Un boson nommé Higgs LHC : Le chemin vers la haute performance

Colloque de clôture - G. Veneziano Collège de France

4.05.2013

Jörg Wenninger CERN Beams Department Operation group

Acknowledgments to my OP group colleagues for slides and plots







#### Introduction

LHC magnets and early commissioning LHC performance 2010-2012 Mastering the challenges Towards top energy

**Upgrades** 

June 1994 first full scale prototype dipole





1994 project approved by council (1-in-2)

SSC cancelled

1984

Cham el

Owner

12

Sugar

8.E

**ECFA-CERN** workshop

Madarah

8.2

Top Channel (in the spanlat)

17 . 11

Redecate.

anig

8.E

4+Hageatic Cimelli-4

June 2007 First sector cold





50% delivered



November 2009 Start of Run 1







# LHC ring layout









# The Large Hadron Collider LHC



#### Installed in 26.7 km LEP tunnel

#### Depth of 70-140 m

Lake of Geneva

LHC ring

**Control Room** 



# The Large Hadron Collider LHC



#### Installed in 26.7 km LEP tunnel

#### Depth of 70-140 m

#### Lake of Geneva





# Outline



#### Introduction

#### LHC magnets and early commissioning

LHC performance 2010-2012

**Mastering the challenges** 

**Towards top energy** 

**Upgrades** 



# 'Bold beginning'



Challenges & choices:

- □ High magnetic fields 8T,
  - $\Rightarrow$  super-conducting magnets
- 2 in 1 design,
- Superfluid Helium,
- □ Luminosity ~1×10<sup>33</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>

 $\Leftrightarrow$  limit to 4 events / bunch crossing !

Parameters remained rather stable over time, except for luminosity:





LARGE HADRON COLLIDER IN THE LEP TUNNEL

Vol. I

PROCEEDINGS OF THE ECFA-CERN WORKSHOP

held at Lausanne and Geneva, 21-27 March 1984

□ Pushed to  $\sim 1 \times 10^{34}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> to compete with SSC.



## **Beautiful technology**



1232 NbTi superconducting dipole magnets – each 15 m long
 Magnetic field of 8.3 T (current of 11.8 kA) @ 1.9 K (super-fluid Helium).

 $_{\circ}$  But they do not like beam loss – quench with few mJ/cm<sup>3</sup>.







10

- Field quality tracking and adjustment.
  - Field quality vitally important for beam stability.

#### Magnet sorting.

- Not all magnets are created equal !
- Optimize aperture and field quality: install good magnets where it is critical and less good magnets where it doesn't matter so much.

#### Magnet modeling.

 Characterize the important dynamic effects in anticipation of corrections.



Geometry of dipoles





#### The superconducting magnet zoo



#### ~ 8000 SC magnets – LHC is not just dipoles





Le chemin vers la haute performance au LHC

#### LHC energy evolution



24.05.2013

12



-e chemin vers la haute performance au LHC

24.05.2013

# September 10<sup>th</sup> 2008



#### A brief moment of glory





#### LHC magnet interconnection



busbar tongue wedge Denience superconducting cables busbar stabiliser On 19<sup>th</sup> September magnet interconnections became the hot topic for more than 1 year



## Incident September 19<sup>th</sup> 2008



An electrical arc in a defect interconnection provoked a He pressure wave that damaged ~700 m of the LHC and polluted the beam vacuum over more than 2 km...

#### e Arcing in the interconnection





#### More problems on the joints



- The copper stabilizes the bus bar in the event of a cable quench (=bypass for the current while the energy is extracted from the circuit).
   Protection system in place in 2008 not sufficiently sensitive.
- A copper bus bar with reduced continuity coupled to a badly soldered superconducting cable can lead to a serious incident.





# LHC Energy Evolution



24.05.2013

17



#### LHC is back !



20<sup>th</sup> November 2009: after 14 months of repair





# LHC Energy Evolution



24.05.2013





#### Introduction

LHC magnets and early commissioning LHC performance 2010-2012 Mastering the challenges

**Towards top energy** 

**Upgrades** 





## **Collider luminosity**



The key parameter for the experiments is the event rate dN/dt. For a physics process with <u>cross-section  $\sigma$ </u> it is proprotional to the collider

Luminosity L:



unit of L : 1/(surface × time)







## **Collider luminosity**



Expression for the luminosity L (for equal particle populations, Gaussian profiles) :



#### \* refers to the IP

- $\sigma *_x, \sigma *_y$ : transverse rms beam sizes.
- **k** : number of particle packets / bunches per beam.
- **N** : number of particles per bunch. **k**×**N** : total beam intensity
- f : revolution frequency = 11.25 kHz.



LHC design
k = 2808
$N = 1.15 \times 10^{11}$
$\sigma_x^* = \sigma_y^* = 16 \mu m$



24.05.2013



# **Optimizing luminosity**



#### What limits the parameters affecting the luminosity?

	Injectors (pre-accelerators)	LHC
N	Define intensity limit	Limit may be lower than injector limit (stability, heating, losses)
k	Correlated to N	Maximum ~2800 bunches (min. spacing of 25 ns)
σ	Define phase-space volume of the beam (emittance $\epsilon$ )	Preservation of emittance Focusing at the collision point (β)

- $\sigma(s) = \sqrt{\beta(s)\varepsilon/\gamma}$   $\Box$   $\sigma_{x}^{*}\sigma_{y}^{*} = \beta^{*}\varepsilon/\gamma$
- $\epsilon$  phase space volume of the beam,  $\gamma$  = E/m.
- $\beta$  beam envelope (betatron) function, defined by optics of the LHC, varies along the circumference (s)





# High luminosity 2011-2012



Over the last 2 years the luminosity was progressively increased:

- Through the beam intensity (mainly 2011),
- By beam size ( $\beta^*, \varepsilon/\gamma$ ) reduction at the IP.







# Luminosity production 2011-2012



The integrated luminosity of both ATLAS/CMS reaches now ~28 fb<sup>-1</sup> or ~2×10<sup>15</sup> inelastic pp interactions in each detector.

30-Sep 31-Dec 01-Apr

• We spend 37% of the scheduled time delivering collisions to the experiments ('stable beams').



Initial target

defined around

2009/2010

collisions (10<sup>14</sup>

No. inel.

20

18

16

14

12

10

8

6

4

2

n

01-Jul 01-Oct

Mode: Proton Physics

-e chemin vers la haute performance au LHC



01-Jul

01-Apr



#### LHC 2012 versus Design



		2012
Collision energy:	7+7 TeV	4+4 TeV
Bunch spacing (ns):	25	50
Number of bunches k:	2808	1374
Number of particles per bunch N:	1.15×10 <sup>11</sup>	1.6×10 <sup>11</sup>
Beam emittance $\varepsilon$ (µm):	3.75	2.3
Beam size at ATLAS/CMS (μm):	16	18
<b>Circulating beam current:</b>	0.58 A	0.42 A
Stored energy per beam:	360 MJ	140 MJ
Peak luminosity (cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ):	<b>10</b> <sup>34</sup>	7.7×10 <sup>33</sup>

2012 peak L scaled to 7 TeV : ~2x10<sup>34</sup>



# 24.05.2013





#### Introduction

LHC magnets and early commissioning LHC performance 2010-2012

**Mastering the challenges** 

**Towards top energy** 

**Upgrades** 



## Cryogenics challenge



- A HUGE system !!
  Most of the LHC magnets are cooled with superfluid He at 1.9K.
  - Very low viscosity.
  - Very high thermal conductivity.
- In 2012 the availability of the cryogenics reached ~95%!
  Availability ~97% if external failures are excluded !!







-e chemin vers la haute performance au LHC

24.05.2013



#### Superb performance of the machine protection system





## **Beam collimation challenge**



- The LHC requires a complex multi-stage collimation system to operate at high intensity.
  - Previous hadron machines used collimators only for experimental background conditions.





# **Beam collimation challenge**



- To be able to absorb the energy of the protons, the collimators are staged – primary, secondary, tertiary – multi-stage system.
- The system worked perfectly also thanks to excellent beam stabilization and machine reproducibility.
  - $\circ~$  ~99.99% of the protons that were lost from the beam were intercepted.
  - No magnet was quenched in operation at 3.5/4 TeV.





## Not without risk !



#### Effect of direct beam impact on a Tungsten collimator







In high intensity accelerators with <u>positively charged beams</u> and <u>closely</u> <u>spaced bunches</u> electrons liberated on vacuum chamber surface can multiply and build up a cloud of electrons.



The cloud triggers vacuum pressure increases and beam instabilities!

> Electron energies are in the 10 to few 100 eV range.







Strong reduction of e-clouds with larger bunch spacing:

With 50 ns spacing e-clouds are much weaker than with 25 ns !

 $\rightarrow$  One of the main reason to operate so far with 50 ns.

- The e-cloud can 'cure itself': the impact of the electrons cleans the surface (Carbon migration), reduces the electron emission probability and eventually the cloud disappears.
- 'Beam scrubbing' consists in producing e-clouds deliberately with the beams in order to reduce the SEY until the cloud 'disappears'.

○ Done at 450 GeV where fresh beams can be injected easily.

In April 2011 50 ns beams were used to '*scrub'* the vacuum chamber at 450 GeV to prepare operation at 3.5 TeV.

◦ Further slow improvement during operation at 3.5 TeV and 4 TeV.

• Operation with nominal 25 ns spacing will require further scrubbing.



#### Beam scrubbing



#### Evident improvement on beam lifetime







#### Flexible beams challenge



- □ We made full use of the flexibility of the LHC and of its injector chain.
- Beams with 50 ns bunch spacing are used operationally since April 2011 instead of the design 25 ns spacing.
  - More luminosity with 50 ns beams, smaller beams, easier to operate.
  - Much less susceptible to electron clouds.
- And it will come even better in 2015.

#### LHC beam parameters (LHC injection)

2012	Spacing	N (p/bunch)	ε [μ <b>m</b> ]	Relative luminosity / Bunch Crossing		
	50 ns	1.65 x 10 <sup>11</sup>	1.8	4		
	25 ns design	1.15 x 10 <sup>11</sup>	3.5	1		
	25 ns low $\epsilon$	1.2 x 10 <sup>11</sup>	1.4	2.7		
	The 'Dream Beam' for 2015 / 7 TeV					



Le chemin vers la haute performance au LHC

24.05.2013



□ Focusing ( $\beta^*$ ) at the collision point is limited by the aperture of the last focusing quadrupoles ('triplet')  $\Leftrightarrow$  phase space conservation.







# Surprising 'Unidentified Falling Objects'



- Very fast and localized beam losses were observed as soon as the LHC intensity was increased in 2010.
- The beam losses were traced to dust particles falling into the beam – 'UFO'.
- If the losses are too high, the beams are dumped to avoid a magnet quench.
  - $-\sim$ 20 beams dumped / year due to UFOs.
  - We observe conditioning of the UFOrate from ~10/hour to ~2/hour.

In one accelerator component UFOs were traced to Aluminum oxide particles.





24.05.2013



# Outline



#### Introduction

LHC magnets and early commissioning LHC performance 2010-2012

**Mastering the challenges** 

**Towards top energy** 

**Upgrades** 

# LHC energy evolution





# Preparing for nominal energy

- Around 10'000 high current magnet interconnections will be checked, re-done if needed. All of them will consolidated 12 months of work.
  *No more S34 incident in the future.*







#### The next objective



#### Turn this planning...







#### Two out of many possible scenarios @ 6.5 TeV

Beam	k	N <sub>b</sub> [10 <sup>11</sup> p]	ε [μ <b>m</b> ]	β* [m]	L [10 <sup>34</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	Event pile-up	Int. L [fb <sup>-1</sup> ]
50 ns	1260	1.70	1.6	0.4	2.0	110*	~30
<b>25 ns low</b> ε	2520	1.15	1.9	0.4	1.5	42*	~50
25 ns standard	2760	1.15	3.7	0.5	0.85	23	~30

The cryogenic limit to the luminosity is expected ~ 1.75×10<sup>34</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> !
 Cooling limit of the triplet quadrupoles (collision debris).

Many scenarios imply luminosity levelling to control pile-up

• Discussion & optimization between machine & experiments.

(\*) leveled down to a pile-up of ~40.

Int. L based on 120 days of production/year, 35% efficiency.







- During magnet re-commissioning in 2014 we will define the target energy for the run : ≥ 6.5 TeV.
  - Experience of 2008: 6.5 TeV OK, 7 TeV may require too much training.
- □ Early in 2015 we will explore the LHC at 6.5+ TeV with low intensity.
  - Full system commissioning up to first collisions ~ 2 months.
- The first serious luminosity and some intensity ramp up will be made with 50 ns spacing.
  - We think that we know how to do that!
- This will be followed by preparation of the LHC for 25 ns operation electron cloud reduction at injection – 2 weeks.
- □ ...and finally intensity ramp up and production at 25 ns.

#### The first months of 2015 will be interesting...



#### The next few years





Proton physics Ion Physics Recommissioning

HL-LHC

24.05.2013



# Outline



#### Introduction

LHC magnets and early commissioning LHC performance 2010-2012

Mastering the challenges

**Towards top energy** 

**Upgrades** 



# HL-LHC



- □ Aim for a 10-fold increase in integrated luminosity.
  - $\circ$  3000 fb<sup>-1</sup> in 10 years as compared to 300 fb<sup>-1</sup>.
- An increase in luminosity needed but the event pile-up has to be controlled.
  - Peak luminosity  $\geq 10^{35}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>
    - ⇔ Smaller beam sizes at IPs, higher beam brightness
  - ATLAS / CMS peak luminosity  $\sim 5 \times 10^{34}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>, pile-up of 135 !!
- Major accelerator component developments.
  - Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn large aperture quadrupoles,
  - 11 T Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn dipoles,
  - Crab-cavities.



#### Higher Fields



With HL-LHC we will see the first high(er) field Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn magnets in an operating accelerator.



Courtesy L. Bottura & L. Rossi



## **Triplet Area ATLAS/CMS**







# High field dipoles

The goal is to develop a 10 m long  $11.2 \text{ T Nb}_3$ Sn dipole to replace a standard LHC dipole and provide space for collimators downstream of the straight sections.





- A long magnet prototype is expected in 2015, with aim to demonstrate accelerator grade quality in 2016.
- Priority / need not fully established. Review next week...



#### **Crab-cavities**



Transverse

- Crab-cavities (CC) are RF cavities used to deflect the bunch head and tail transversely to counteract the luminosity loss from the large crossing angles and small beam sizes at HL-LHC.
  - 0
- To be installed on both sides of ATLAS and CMS.



CCs have never been used in a hadron machine - there are many challenges: noise on the beam, machine protection etc.

24.05.2013





#### 80 km tunnel study



Lake Geneva

*«Pre-Feasibility Study for an 80-km tunnel at CERN» J. Osborne and C. Waaijer, submitted to ESPG* 





# Outlook



- □ The progress in the performance of the LHC has been breath-taking.
  - We are the first to be amazed above design after 3 years !
- The LHC is performing better than expected thanks to the quality of the design, the construction, the operation and the injectors.
  - The interface of the magnets was the only weak spot...
- Expectations for 2015 are very high the work to meet them is in full swing (and not just in the tunnel).
  - Guido and Fabiola are waiting for the next party !



Thank you for the attention!

Gime

500













62



#### LHC repair and consolidation







#### UFO frequency and future issues



□ The tolerable loss will go down by a factor 4-5 (quench margin smaller),

→ at 7 TeV UFOs could cause one beam loss / dump per <u>DAY</u> !! Could become a serious issue !!

Le chemin vers la haute performance au LHC



24.05.2013



## Energy after LS1



- In 2008 attempts to commission the first LHC sector to 7 TeV revealed a problem on the magnets from one manufacturer.
  - The magnets that had been trained on test stands started to quench again.
  - The number of quenches increased rapidly beyond 6.5 TeV.
- Extrapolations showed that the number of training quenches required to reach 7 TeV is rather large.
  - Time and risk for the magnets.
- For those reasons we will most likely restart at <u>6.5</u>
  <u>TeV</u>, or slightly above depending on time and experience during the recommissioning.



Courtesy of E. Todesco

24.05.2013

66



#### Parameters and challenges



	LHC	HL-LHC	HE-LHC	VHE-LHC
Energy (TeV)	7	7	16	50
Dipole B (T)	8.33	8.33	20	20
Injection (TeV)	0.45	0.45	>1	>3 (?)
No. bunches	2800	2800	2800	8400
Stored energy (MJ)	360	~700	~600	5400
SR* power (W/m)	0.2	0.4	4	36
L (10 <sup>34</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	1	5	5	5
Events / BC*	27	135	135	135

- □ New injectors,
- □ Stored energy in the beams,
- □ Heat load on the vacuum chamber.

Performance limited by event pile-up !?

SR\* = Synchrotron radiation, BC\* = Bunch crossing





- Last commissioning step of the main dipole circuit in sector 34 : ramp to 9.3kA (5.5 TeV).
- □ At 8.7kA an electrical fault developed in the **dipole bus bar** located in the interconnection between quadrupole Q24.R3 and the neighboring dipole.

Later correlated to a local resistance of ~220  $n\Omega$  – nominal value 0.35  $n\Omega$ .

□ An electrical arc developed which punctured the helium enclosure.

Secondary arcs developed along the arc.

Around 400 MJ from a total of 600 MJ stored in the circuit were dissipated in the cold-mass and in electrical arcs.

Large amounts of Helium were released into the insulating vacuum.

In total 6 tons of He were released.



- Cold-mass Vacuum vessel Line E Cold support post Warm Jack Compensator/Bellows Vacuum barrier
- Pressure wave propagates along the magnets inside the insulating vacuum enclosure.
- □ Rapid pressure rise :
  - Self actuating relief valves could not handle the pressure.
    designed for 2 kg He/s, incident ~ 20 kg/s.
  - Large forces exerted on the vacuum barriers (every 2 cells).
    designed for a pressure of 1.5 bar, incident ~ 8 bar.
  - Several quadrupoles displaced by up to ~50 cm.
  - Connections to the cryogenic line damaged in some places.
  - Beam vacuum to atmospheric pressure.