Particules Élémentaires, Gravitation et Cosmologie Année 2007-'08

Le Modèle Standard et ses extensions

Cours IV: 15 février 2008

Spontaneous symmetry breaking

15 fevrier 2008

General Considerations

- Symmetries play an essential role in our present understanding of elementary particles and fundamental interactions
- In QFT we have to make the important distinction between global symmetries (under x-independent transformations) and local symmetries(x-dependent tr.)
- Example of former: Lorentz (Poincaré) invariance
- Example of latter: gauge symmetries, general covariance (Cf. general relativity)
- Symmetries can be exact or (slightly) broken
- In latter case the breaking can be either explicit or spontaneous

- Recall: local symmetries mean that some d.o.f. are redundant, unphysical.
- Local symmetries are sacred, have to be broken «very carefully», spontaneously as we shall see.
- Global symmetries are not so sacred: we are allowed to break them even explicitly. Sometimes this is actually needed in order to have realistic theories
- Occasionally, symmetries that are exact at the classical level are explicitly broken at the quantum level: one talks about «anomalies»
- Anomalies are acceptable/useful in global symmetries
- Anomalies are unacceptable in local symmetries
- Let's illustrate the casistics in a Table

Symmetries and their breaking

Symmetry	Global	Local
Breaking		
Unbroken	Conservation law: B-L? Multiplets	massless gauge bosons, confinement
Explicitly broken	Broken multiplets, absence of NGB	Inconsistency, need anomaly cancellation
Spontaneously broken	Nambu-Goldstone bosons	Higgs et al. mechanism
Spont.ly & expl/ly broken	Pseudo (or no) NG bosons	Inconsistency

Spontaneous symmetry breaking (SSB)

• A very basic distinction has to be made between what one calls explicit breaking and spontaneous breaking of a symmetry

•The former case is the most familiar one. The gravitational field, for instance, breaks rotation invariance in this room by distinguishing the vertical direction. O(3) is broken to O(2) •In the case of SSB the symmetry is not really broken: it is simply hidden. The apparent «breaking» is due to the non-invariance of the ground state (the so-called vacuum) under the symmetry's transformations.

Some illustrative examples

1. Symmetric potential with one global minimum



symmetry is $x \rightarrow -x$ (discrete = Z_2)

Neither explicit nor spontaneous SB

2. A double-well potential in Classical and Quantum Mechanics





4. A larger continuous symmetry: SO(3) ~ SU(2)

A ferromagnet: the rotation symmetry is broken by the direction along which the magnet's magnetic field aligns. An O(2) survives = rotations around the axis of that (arbitrarily) chosen direction Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking <=> Degenerate Ground State

In a QM framework states are vectors in a Hilbert space. The ground state , $|0\rangle$, is no exception; A symmetry transformation g (g is a particular element of the symmetry group) becomes a unitary operator U(g) acting on these states. Furthermore, [H, U(g)]=0.

If we apply a symmetry transformation to |0> we get U(g)|0> and there are two possibilities:

- 1. U(g) |0> = |0,g> = |0> => no SSB
- 2. U(g) $|0\rangle = |0,g\rangle \neq |0\rangle = > SSB w / <0|H|0\rangle = <0,g|H|0,g>$

In latter case the subspace |0,g>, the so-called vacuum manifold, provides a non-trivial rep. of G

SSB and Nambu-Goldstone(NG) bosons

Goldstone's theorem: If a continuous global symmetry G is spontaneously broken down to its subgroup S (meaning that S|O> =|O>) there must one massless NG boson for each generators of G that is not a generator of S. In formulae: (number of NG-bosons) = dimG - dimS

The formal proof is a bit techical, but the physics behind is quite clear:



SSB in massless QCD

QCD with $N_{\rm f}$ massless quark flavours has a large global symmetry group:

$$G_{global}^{QCD} \equiv G_F = U(N_f)_F \otimes U(N_f)_{\bar{F}}$$

more traditionally called

$$U(N_f)_L \otimes U(N_f)_R$$

Under which the lh quarks (and the corresponding rh antiquarks) undergo a unitary transformation while the rh quarks (and the corresponding lh antiquarks) undergo a different/independent one

SSB in massless QCD

There is both theoretical and experimental evidence that, at zero temperature, the ground state of massless QCD is degenerate as a result of a Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC):

$$\langle \bar{\psi}_f \psi_{f'} \rangle = c \, \delta_{ff'} \Lambda^3_{QCD}$$

What is S? We easily find S= U(N_f)_V , the «diagonal» subgroup of U(N_f)_F x U(N_f)_{F*}.

By the Goldstone theorem we would expect $2N_f^2 - N_f^2 = N_f^2$ massless pseudoscalar (J=0, P=-1) bosons. This is NOT what we observe (even after taking quark masses into account). Fortunately, an anomaly breaks explicitly a U(1) factor in G removing the corresponding NG boson (this is the resolution of the U(1)-problem in QCD, see 2006 course)

15 fevrier 2008

Spontaneous breaking of a gauge symmetry

Goldstone's theorem is evaded if the broken symmetry is local. This is actually the only known consistent way to break a gauge symmetry and to give mass to gauge bosons & chiral fermions Let us see how SSB works in the simplest case of a U(1) gauge symmetry, i.e. let us consider the lagrangian of scalar QED:

$$L^{SQED} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}D_{\mu}\bar{\phi}D^{\mu}\phi - \mu^{2}\bar{\phi}\phi - \lambda(\bar{\phi}\phi)^{2}$$

Note that, unlike in QED, we were able to add a matter self interaction term without breaking the U(1) gauge symmetry

$$U(1): \phi(x) \to e^{i\alpha(x)}\phi(x) , \ \bar{\phi}(x) \to e^{-i\alpha(x)}\bar{\phi}(x)$$

15 fevrier 2008

$$L^{SQED} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} D_{\mu} \bar{\phi} D^{\mu} \phi - \mu^2 \bar{\phi} \phi - \lambda (\bar{\phi} \phi)^2$$
$$U(1): \phi(x) \to e^{i\alpha(x)} \phi(x) , \ \bar{\phi}(x) \to e^{-i\alpha(x)} \bar{\phi}(x)$$

Although we wrote it as $-\mu^2 |\phi|^2$, the bosonic mass term can have either sign, while λ must be positive if we want the energy (V~ -L) to be bounded from below.

Let us then take $\mu^2 < 0.$ The scalar potential will look like a «mexican hat» with a degenerate ground state



15 fevrier 2008



At non-zero gauge coupling something qualitatively new happens: the NG boson is «eaten up» by the gauge boson. In turn, the latter becomes massive. The total number of dof has not changed since a massive J=1 particle has 3 dof and 3=2+1! One can guess this to be what happens by noticing that the Lagrangian contains a term $g^2 |\phi|^2 A_{\mu} A^{\mu}$. Since in all the degenerate ground states we have $2|\phi|^2 = -\mu^2/\lambda = v^2$ in these vacua the gauge field acquires a mass term $-g^2 (\mu^2/2 \lambda) A_{\mu} A^{\mu}$ corresponding to a mass term with $m = g |\mu|/\lambda = g v$

A similar phenomenon is known to occur in a plasma: Debye screening is equivalent to photon getting a mass in a medium

15 fevrier 2008

A neat example: the non-linear U(1) model

Consider SQED in the large- λ limit: the VEV becomes a constraint

$$L^{NLSQED} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} D_{\mu} \bar{\phi} D^{\mu} \phi , \ |\phi|^2 = v^2$$

$$U(1): \phi(x) \to e^{i\alpha(x)}\phi(x) , \ \bar{\phi}(x) \to e^{-i\alpha(x)}\bar{\phi}(x)$$

Define nov $B_{\mu} = A_{\mu} + \frac{1}{\nu^2} \bar{\phi} \partial_{\mu} \phi$, $B_{\mu} \to B_{\mu}$ under a gauge tr.

The following identity holds:

$$L^{NLSQED} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}(B)F^{\mu\nu}(B) - \frac{1}{2}g^2\nu^2 B_{\mu}B^{\mu}$$

 ϕ has disappeared. We get instead the lagrangian of a free vector boson of mass m = gv!

15 fevrier 2008