISIBLE LIGHT (_ =0.5µm)...

MIGHT LOOK LIKE THIS IN THE FAR INFRARED (_ = 100µm)...

SPACE? (expensive and difficult)

Unfortunately, no far-IR and very little sub-millimetre light can get through the atmosphere...



NASA PROPOSAL: SAFIR (10M, 4K telescope) ... in various possible "flavours"



a bigger, colder JWST with more sunshade layers???



NASA PROPOSAL: SAFIR (10M, 4K telescope)



a different shape of telescope?



NASA PROPOSAL: SAFIR (10M, 4K telescope)



a totally new technology? "DART" uses membranes



ORIGIN OF <u>THIS</u> PROPOSAL: Invited review of technologies for lightweight, cold, FIR telescopes in space

(for ESA workshop, "New Perspectives for Post-Herschel FIR Astronomy from Space" Madrid, 1-5 Sept 2003)

PERSONAL GOAL OF REVIEW:

An outline design for a telescope that is:

- **λ** Large (>>10m)
- **λ** Cold (<<30K)
- λ To work in the FIR-submm (~20 to ~700_m), and has a chance of flying in my lifetime...



<u>RESULTS</u>

- λ <u>Membranes</u> have plenty of problems (cusps, wrinkles, uniformity, how to tension, shape control...)
- λ <u>"Stiff" technologies</u> unlikely to offer >>10m aperture*

Fresnel lenses being explored at LLNL for <u>optical</u> applications

→ must be 50-1000x easier in FIR-submm!

(inspiration: J. Early et al, proc SPIE, 5166, 148)

*But see TRW plans for 30m JWST-style telescope for 7-17_m: C.F. Lillie et al., Proc SPIE, 4860, 84 (2003)





