

Le cœur, organe électrique









Les explorations en rythmologie





<u>Cathéter d'ablation:</u> extrémité distale orientable et électrode distale

Cathéters d'électrophysiologie: Orientable ou non







Placement des cathéters : Face

Les arythmies cardiaques









CIRCULAR Catheter, 10 irrigated electrodes, Adjustable size: 25-35 mm Steerable









Les relations structure fonction





Deneke, JCE 2005, 16 *1246-1251*



Elimination of Local Abnormal Ventricular Activities A New End Point for Substrate Modification in Patients With Scar-Related Ventricular Tachycardia

Pierre Jaïs, MD; Philippe Maury, MD; Paul Khairy, MD, PhD; Frédéric Sacher, MD; Isabelle Nault, MD, FRCPC; Yuki Komatsu, MD; Mélèze Hocini, MD; Andrei Forclaz, MD; Amir S. Jadidi, MD; Rukshen Weerasooryia, MBBS; Ashok Shah, MD; Nicolas Derval, MD; ubert Cochet, MD; Sebastien Knecht, MD; Shinsuke Miyazaki, MD; Nick Linton, MEng, MRCP; Lena Rivard, MD; Matthew Wright, MBBS, PhD; Stephen B. Wilton, MD; Daniel Scherr, MD; Patrizio Pascale, MD; Laurent Roten, MD; Michala Pederson, MD; Pierre Bordachar, MD; François Laurent, MD; Steven J. Kim, MEng; Philippe Ritter, MD; Jacques Clementy, MD; Michel Haïssaguerre, MD





Three-Dimensional Architecture of Scar and Conducting Channels Based on High Resolution ce-CMR Insights for Ventricular Tachycardia Ablation Juan Fernández-Armenta, Antonio Berruezo...Josep Brugada (Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol. 2013;6:528-537.)





PET scan/IRM





Tim Dickfeld et al:

Integration of Three-Dimensional Scar Maps for Ventricular Tachycardia Ablation With Positron Emission Tomography-Computed Tomography



J Am Coll Cardiol Img 2008;1:73-82) © 2008

LIRYC – IMAGING MUSIC: Multi-modality Platform for Specific Imaging in Cardiology

MUSIC: Utiliser tout type d'image contenant une information pertinente pour guider l'ablation d'arythmie cardiaque Exporter des modèles personnalisés ainsi construits vers les systèmes de navigation 3d



CardioViz3D puis MedInria







Intégrationaimage et cartographie



STRUCTURAL SUBSTRATE ISCHEMIC CARDIOMYOPATHY

DILATED CARDIOMYOPATHY MYOCARDITIS ARVC CONGENITAL ...





LAVA: Potentiels anormaux









Ablation TV guidée par imagerie (DAVD) Relation structure fonction



Bi <u>Epicardium</u> Α 0 0.50 1.50 0 ш Fatty infiltration V1 Endo RF RFd **RF** start RFp ≽ ⁸⁰ ms ≪88 ms ⇔^{88 ms} PentaRay Epi PentaRay

Ablation Endocardique, monitoring epicardique



H Cochet.....P Jaïs soumis 36 DAVD pts scanner (IMR chez 20) Comparés a 36 Pts controles & 36 ischémiques







Cartographie endocardique normale...



Mais la face epicardique est très anormale, comme prédit par l'imagerie



Cartographie pendant la TV









MYOCARDITE



INVASIVE MAPPING CONFIRMED THE SITE





LIRYC - IMAGING

Cardiopathie ischémique



History: 58 yo man with ischemic cardiomyopathy. Recurrent ICD shocks




Cardiopathie ischémique



History: 58 yo man with ischemic cardiomyopathy. Recurrent ICD shocks





Cardiopathie ischémique



History: 58 yo man with ischemic cardiomyopathy. Recurrent ICD shocks





Cardiopathie ischémique



WALL THINNING vs VT ACTIVATION





















Cardiopathie ischémique



SITE OF VT TERMINATION

ENTRANCE TO CHANNELS 1 & 2









Avant l'intervention





Avant l'intervention





ECGI 70 ms of epi actiavtion during VT -> exit of VT isthmus





Case 1: SINGLE TARGETED REGION (9% of patients) F 76 y – Pers AF presenting in SR



AF induced by pacing

2 regions harbor sources,

AF term in



Foci

Trajectoires des rotors et fibrose atriale à l'IRM





VENTRICULAR ARRHYTHMIAS





PC Ursell et al. Structural and electrophysiological changes in the epicardial border zone of canine myocardial infarcts during infarct healing. *Circ. Res.* 1985;56;436-451



JM de Bakker et al. Slow conduction in the infarcted human heart. 'Zigzag' course of activation. *Circulation* 1993;88;915-926







A Wagner et al. Contrast-enhanced MRI for detection of subendocardial myocardial infarcts: an imaging study. Lancet. 2003;361:374–379.



| earch Use Only. | | Study Size: 4.76 Gi |
|---|---|--|
| | | Filter 📑 0 |
| Review: May 06, 2014 07:13:21 AM | M flex 16 | |
| 200 '200 '300 '400 | '500 '600 '700 '800 '90 | 0 '1000 '11 |
| | | ~ ~ ^ |
| jćs2 D-2 | | v |
| 4∼ D-2 | /M | ~~~~~ |
| A- 2-3 | | |
| <u>∧~_3-4</u> | k// | |
| A~ 4-5 | | |
| A- 5-6 | ir V | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ |
| 3~ D-2 | i. <u>.</u> | |
| 3~_2-3 | will | |
| 3- 3-4 | | |
| 3~ 4-5 | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | |
| 3~ 5-6 | | |
| 3~ 6-7 | | |
| 3~_7-8 | | |
| D-D-2 | | man Mar |
| 2- 2-3 ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | Minimum Minimum | M |
| D- 34 minung Mymu | and the second | mm |
| D- 4-5- | man Mana | min |
| D- 5-6 | | M |
| D- 6-7 mmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm | man Mana | |
| D- 7-8 | ······································ | mm |
| 5~ D-2 | A | |
| C− 2-3 | | man |
| S~ 3-4 | American | mil |
| 2~ 4-5 | | |
| C∼ 5-6 | | |
| 2~ 6-7 | | m |
| C- 7-8 | | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ |
| | | |

| | Study Size: 3.53 GB May 06, 2014 10:19:19 A |
|--|---|
| 92 🗘 👥 -73 🗘 🛵 | 🔞 🚳 💌 📓 |
| | è |
| 100 100 2 | |
| | pk |
| and Varan | Map. LAT |
| | Project Map to: Closest 🗸 |
| | Project Map only on outermost surface |
| | Settings Points 🧕 OneMap Mar |
| | |
| | Surfaces 💿 💽 🖉 🌥 |
| | Left V OV |
| | |
| mp man | Finish Model + |
| | From EnGuide Set 🔻 Collect Points |
| | アロ |
| man and a second s | Name IV |
| and the second sec | Type High Low OneMod Patch |
| | Center Auto |
| | Delete Points Linito Delete |
| | ✓ Hide: Field Scaling |
| | Field scaling can be applied when catheter |
| | electrode spacing has been specified and model collection is complete. |
| | |
| | NavX NavX Metric MediGuide |
| | Compute ✓ Scaling Applied |
| | Conti |
| man from the second second | ▼ <u>Hid</u> |
| | ✓ Ve |
| | ✓ Dis |
| man and a second | Cycle Length Match 20 ms |
| Freeze | 00 % |
| REF ECG II CL 842 ms | , 🔻 🔻 😽 🔽 M 😽 🔨 🖩 |

1

mm/s

6-7

6-7_

IRM interventionnelle



Problems solved:

- sterile patient access, patient monitoring, communication,
- MR compatible catheter technology / EP recording system

Eitel et al, EHJ 2012

Localisation passive du catheter



Piorkowski et al., CircAE 2013

Localisation active du catheter



Bruker 9.4 T/30 cm

sheep heart fixed in 4% of formaldehyde



3D T1w images (FLASH sequence) TR/TE/matrix size/TA= 35ms/4.26ms/512×410×408/98min isotropic resolution= 200 µm3

Orientation des fibres myocardiques



DT-MRI of Canine Statistical Analysis Pat Heart (courtesy of JHU) From 9 Canine Hearts Fib

INSTITUT NATIONAL DE RECHERCHE EN INFORMATIQUE

Vovember 20

Patient Specific Fiber Structure

J.M. Peyrat, M. Sermesant, X. Pennec, H. Delingette, C. Xu, E. McVeigh, N. A. A Computational Framework for the Statistical Analysis of Cardiac Diffusion Tensors: Application to a Small Database of Canine Hearts. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, 26(11):1500–1514,

RINRIA

Simulation de la performance myocardique





Cartographie et Thérapie Extracorporelle

1- CIBLAGE de l'AFFECTION Repérage par Cartographie ECG / IMAGERIE

2 – THERAPIE EXTERNE





Results:

- LAVA were observed in 188 pts (96%), endocardially in 84% and epicardial in 73%
- Endocardial LAVA were more frequent in ICM than in NICM (87% vs 58% p=4.10⁻⁴) respectively while there was no difference for epi LAVA (79 vs 86% p=ns)
- LAVA eliminated in 62% of patients; NI in 68%
- When an epicardial approach was taken, RF was delivered epicardially in ICM and NICM in 49 vs 68%.

Results

- Total RF duration 28±15 min
- Procedure time 219±87 min
- Failure to totally eliminate LAVA not correlated with duration of ablation, type of CMP or amplitude of late potential
- 1pt died of intraprocedural electromechanical dissociation.
- 5 tamponade, including 1 RV perforation requiring surgical repair.
- 2 TIA
- 1 AV block.

• FU of 26 ± 21 month,

- 69% VT free 82% no shock
- Multivariate analysis: complete LAVA elimination had a significantly superior outcome
Multivariate analysis for VT recurrence

| | OR | 95% <i>C</i> I | P-value | |
|---------------------|------|----------------|---------|--|
| LVEF (%) | 0.89 | 0.79 - 1.01 | 0.06 | |
| No image integrated | | | 0.005 | |
| ablation | 31.6 | 2.86 - 349.7 | | |
| Incomplete LAVA | 12.0 | | 0.01 | |
| elimination | 12.9 | 1.86 - 89.2 | | |
| RF duration (min) | 1.06 | 1.01 - 1.11 | 0.02 | |

Results

- The 1-month mortality in the 194 procedural survivors was 5% (10 patients),
- uncontrollable ventricular arrhythmias in 5,
- Refractory heart failure in 3,
- Acute myocardial infarction leading to cardiac arrest in 1,
- Sepsis in 1 patient.

Failure vs success to eliminate LAVA





Epicardial Scar Size



| | Odds ratio for incomplete LAVA elimi | nation (95% CI) | P | Odds ratio for failure to obtain non-inducibility (95% CI) | P |
|-----------------------|---|-----------------------|--------|---|-------|
| Age, per10yrs up- | ⊢ ♦ <mark>i</mark> l | 0.849 (0.652 - 1.106) | 0.225 | I 1.222 (0.920 - 1.622) | 0.166 |
| Male- | ⊢ | 1.021 (0.355 - 2.936) | 0.969 | 2.131 (0.584 - 7.767) | 0.252 |
| NICM- | ⊢ + | 2.322 (1.211 - 4.452) | 0.011 | 1.759 (0.905 - 3.419) | 0.096 |
| o.of prior procedure- | H∳-I | 1.027 (0.689 - 1.532) | 0.896 | 1.120 (0.745 - 1.684) | 0.585 |
| Hypertension- | ⊢∔⊣ | 0.952 (0.534 - 1.697) | 0.867 | ► ► 1.155 (0.632 - 2.113) | 0.640 |
| Diabetes- | ⊢ ••−1 | 1.050 (0.500 - 2.207) | 0.898 | 0.932 (0.426 - 2.040) | 0.860 |
| Amiodarone- | ⊢ i ⊢i | 0.954 (0.491 - 1.856) | 0.890 | ⊢ → − 1.399 (0.680 - 2.878) | 0.362 |
| LVEF per10% up- | ⊢ + | 0.821 (0.622 - 1.083) | 0.163 | 0.646 (0.474 - 0.880) | 0.006 |
| LVEF=or<30%- | H++-I | 1.369 (0.758 - 2.471) | 0.297 | → ↓ 2.099 (1.109 - 3.974) | 0.023 |
| Electrical storm- | ⊢ + | 1.702 (0.948 - 3.058) | 0.075 | 1.356 (0.740 - 2.487) | 0.325 |
| NYHA III or IV- | · -+ + | 3.622 (1.889 - 6.943) | <0.001 | 2.590 (1.351 - 4.967) | 0.004 |
| Renal insufficiency- | ⊢ +−1 | 2.174 (1.121 - 4.215) | 0.022 | 2.011 (1.024 - 3.948) | 0.042 |
| Untolerated VT- | | 1.463 (0.815 - 2.628) | 0.203 | 2.015 (1.093 - 3.715) | 0.025 |
| RF time- | H | 0.943 (0.775 - 1.146) | 0.553 | ↓ 1.163 (0.956 - 1.416) | 0.131 |
| ➡ Septal substrate- | ⊢ +−1 | 2.328 (1.275 - 4.249) | 0.006 | H→→ 1.724 (0.929 - 3.198) | 0.084 |
| No.of VT morphology- | i t i | 1.139 (0.957 - 1.354) | 0.142 | ₩ 1.309 (1.088 - 1.575) | 0.004 |
| VT termination- | ⊢++-I | 0.818 (0.455 - 1.470) | 0.502 | 0.498 (0.265 - 0.937) | 0.031 |

Ischemic and Non Ischemic scar related VT

| | Patients with VT recurrence (n=36) | Patients without VT recurrence (n=77) | p Value | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---------|--|
| Age | 62 [56, 69] | 63 [53, 72] | 0.58 | |
| Male | 35 (97%) | 70 (91%) | 0.22 | |
| LVEF, % | 30 [25, 35] | 31 [25, 40] | 0.40 | |
| Ischemic cardiomyopathy | 23 (64%) | 56 (73%) | 0.24 | |
| Non-ischemic cardiomyopathy | 13 (36%) | 21 (27%) | 0.34 | |
| Electrical storm before ablation | 19 (53%) | 35 (46%) | 0.47 | |
| Transseptal approach | 31 (86%) | 62 (81%) | 0.47 | |
| Both endo- and epicardial approach | 19 (53%) | 41 (53%) | 0.96 | |
| Scar involving septum | 16 (44%) | 24 (31%) | 0.17 | |
| No. of VT observed during procedure | 1.5 [0.25, 3.0] | 2.0 [0.5, 3.0] | 0.88 | |
| Maximum EGM-duration, ms | 156 [134, 217] | 188 [142, 245] | 0.26 | |
| Maximum delay of LAVA, ms | 188 [151, 242] | 221 [158, 265] | 0.37 | |
| Procedural duration, min | 240 [190, 300] | 265 [200, 309] | 0.41 | |
| RF duration, min | 31 [18, 44] | 30 [21, 46] | 0.82 | |
| Incomplete elimination of LAVA | 21 (58%) | 23 (30%) | 0.004 | |

Table 3 Clinical and Procedural Characteristics of Patients with and without VT recurrence

Data are presented as median [25th, 75th percentiles] or n (%).

LAVA elimination:

Clear end point Achievable independently of VT inducibility or tolerar Associated with a better prognosis



Failure vs success to eliminate LAVA





| | Patients with difficult-to-eliminate LAVA (n=36) | Patients with complete LAVA elimination (n=69) | p Value | |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---------|--|
| Age | 61 [55, 68] | 63 [55, 73] | 0.34 | |
| Male | 33 (92%) | 64 (93%) | 0.84 | |
| LVEF, % | 30 [25, 40] | 30 [25, 40] | 0.88 | |
| Ischemic cardiomyopathy | 25 (69%) | 52 (75%) | 0.52 | |
| Non-ischemic cardiomyopathy | 11 (31%) | 17 (25%) | | |
| Electrical storm before ablation | 20 (56%) | 32 (46%) | 0.37 | |
| Transseptal approach | 27 (75%) | 60 (87%) | 0.12 | |
| Both endo- and epicardial approach | 20 (56%) | 33 (48%) | 0.45 | |
| Mapping during paced rhythm | 8 (22%) | 11 (16%) | 0.43 | |
| Scar involving septum | 20 (56%) | 19 (28%) | 0.005 | |
| No. of VT observed during procedure | 2.0 [1.0, 3.0] | 1.0 [0, 3.0] | 0.23 | |
| Maximum EGM-duration, ms | 202 [145, 255] | 183 [140, 239] | 0.37 | |
| Maximum delay of LAVA, ms | 225 [151, 296] | 207 [155, 258] | 0.55 | |
| Low-voltage area, cm2 | | | | |
| Endo | 81.3 [40.6, 142.1] | 50.9 [28.0, 76.6] | 0.046 | |
| Ері | 99.6 [54.3, 127.6] | 45.5 [39.1, 91.7] | 0.019 | |
| Dense scar area, cm2 | | | | |
| Endo | 36.6 [21.9] | 20.0 [6.5, 34.1] | 0.013 | |
| Ері | 43.1 [26.1, 70.9] | 19.0 [11.1, 54.8] | 0.019 | |

Table 2. Clinical and Procedural Characteristics of Patients with and without Difficult-to-Eliminate LAVA

Multiple Cox regression analysis

The predictor of heart failure which led to death during followup

 Table 5
 Multivariate Cox regression analysis for heart failure which led to death

| | HR | 95% Confidence Interval | p Value |
|-------------------------------------|-------|-------------------------|---------|
| | | | |
| LVEF | 0.934 | 0.868 - 1.005 | 0.069 |
| Electrical storm before ablation | 2.456 | 0.780 - 7.733 | 0.125 |
| No. of VT observed during procedure | 1.273 | 0.958 - 1.691 | 0.096 |
| Incomplete elimination of LAVA | 2.456 | 1.291 - 10.939 | 0.015 |

Conclusion

- High resolution imaging (Music platform) exported to the navigation systems may help better understanding and treating ventricular arrhythmias
- LAVA elimination in sinus rhythm is feasible and safe in scar related VT
- The outcome is better when LAVA are entirely eliminated with fewer VT recurrences and death
- This is more difficult in presence of septal or very large (endo and particularly only coarc

incomplete LAVA elimination =higher risk of recurrent VT HR 3.03 and mortality HR 2.779, p=0.001 failure to achieve the non-inducibility = higher recurrent VT HR 1.937 p=0.004] but not mortality





incomplete LAVA = higher rate of ICD shocks 36% vs 10%, p<0.001</th>VT recurrences more frequent in patients who subsequently died 60% vs 34%.Higher mortality was associated with ICD shocks40% vs 12%but not with anti-tachycardia pacing20% vs 22%



LAVA elimination and non inducibility, Methods:

- 195 pts (179 males, age 65±11 years)
 1±0.6 VT catheter ablation procedures
- ICD in all
- 144 ICM, 51 NICM
- Mapping endocardially in all but 2, epicardially in 79(failed in 1), Pentaray in most
- LVEF $32 \pm 10\%$
- Referred for electrical storm: 51%



Scanner cardiaque



Resultats



 77 ± 19 in ischemic $75 \pm 17 \text{ mL/m}^2$ in controls $4.2 \pm 2.6 \%$ in controls

RVEDV was 126±38 in ARVC Fat extent 16.5±6.1% in ARV 5.0±2.7 % in ischemic



A fat extent cut-off of 8.5% diagnosed ARVC with 93% specificity and 94% sensitivity.



Is Imaging associated with improved ablations???

| | Study population (n=58) |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| Patient age (yrs) | 63 ± 11 |
| Male | 54 (93%) |
| Hypertension | 38 (65%) |
| Diabetes Mellitus | 12 (21%) |
| Hyperlipidemia | 43 (74%) |
| LVEF (%) | 33 ± 10 |
| LVDd (mm) | 62 ± 7.5 |
| ICD/CRTD | 50 (86%) |
| VT storm (>3 times/day) | 31 (53%) |
| Imaging (CT/MRI) | 35 (60%) |
| Amiodarone | 41 (71%) |
| Beta-blocker | 53 (91%) |





LAVA conducted intermittently after epicardial ablation

(completely eliminated by further ablation)

