

Recent development in the experimental study of plastic deformation of deep mantle minerals

Shun-ichiro Karato Yale University Department of Geology & Geophysics New Haven, CT 06520, USA

In collaboration with

George Amulele, Robert Farla, Jennifer Girard, Anwar Mohiuddin, Kazuhiko Otsuka, Noriyoshi Tsujino



Geodynamic questions related to rheological properties



 \rightarrow Needs experimental studies at high P-1 (slow strain-rate, large strain) and studies on grain-size. (+ water effect?)



A brief history of technical development

- Griggs (1936): A gas-medium apparatus
- Griggs (1965): A solid-medium apparatus, low resolution (<3 GPa)
- Paterson (1970): A gas-medium apparatus (internal load cell, high resolution) (<0.3 GPa)
- Sung, Goetze, Mao (1977): High-P (<20 GPa) deformation experiments using DAC at low T
- Green (1989-): A modification to Griggs apparatus (high-resolution stress measurements, P to ~4 GPa)
- Singh (1993): A theory of x-ray stress measurement
- Karato-Rubie (1997): Multi-anvil shear deformation experiments (~15 GPa) (stress relaxation test)
- Weidner (1998-): Synchrotron *in-situ* stress, strain measurements [stress relaxation tests]
- Paterson (2000): A low-P (gas-medium) torsion apparatus
- Karato group (2001-): RDA (a high-P torsion apparatus)
- Wang, Weidner, Durham group (2003-): DDIA
- Karato (2009): A modified theory of x-ray stress measurement
- Girard et al. (2016): The first quantitative deformation experiments under the lower mantle conditions

Conditions for quantitative deformation experiments



- ✓ needs for deformation experiments at higher P
- deformation experiments need to be conducted in the right regime (in order for geological applications)

אזר ותמ ים ים

Extending rheological studies to higher P quantitative deformation experiments under the whole mantle conditions





Key points in the design of a high-P deformation apparatus

- high P and T
- controlled strain-rate (stress)
- large strain
- *In-situ* stress-strain measurements

torsion tests→ good support (high P), large strain
 (strain gradient: evolution of microstructure)
 (pressure gradient: precise P-dependence)

Conventional deformation experiments



A sample is in a pressure vessel. Pushed from out-side \rightarrow Pressure limit. Both strain and stress are measured out-side. \rightarrow Problems with the stress measurements. Not possible to distinguish stress in different phases.



Advantages of synchrotron experiments

- Synchrotron radiation: high intensity X-ray
 → penetrate through pressure medium
 → P-T estimates (from equations of state)
- In-situ measurements of stress and strain
 → no issue of friction
 - \rightarrow need a theory to calculate stress from X-ray diffraction
- Stress can be measured for co-existing minerals separately
 - \rightarrow strength contrast of co-existing minerals



X-ray stress, strain measurements





Applications of RDA

- Pressure effects (V*) on deformation of olivine
- Plastic deformation of transition zone minerals (wadsleyite, ringwoodite)
- Deformation experiments under the lower mantle conditions
 - Mantle convection, geochemical mixing



Pressure dependence of creep of dry olivine



P effect is large for both "power-law" creep (high-T mechanism) and the Peierls mechanism (low T mechanism).



wadsleyite-ringwoodite

(transition zone minerals)

(A) 10.0₇

Rheological properties are similar to those of olivine at high P.

Conditions are close to the boundaries among dislocation creep, diffusion creep, the Peierls mechanism (similar to olivine)

- → Any substantial grain-size reduction leads to rheological weakening
- → Weak slabs in the western Pacific caused by grain-size reduction?





When grain-size effect is ignored, the predicted strength far exceeds the strength needed to deform the subducted slabs.



Dislocation creep (or diffusion creep with mm size)
→ too high viscosity
(need to reduce the viscosity by ~10 order of magnitude)

 \rightarrow grain-size reduction from mm to micron can do the job:

→ an experimental study on the degree of grain-size reduction upon a phase transformation at various conditions







• A strong effect of T on grain-size (mostly grain-growth control)



Grain size evolution and its influenvce on slab deformation in the transition zone



Warm/young slabs

Cold/old slabs



Deformation experiments under the lower mantle conditions

Fig. 1







Girard et al. (2016)



Advantages of a two-phase specimen in synchrotron *in-situ* deformation experiments

- 1. In-situ P-T measurements
- 2. Direct measurements of strength contrast



Girard et al. (2016)



Bridgmanite (perovskite) is much stronger than (Mg,Fe)O.



Girard et al. (2016)



Strain of (Mg,Fe)O is more than the macroscopic strain.



after deformation

before deformation



Deformation of a two-phase with large strength contrast

- \rightarrow strain weakening
- \rightarrow localized deformation
- \rightarrow Majority of the lower mantle may not be deformed
 - \rightarrow Preservation of geochemical reservoirs?
 - \rightarrow Lack of seismic anisotropy?





Water effects on diffusion are small in (Mg,Fe)O.



Otsuka-Karato (2015)



Summary I

- Quantitative deformation experiments to P~28 GPa, T~2200 K using the RDA (rotational Drickamer apparatus).
- Deformation mechanisms in the laboratory and in a slab are close to the boundary among three mechanisms (power-law dislocation creep, Peierls mechanism, diffusion creep).
- Both power-law creep and Peierls mechanism are highly sensitive to P.
 - \rightarrow Cold slab cannot deform if only these mechanisms are considered.
 - → Grain-size reduction will lead to substantial weakening (via diffusion creep).
- Two dominant minerals in the lower mantle (bridgmanite ((Mg,Fe)SiO₃) and ferropericlase ((Mg,Fe)O) have largely different strength: bridgmanite is much stronger than (Mg,Fe)O.
 - \rightarrow shear localization?
 - \rightarrow preservation of geochemical reservoirs?
 - \rightarrow absence of anisotropy in the majority of the lower mantle?
- Water has almost no effect on diffusion in (Mg,Fe)O

Summary II

What's next in the study of deep Earth rheology?

- More quantitative flow laws for each mechanism (deformation mechanism maps) → e.g., grain-size effects deformation of deep slabs
- Does water enhance deformation of lower mantle minerals?
 - evolution of water (water-convection feedback)
- Deformation of (Mg,Fe)O
 - Does dominant slip system change with P?
- How to interpret seismic anisotropy in the deep mantle? (LPO can be complex as shown for olivine)

flow pattern around the transition zone, in the D" layer











Sample assembly of RDA





olivine







Slab deformation in the transition zone



PHYSICAL REVIEW B 79, 214106 (2009)

Theory of lattice strain in a material undergoing plastic deformation: Basic formulation and applications to a cubic crystal

Shun-ichiro Karato Department of Geology and Geophysics, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520, USA



$$\left(\frac{\Delta d}{d}\right)^{hkl} = G\left(\psi, hkl \|\Sigma_{ij} S_{ijpq}'' \frac{\overline{\eta}_{ijpq}''}{\langle H \rangle} \alpha, \beta\right)$$

X-ray diffraction
→ strength
→ plastic anisotropy





103

103



stress



X-ray strain measurement





Girard et al. (2016)



Conditions for quantitative deformation experiments



Microstructures → deformation mechanisms Transmission electron microscopy → dislocation creep + diffusion creep







Kawazoe et al. (2010)







X-ray stress, strain measurements



Strain ← X-ray absorption (imaging) Stress ← X-ray diffraction



Motivation 1

Some (cold) slabs are deformed in the transition zone. → Why (how) does a cold slab deform?



Karason-van der Hilst (2000)

Conditions for quantitative deformation experiments

before after



אזר ותמ ים ים ו

Conditions for experimental studies on deformation



needs for deformation experiments at higher P
 deformation experiments need to be conducted in the right regime (in order for geological applications)





Farla et al. (2015)



wadsleyite



Kawazoe et al. (2010)