

Particules Élémentaires, Gravitation et Cosmologie

Année 2007-'08

Le Modèle Standard et ses extensions

Cours VI: 22 février 2008

Tree-level predictions: one family

Let us recall: I. The fundamental fields

	SU(3)	SU(2)	U(1) _Y
(u,d) = Q	3	2	1/6
(ν, e) = L	1	2	-1/2
u ^c	3*	1	-2/3
d ^c	3*	1	+1/3
e ^c	1	1	+1
ν ^c	1	1	0
(φ ⁺ , φ ⁰) = Φ	1	2	1/2

II. The full one-family Lagrangian

$$L_{SM} = L_{Gauge} + L_{Kinetic} + L_{Yukawa} + L_{Higgs}$$

$$L_{Gauge} = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_a F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\mu\nu}^a$$

$$L_{Kinetic} = i\bar{\Psi}\gamma^\mu D_\mu \Psi + \frac{1}{2} D_\mu \Phi^* D^\mu \Phi$$

$$L_{Yukawa} = \lambda_Y (\Phi \Psi_\alpha \Psi_\beta \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}) + c.c.$$

$$L_{Higgs} = -\mu^2 \Phi^* \Phi - \lambda (\Phi^* \Phi)^2$$

to which we have added

$$L_{\nu^c}^{quad} = i\bar{\nu}^c \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \nu_c - M \nu_\alpha^c \nu_\beta^c \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} + c.c$$

How do we work out the predictions?

We will consider various pieces in the one-family SM Lagrangian and look at their physical implications.

At tree-level different pieces of L do not «talk» too much to each other*).

I will denote by some dots the pieces of the Lagrangian that are irrelevant (at tree level) for each individual question

*) As we shall see this is no longer true when radiative corrections are added.

The VEV of the Higgs

The minima of the SM Higgs potential

$$V^{Higgs} = \mu^2 \Phi^* \Phi + \lambda (\Phi^* \Phi)^2 ; \mu^2 < 0 ; \lambda > 0$$

satisfy $\Phi^* \Phi = -\mu^2 / 2\lambda > 0$. This implies an expectation value for Φ which, without lack of generality, can be taken to point in a certain direction (in group space) e.g. to be of the form:

$$\langle (\phi^+, \phi^0) \rangle = (0, v) , \langle (\phi^{0*}, \phi^-) \rangle = (v, 0) , v = \sqrt{\frac{-\mu^2}{2\lambda}}$$

The original Higgs multiplet is then written as its VEV plus fluctuations around it. The VEV generates mass terms.

The gauge boson sector

$$L = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_a F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\mu\nu}^a + \frac{1}{2} D_\mu \Phi^* D^\mu \Phi + \dots$$

$$(D_\mu \Phi)^i \equiv (D_\mu)_j^i \Phi^j = (\partial_\mu \delta_j^i - ig A_\mu^a (T^a)_j^i) \Phi^j$$

What are the effects of SSB in the gauge boson sector?

First of all the group $SU(2) \times U(1)_Y$ is spontaneously broken to $U(1)_Q$, since the non-vanishing VEV is that of a neutral ($Q=0$) field i.e. it's left invariant under the $U(1)_Q$ subgroup. As a consequence, we expect **3** of the 4 **gauge bosons** to get a **mass** (by eating up as many NG-bosons) and one, the photon, to remain strictly massless. To check this, we insert the above VEV in the quartic term $g^2 \Phi \Phi^* A_\mu A_\mu$ and get a mass-matrix for the gauge bosons. The result is ($g, g' = SU(2), U(1)_Y$ couplings)

The gauge boson sector (cont.d)

$$L = -\frac{v^2}{4} [2g^2 W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- + (gW_\mu^3 - g'B_\mu)^2]$$

This immediately implies that the charged intermediate vector bosons W^\pm have acquired a mass:

$$m_W = \frac{gv}{\sqrt{2}}$$

Inserting this value in the relation between G_F , the W -mass, and its coupling g to fermions (see below), we also get:

$$G_F^{-1} = \frac{4\sqrt{2}m_W^2}{g^2} = 2\sqrt{2}v^2$$

Since $G_F^{-1/2} \sim 293 \text{ GeV}$ we find: $v \sim 174 \text{ GeV}$

The gauge boson sector (cont.d)

$$L = -\frac{v^2}{4} [2g^2 W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- + (gW_\mu^3 - g'B_\mu)^2]$$

In the neutral-vector-boson sector the situation is a bit more complicated, but it's clear that **only one combination** of W^3 and B **gets a mass** while the orthogonal combination, the photon, does not. Let us then introduce the (now-famous) "electro-weak angle" θ_W (W for weak) by:

$$\tan \theta_W \equiv \frac{g'}{g}$$

and introduce the eigenvectors

The gauge boson sector (cont.d)

$$Z_\mu = \frac{gW_\mu^3 - g'B_\mu}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} = \cos\theta_W W_\mu^3 - \sin\theta_W B_\mu$$
$$A_\mu = \frac{g'W_\mu^3 + gB_\mu}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} = \cos\theta_W B_\mu + \sin\theta_W W_\mu^3$$

We easily find $m_\gamma = 0$ and:

$$m_Z = \sqrt{\frac{g^2 + g'^2}{2}} v = \frac{m_W}{\cos\theta_W}, \quad \rho \equiv \frac{m_W^2}{\cos^2\theta_W m_Z^2} = 1$$

Since the weak angle appears in many other observables this can be seen as **a prediction for m_Z** . The data are now so precise that these tree-level predictions are off by many σ 's...

The fermion (except for neutrino) masses

$$\begin{aligned} L_{quarks}^{Yukawa} &= -\lambda^u \Phi Q u^c - \lambda^d \Phi^* Q d^c \\ &= -\lambda^u (\phi^0 u u^c + \phi^+ d u^c) - \lambda^d (\phi^- u d^c + \phi^{0*} d d^c) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_{leptons}^{Yukawa} &= -\lambda^{\nu} \Phi L \nu^c - \lambda^e \Phi^* L e^c \\ &= -\lambda^{\nu} (\phi^0 \nu \nu^c + \phi^+ e \nu^c) - \lambda^e (\phi^- \nu e^c + \phi^{0*} e e^c) \end{aligned}$$

Inserting

$$\langle (\phi^+, \phi^0) \rangle = (0, \nu), \quad \langle (\phi^{0*}, \phi^-) \rangle = (\nu, 0)$$

we get $m_u = \lambda^u \nu$; $m_d = \lambda^d \nu$; $m_e = \lambda^e \nu$; ($m_\nu = \lambda^\nu \nu$??)

There is no prediction so far: just trading masses for Yukawa's

The fermionic gauge couplings

$$L = i\bar{\Psi}\gamma^\mu D_\mu\Psi + \dots$$

$$(D_\mu\Psi)^i \equiv (D_\mu)_j^i\Psi^j = (\partial_\mu\delta_j^i - igA_\mu^a(T^a)_j^i)\Psi^j$$

Again, each covariant derivative contains a sum over all the generators of the gauge group. These drop out for fermions or bosons which are neutral wrt a particular subgroup: e.g. the covariant derivative of u^c contains only the $SU(3)$ and $U(1)$ gauge fields and that of e^c only the latter. Finally, for ν^c the covariant derivative is just the normal partial derivative.

The fermionic gauge couplings (cont.d)

$$L = i\bar{\Psi}\gamma^\mu D_\mu\Psi + \dots$$

Let us rewrite in detail the covariant derivative (leaving out as usual the QCD part)

$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu - i\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}(W_\mu^+T^- + W_\mu^-T^+) - igW_\mu^3T^3 - ig'YB_\mu$$

Inserting the inverse of the previous relations

$$W_\mu^3 = \cos\theta_W Z_\mu + \sin\theta_W A_\mu ; B_\mu = \cos\theta_W A_\mu - \sin\theta_W Z_\mu$$

we get, after a longish but easy calculation (recall $Q = T^3 + Y$)

$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu - i\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}(W_\mu^+T^- + W_\mu^-T^+) - i\frac{g}{\cos\theta_W}Z_\mu(T^3 - \sin^2\theta_W Q) - ieA_\mu Q$$

where $e = g'\cos\theta_W = g\sin\theta_W$ is related to the fine-structure constant $\alpha \sim 1/137$

A precise non-trivial structure of neutral weak currents

The Higgs sector

$$L = \frac{1}{2} D_\mu \Phi^* D^\mu \Phi - \mu^2 \Phi^* \Phi - \lambda (\Phi^* \Phi)^2 + \dots$$

The left-over Higgs boson is neutral and turns out to have $m_H^2 = -2\mu^2 > 0$ (for a potential like ours the second derivative of V at the minimum is minus twice the second derivative at the maximum)

We have already seen that v is related to G_F . Hence the two parameters of the Higgs potential are both related to experimentally measurable quantities...except that the latter has not been measured yet. μ^2 enters in other observables, via radiative corrections, but only through \log 's of μ (this is why we only have rough estimates of its value)

Higgs-fermion couplings

$$\begin{aligned} L_{quarks}^{Yukawa} &= -\lambda^u \Phi Q u^c - \lambda^d \Phi^+ Q d^c \\ &= -\lambda^u (\phi^0 u u^c + \phi^+ d u^c) - \lambda^d (\phi^- u d^c + \phi^{0*} d d^c) \end{aligned}$$

$$L_{leptons}^{Yukawa} = -\lambda^e \Phi^+ L e^c = -\lambda^e (\phi^- \nu e^c + \phi^{0*} e e^c)$$

Since fermion masses are proportional to the Yukawa couplings, not surprisingly the couplings of fermions to the physical Higgs boson are **proportional to their masses**. This is an interesting prediction that can be verified once the Higgs boson is produced. Not only: it is relevant for finding which are the dominant H-production mechanisms (e.g at LEP or at the LHC).

Neutrino masses (an introduction)

$$L = \lambda^\nu \phi^0 \nu \nu^c - \frac{1}{2} M \nu^c \nu^c + c.c. + \dots$$

gives rise to a **2x2 neutrino mass matrix**

$$M_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda^\nu v \\ \lambda^\nu v & M \end{pmatrix}$$

Such a matrix can be easily diagonalized. Two limiting cases:

1. If $M \ll \lambda^\nu v$ this is like any other fermion mass. The neutrino is very light because its Yukawa coupling λ^ν is much smaller than those of the quarks and the electron.
2. If, instead, $M \gg \lambda^\nu v$ the two eigenvalues are:
 $m_1 \sim M$ and $m_2 \sim (\lambda^\nu v)^2 / M$

Neutrino masses (cont.d)

$$M_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda^\nu v \\ \lambda^\nu v & M \end{pmatrix}$$

If $M \gg \lambda^\nu v$ $m_1 \sim M$ and $m_\nu = m_2 \sim (\lambda^\nu v)^2/M \sim m_e^2/M$?

Theorists like very much this second, "*see-saw*", scenario. The idea is that M is naturally large since it has nothing to do with the SSB scale. Consequently the neutrino is very light. Anticipating the existence of heavier families, let's write:

$$m_\nu = m_2 = 10^{-1} eV \left(\frac{\lambda^\nu v}{1 GeV} \right)^2 \frac{10^{10} GeV}{M}$$

Suggests neutrinos as a window on very high energy physics!