Particules Élémentaires, Gravitation et Cosmologie Année 2004-2005 Interactions fortes et chromodynamique quantique I: Aspects perturbatifs

Cours IV: 22 mars 2005

- 1. Summary of previous lecture
- 2. Inclusive cross sections in e⁺e⁻ --> hadrons
- 3. Deep-inelastic lepton-hadron scattering
- 4. The QCD parton model

1. Short summary of lecture no. 3

- Renormalization of S_{eff} via introduction of an arbitrary scale µ. UV-finiteness & µ independence of S_{eff} => CS-RG equations
- Predictive power of AF strongly limited by the possible appearence of IR&CO-singularities => classication of hard QCD processes: ICS, IS and unsafe
- Examples of ICS quantities in $e^+e^- + hadrons$: σ_T , σ_{2jets} (ϵ , δ), σ_{3jets} (ϵ , δ) ~ $\sigma_T - \sigma_{2jets}$ (ϵ , δ) • $\sigma_T \sim \sigma_{tree}$ ($e^+e^- - + qq^*$) but not ~ σ ($e^+e^- - + qq^*$)

2. Inclusive cross sections in e⁺e⁻--> hadrons

- The reason why $\sigma(e^+e^- > qq^*)$ suffers from both IR and CO divergences is clear: any emission, whether soft or collinear, takes us out of the specific channel we are considering! This is instead not the case for σ_T or for σ_{2jets}
- In the early 70s, Feynman introduced in HEP the concept of inclusive cross-sections something lying midway between the total x-section and an «exclusive» one, where a single channel is picked up. The simplest example is the so-called one-particle inclusive cross-section, defined as:

 $d\sigma(i \rightarrow a(\vec{p}_a) + X)$ $d\sigma(i)$ $\rightarrow a)$

In pictures:

Lot of work done in the 70s on inclusive x-sections in soft hadronic physics via Regge-Mueller theory. Here we want to consider the same object but in a hard process e.g.

h (=a certain hadron)

Q&A

Q: Can we compute such an object in PQCD?

- A: Obviously not! PQCD does not even know what h is! We cannot even start the calculation...
- Q: Can we compute instead in PQCD an inclusive x-section at the parton level e.g. $\frac{d\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow q + X)}{d^3k_q/E_q}$
- A: Better not, otherwise we would conclude that quarks can be produced in e⁺e⁻ collisions! But let's not give up and try after having fixed the fraction (x/2) of the initial c.m. energy carried by the outgoing quark (rather than its 3-momentum)

At lowest order the answer is clear since $\sigma_T = \sigma_{qq^*}$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx} = \delta(x-1)\sigma_T$$

(Note: this has to be multiplied by 2 if we add q and q^{*}. In general, integral of inclusive does not give σ_T)

Let us consider now the first non-trivial (one loop) correction. We get two kinds of contributions (when taking the $|...|^2$) 1. A virtual one corresponding to interference diagrams:

2. A real one corresponding to the emission of a gluon



The first diagram gives again a contribution proportional to $\delta(x-1)$, and negative, while the second is positive and gives a less trivial x-dependence

22 mars 2005

G. Veneziano, Cours no. 4

Separately, both diagrams are IR-divergent. However, if we measure x, such a quantity (unlike p-itself) is not affected, at high E, by the emission of a soft gluon (one with momentum <<E). Hence we expect our cross section to be free of IR-problems. On the other hand, the emission of a collinear hard gluon (i.e. carrying itself a fraction z of E) does affect x. Thus, our x-section should belong to the 2nd class in our classification: IR- but not CO-safe. The explicit calculation confirms this by giving, for tree + one-loop,

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_T} \frac{d\sigma}{dx} = \delta(x-1) + \int_{\mu^2}^{Q^2} \frac{dk^2}{k^2} \frac{\alpha(k^2)}{2\pi} \int_0^1 dz P(z) \,\delta(x-z)$$

$$\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2} \frac{q(x)}{g(1-x)} P(x)$$
22 mars 2005
G. Veneziano, Cours no. 4
7

where the « parton splitting » function P(z) is: $P(z) = P_r(z) + P_v(z)$ with

$$P_r(z) = C_F \frac{1+z^2}{1-z}, \ C_F = \frac{N^2 - 1}{2N} \to \frac{4}{3}$$

and

$$P_{v}(z) = -\delta(z-1) \int_{0}^{1} dz' P_{r}(z') \Rightarrow \int P(z)f(z) = \int P_{r}(z)[f(z) - f(1)]$$

Note that:
$$\int P(z)z^{n} \leq 0 \ (n \geq 0)$$

Note: to describe a more general situation we shall need a matrix $P_j^i(z)$ (i,j = q, g) of splitting functions (see below). Here i=j=q in order to make things as simple as possible...

The above structure generalizes to higher orders following the picture: $z_n^{\mu^2} q(x)$

 $k_1^{z_1}$ $k_2^{z_2}$

N.B. Both k_i^2 and x_i decrease as we go from $\gamma *$ to final quark

and the equation:

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_T}\frac{d\sigma}{dx} = \delta(x-1) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mu^2}^{Q^2} \frac{dk_1^2 \alpha(k_1^2)}{k_1^2 2\pi} \int_0^1 dz_1 P(z_1) \int_{\mu^2}^{k_1^2} \frac{dk_2^2 \alpha(k_2^2)}{k_2^2 2\pi} \int_0^1 dz_2 P(z_2) \dots$$
$$\dots \int_{\mu^2}^{k_{n-1}^2} \frac{dk_n^2 \alpha(k_n^2)}{k_n^2 2\pi} \int_0^1 dz_n P(z_n) \delta(x - \Pi_1^n z_i)$$

A few comments:

- 1. We cannot let μ^2 ->0 without generating infinities (this means that the quark x-section per se is not calculable). We have to keep the quark « off-shell »
- 2. We can differentiate the single quark distribution $\rho_q(x, Q^2, \mu^2) = \sigma_T^{-1} d\sigma/dx$ w.r.t. log Q² and obtain the evolution equation:

$$Q^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^2} \rho(x, Q^2, \mu^2) = \frac{\alpha(Q^2)}{2\pi} \int_x^1 dz \frac{P(z)}{z} \rho(x/z, Q^2, \mu^2)$$

3. This equation becomes an ODE for the «moments» of ρ_q

$$\rho_n(Q^2,\mu^2) = \int_0^1 dx \, x^{n-1} \rho(x,Q^2,\mu^2)$$

$$\begin{split} Q^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^2} \rho_n(Q^2, \mu^2) &= \frac{\alpha(Q^2)}{2\pi} P_n \ \rho_n(Q^2, \mu^2) \quad \text{where} \\ P_n &= \int_0^1 dz \ z^{n-1} P(z) \quad \text{The solution is simply}: \\ \rho_n(Q^2, \mu^2) &= \exp\left(\int_{\mu^2}^{Q^2} \frac{dk^2}{k^2} \gamma_n(k^2)\right) \quad \gamma_n(k^2) &= \frac{\alpha(k^2)}{2\pi} P_n \end{split}$$

Note that, in general, violations of scaling are logarithmic since the above integrals grow as $(P_n/2\pi\beta_0) \log(\log(Q^2))...$ Since $P_1 = 0$ we find immediately that $\rho_1 = 1$ corresponding to conservation of the « parent quark ». On the other hand, we saw that $P_n < 0$ for n >1, corresponding to softening of spectrum for increasing Q^2 .

- Finally, we have to discuss how to go from inclusive (offshell) quark distributions to (on-shell) hadron distributions.
- For this we need a «mild» assumption, i.e. that a quark w/ some $x = x_q$ and off-shellness μ^2 produces a hadron h with its $x = x_h$ with some (uncalculable since non-perturbative) probability: $D_a^h(x_q, x_h; \mu^2) = D_a^h(x_h/x_q; \mu^2)$

This gives:

$$\rho_h(x_h, Q^2) \equiv \frac{1}{\sigma_T} \frac{d\sigma}{dx_h}(x_h, Q^2) = \int_{x_h}^1 dx_q \rho_q(x_q, Q^2, \mu^2) D_q^h(x_h/x_q; \mu^2)$$

where we have « factorized » the experimental x-section into a calculable Q-dependent part and a non-perturbative but Q-independent one. The μ^2 -dependence cancels between them! It follows from here that $\rho_h(x, Q^2)$ obeys exactly the same evolution equation as $\rho_q(x, Q^2, \mu^2)$ (same for their moments)

 $Q^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^2} \rho_h(x, Q^2) = \frac{\alpha(Q^2)}{2\pi} \int_x^1 dz \frac{P(z)}{z} \rho_h(x/z, Q^2)$

This is the so-called DGLAP equation (for fragmentation f.ns) whose basis is the factorization of short and long-distance physics $D_q^h \rightarrow h(x_h)$

 $\gamma *$

 $P_{ik} = P_r(z)$

 Above procedure can be generalized to multiparticle distributions via the so-called jet calculus. These rules, once improved to take coherence effects into account, form the staring point of QCD-based MC simulations of jet branching and hadronization

G. Veneziano, Cours no. 4

 $\vee *$

3. Deep-inelastic lepton-hadron scattering

 It is relatively easy, at this point, to turn a few lines around and consider, instead of e⁺ e⁻--> hadrons, deep-inelastic lepton-hadron scattering e.g. e⁻ p --> e⁻ +X (going against historical order...)





The evolution equation for F looks very similar to that of the fragmentation function D

$$Q^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^2} F(x, Q^2) = \frac{\alpha(Q^2)}{2\pi} \int_x^1 dz \frac{P(z)}{z} F(x/z, Q^2)$$

i.e. once more the DGLAP equation

We may again consider moments and obtain:

$$F_n(Q^2) = \int_0^1 x^{n-1} F(x, Q^2)$$

$$Q^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^2} F_n(Q^2) = \frac{\alpha(Q^2)}{2\pi} P_n F_n(Q^2) \equiv \gamma_n(Q^2) F_n(Q^2)$$

$$F_n(Q^2) = exp\left(\int_{\mu^2}^{Q^2} \frac{dk^2}{k^2} \gamma_n(k^2)\right) F_n(\mu^2)$$

22 mars 2005

G. Veneziano, Cours no. 4

4. The QCD parton model
4.1 Generalization to parton-splitting matrix

$$P_{q \to q}(z) = \frac{4}{3} \left(\frac{1+z^2}{1-z} \right)_+ P_{q \to g}(z) = \frac{4}{3} \frac{1+(1-z)^2}{z}$$

$$P_{g \to q}(z) = \frac{N_f}{2} [z^2 + (1-z)^2] \text{ N.B. Singularities at } z=0$$
are not regularized, but...

$$P_{g \to g}(z) = 6 \left[\frac{1-z}{z} + \left(\frac{z}{1-z} \right)_+ + z(1-z) \right] + 2\pi\beta_0 \delta(1-z)$$
where the index + indicates subtraction of a virtual term prop.
to $\delta(z-1)$. The evolution equation now takes the matrix form:

$$Q^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^2} F_h^i(x, Q^2) = \frac{\alpha(Q^2)}{2\pi} \sum_j \int_x^1 dz \frac{P_{j \to i}(z)}{z} F_h^j(x/z, Q^2)$$



Using the explicit expressions for the $P_{i\rightarrow j}$, one can prove that the n=2 moment of $\Sigma_i F_h^i$ is Q-independent <=> momentum conservation

4.2 Generalization to other processes

At this point it is quite easy to guess the general description of a hard IR-safe process. A « typical » example:



Summarizing about the QCD parton model As a result of factorization a very wide class of hard processes can be fully described in terms of two kinds of ingredients:

- 1. Structure functions (F_h^i also called PDF's) and fragmentation functions (D_i^h) which are:
 - uncalculable in PQCD (but whose scale-dependence is calculable: DGLAP equations)
 - process independent
- 2. Hard parton-level cross-sections which are
 - process-dependent
 - calculable (as a series expansion in $\alpha(Q^2)$)

In Gavin Salam's seminar we shall see how much about PDFs can be extracted from real data using the above properties