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## 1. Known results

- N.S.E. + Rigid body (Takahashi '03, San Martin, Starovoitov, Tucsnak '02, Cumsille, Takahashi '09, ...)
- N.S.E. + Deformable body described by a system of O.D.E. (San Martin, Scheid, Takahashi, Tucsnak '08, Court '10)
- N.S.E. + Elasticity system with a damping (Boulakia '07)
- Elastic structure modeled by the Lamé system (Coutand, Shkoller '05, Kukavica, Tuffaha, '12)
- A damped beam or plate located at the fluid boundary (Beirao da Veiga '04, Chambolle, Desjardins, Esteban, Grandmont '05, R. '09, Lequeurre '10)

2. The model and the method of proof

The fluid model in Eulerian variables

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+\left(u \cdot \nabla_{x}\right) u-\operatorname{div}_{x} \sigma(u, p)=0, \quad \operatorname{div}_{x} u=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega_{F}(t), \text { for } t>0 \\
& u(0)=u_{0} \text { in } \Omega_{F}=\Omega_{F}(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

at the F-S interface $u(x, t)=$ velocity of the solid displacement,
where

$$
\sigma(u, p)=\nu\left(\nabla_{x} u+\left(\nabla_{x} u\right)^{T}\right)-p l .
$$

The Lamé system in Lagrangian variables

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}}-\operatorname{div}_{y} \sigma(w)=0 \text { in } Q_{S}^{T}=\Omega_{S} \times(0, T) \\
& w(\cdot, 0)=I \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}(\cdot, 0)=w_{1} \text { in } \Omega_{S} \\
& \sigma(w) n=\text { force exerted by the fluid }
\end{aligned}
$$

where
$\sigma(w)=\lambda \operatorname{trace} \varepsilon(w) I+2 \mu \varepsilon(w) \quad$ with $\quad \varepsilon(w)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_{y} w+\left(\nabla_{y} w\right)^{T}\right)$,
$\mu>0$ and $\lambda+\mu>0$.

The flow associated with the velocity field
The mapping $X(\cdot, t)$ from $\Omega_{F}(0)=\Omega_{F}$ to $\Omega_{F}(t)$ satisfies the differential equation

$$
\frac{\partial X}{\partial t}(y, t)=u(X(y, t), t), \quad X(y, 0)=y \quad \text { for all } y \in \Omega_{F}
$$

The equality of velocity is expressed by

$$
u(X(y, t), t)=\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}(y, t) \quad \text { on } \Sigma_{S}^{T} .
$$

The equality of forces reads as

$$
\sigma(w) \tilde{n}=(\sigma(u, p) \circ X) \operatorname{cof}\left(\nabla_{y} X\right) \tilde{n}=(\sigma(u, p) \circ X) n \text { on } \Sigma_{S}^{T},
$$

where $n=\operatorname{cof}\left(\nabla_{y} X\right) \tilde{n}, \tilde{n}$ is the unit normal to $\Gamma_{S}$ exterior to $\Omega_{F}$.

The Lagrangian formulation of the coupled system
We introduce

$$
\tilde{u}(y, t)=u(X(y, t), t) \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{p}(y, t)=p(X(y, t), t) .
$$

$X(\cdot, t)$ is a $C^{1}$-diffeomorphism from $\Omega_{F}$ into $\Omega_{F}(t)=X\left(\Omega_{F}, t\right)$ for all $t \in\left[0, T^{*}\right]$, where $T^{*}>0$ only depends on the initial conditions $u_{0}$ and $w_{1}$.

We denote by $Y(\cdot, t)$ the inverse of $X(\cdot, t)$, that is the mapping from $\Omega_{F}(t)$ into $\Omega_{F}$ satisfying
$Y(X(y, t), t)=y, \quad y \in \Omega_{F} \quad$ and $\quad X(Y(x, t), t)=x, \quad x \in \Omega_{F}(t)$.
Let us notice that

$$
\nabla u(x, t)=\nabla \tilde{u}(Y(x, t), t) J_{Y}(x, t), \quad x \in \Omega_{F}(t), \text { for } t \in\left[0, T^{*}\right]
$$

while

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla p(x, t)=J_{Y}(x, t)^{T} \nabla \tilde{p}(Y(x, t), t), \quad x \in \Omega_{F}(t), \text { for } t \in\left[0, T^{*}\right], \\
& \text { where } J_{Y}(x, t)=\left(J_{X}(Y(x, t), t)\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

The full nonlinear system
$\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial t}-\nu \Delta \tilde{u}+\nabla \tilde{p}=\mathcal{F}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{p}) \quad$ in $Q_{F}^{T}$,
$\operatorname{div} \tilde{u}=\mathcal{G}(\tilde{u})=\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{g}(\tilde{u})) \quad$ in $Q_{F}^{T}$,
$\tilde{u}(0)=u_{0} \quad$ in $\Omega_{F}, \quad \tilde{u}=0 \quad$ on $\Sigma_{e}^{T}$,
$\tilde{u}=\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}$ and $\sigma(\tilde{u}, \tilde{p}) \tilde{n}=\sigma(w) \tilde{n}+\mathcal{H}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{p}) \quad$ on $\Sigma_{S}^{T}$,
$\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}}-\operatorname{div} \sigma(w)=0$ in $Q_{S}^{T}$,
$w(0)=I \quad$ and $\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}(\cdot, 0)=w_{1}$ in $\Omega_{S}$,
$X(y, t)=y+\int_{0}^{t} \tilde{u}(y, s) d s, \quad$ for all $y \in \Omega_{F}$ and all $t \in[0, T]$,
$\Omega_{F}(t)=X\left(\Omega_{F}, t\right)$,
$Y(X(y, t), t)=y, \quad y \in \Omega_{F} \quad$ and $\quad X(Y(x, t), t)=x, \quad x \in \Omega_{F}(t)$.

The nonlinear terms are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{F}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{p})=\mathcal{F}_{1}(\tilde{u})+\mathcal{F}_{2}(\tilde{u})+\mathcal{F}_{3}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{p}), \\
& \mathcal{F}_{1}(\tilde{u})=\nu \sum_{j, k} \frac{\partial^{2} Y_{k}}{\partial x_{j}^{2}}(X(y, t), t) \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial y_{k}}(y, t), \\
& \mathcal{F}_{2}(\tilde{u})=\nu \sum_{i, j, k} \frac{\partial Y_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial Y_{k}}{\partial x_{j}}(X(y, t), t) \frac{\partial^{2} \tilde{u}}{\partial y_{i} \partial y_{k}}(y, t)-\nu \Delta \tilde{u}, \\
& \mathcal{F}_{3}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{p})=\left(I-J_{Y}^{T}\right) \nabla \tilde{p}, \\
& \mathcal{G}(\tilde{u})=\nabla \tilde{u}:\left(I-J_{Y}^{T}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathcal{H}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{p})=-\nu\left(\nabla \tilde{u} J_{Y}+J_{Y}^{T}(\nabla \tilde{u})^{T}\right) n+\nu\left(\nabla \tilde{u}+(\nabla \tilde{u})^{T}\right) \tilde{n}-\tilde{p}(\tilde{n}-\operatorname{cof}(\nabla X) \tilde{n}) .
$$

Let us notice that

$$
\nabla \tilde{u}:\left(I-J_{Y}^{T}\right)=\mathcal{G}(\tilde{u})=\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{g}(\tilde{u})) \quad \text { with } \quad \mathbf{g}(\tilde{u})=\left(I-J_{Y}\right) \tilde{u}
$$

because

$$
\nabla \tilde{u}: J_{Y_{\tilde{u}}}^{T}=\operatorname{div}_{x} u=0 .
$$

Otherwise, any regular vector field $\tilde{v}$, we have

$$
\mathcal{G}(\tilde{v})=\operatorname{div}_{y} \mathbf{g}(\tilde{v})+\mathrm{j}(\tilde{v})
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{j}(\tilde{v})=-\nabla\left(\operatorname{det} J_{X_{\bar{v}}}\right) \cdot J_{Y_{\tilde{v}}} \tilde{v} / \operatorname{det}\left(J_{X_{\bar{v}}}\right) .
$$

## 3. The linearized model

The method of successive approximations is based on fine estimates for the following linearized system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}-\nu \Delta v+\nabla q=f \quad \text { in } Q_{F}^{T} \\
& \operatorname{div} v=g=\operatorname{div} \mathbf{g}+\mathrm{j} \quad \text { in } Q_{F}^{T} \\
& v(0)=u_{0} \quad \text { in } \Omega_{F}, \quad v=\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \quad \text { on } \Sigma_{S}^{T} \\
& \sigma(v, q) n=\sigma(w) n+h \quad \text { on } \Sigma_{S}^{T} \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}}-\operatorname{div} \sigma(w)=0 \text { in } Q_{S}^{T} \\
& w(0)=I \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}(\cdot, 0)=w_{1} \text { in } \Omega_{S}
\end{aligned}
$$

For simplicity we have replaced $\tilde{n}$ by $n$.

Maximal regularity results for the Stokes system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}-\nu \Delta v+\nabla q=0 \quad \text { in } Q_{F}^{T} \\
& \operatorname{div} v=0 \quad \text { in } Q_{F}^{T} \\
& v(0)=u_{0} \text { in } \Omega_{F}, \quad v=\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \quad \text { on } \Sigma_{S}^{T}, \\
& \sigma(v, q) n=\sigma(w) n+h \quad \text { on } \Sigma_{S}^{T} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For Dirichlet boundary conditions, if $\left.v\right|_{\Sigma_{S}^{T}} \in H^{\ell, \ell / 2}\left(\Sigma_{S}^{T}\right), \ell>0$ then $v \in H^{\ell+1 / 2, \ell / 2+1 / 4}\left(Q_{F}^{T}\right)$.
Conversely, if $v \in H^{\ell+1 / 2, \ell / 2+1 / 4}\left(Q_{F}^{T}\right)$, then $\left.v\right|_{\Sigma_{S}^{T}} \in H^{\ell, \ell / 2}\left(\Sigma_{S}^{T}\right)$.
For Neumann boundary conditions, if $\sigma(v, q) n \in H^{\ell, \ell / 2}\left(\Sigma_{S}^{T}\right), \ell>0$ then $v \in H^{\ell+3 / 2, \ell / 2+3 / 4}\left(Q_{F}^{T}\right)$. Conversely, if $v \in H^{\ell+3 / 2, \ell / 2+3 / 4}\left(Q_{F}^{T}\right)$, then $\sigma(v, q) n \in H^{\ell, \ell / 2}\left(\Sigma_{S}^{T}\right)$.

Regularity results for the Lamé system

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}}-\operatorname{div} \sigma(w)=F \text { in } Q_{S}^{T}, \\
w=G=\left.w_{0}\right|_{\Gamma_{S}}+\int_{0}^{t} v d \tau \quad \text { on } \Sigma_{S}^{T}, \\
w(0)=w_{0} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}(\cdot, 0)=w_{1} \text { in } \Omega_{S} . \\
\text { If } w_{0} \in H^{2}\left(\Omega_{S}\right), w_{1} \in H^{1}\left(\Omega_{S}\right), F \in L^{1}\left(H^{1}\right) \cap W^{1,1}\left(L^{2}\right), \\
G \in H^{2}\left(\Sigma_{S}^{T}\right),\left.G\right|_{t=0}=\left.w_{0}\right|_{S} \text { and }\left.\partial_{t} G\right|_{t=0}=\left.w_{1}\right|_{\Gamma_{S}} \text {, then } \\
w \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{2}\left(\Omega_{S}\right)\right) \cap C^{1}\left([0, T] ; H^{1}\left(\Omega_{S}\right)\right) \cap C^{2}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}\left(\Omega_{S}\right)\right) \\
\text { and } \\
\sigma(w) n \in H^{1}\left(\Sigma_{S}^{T}\right) \text {. }
\end{gathered}
$$

More generally if $G \in H^{s}\left(\Sigma_{S}^{T}\right)$, then $\sigma(w) n \in H^{s-1}\left(\Sigma_{S}^{T}\right)$.

Analysis of the interface conditions
$w=G=\left.w_{0}\right|_{\Gamma_{S}}+\int_{0}^{t} v d \tau \quad$ and $\quad \sigma(v, q) n=\sigma(w) n+h \quad$ on $\Sigma_{S}^{T}$.
If $\sigma(w) n+h \in H^{1}\left(\Sigma_{S}^{T}\right)$, then $v \in H^{5 / 2,5 / 4}\left(Q_{F}^{T}\right)$,
$\left.v\right|_{\Sigma_{S}^{T}} \in H^{2,1}\left(\Sigma_{S}^{T}\right)$, and $w_{0} \mid r_{S}+\int_{0}^{t} v d \tau \in H^{2}\left(\Sigma_{S}^{T}\right)$, which gives again $\sigma(w) n \in H^{1}\left(\Sigma_{S}^{T}\right)$.
Thus $v \in H^{5 / 2,5 / 4}\left(Q_{F}^{T}\right)$ and
$w \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{2}\left(\Omega_{S}\right)\right) \cap C^{1}\left([0, T] ; H^{1}\left(\Omega_{S}\right)\right) \cap C^{2}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}\left(\Omega_{S}\right)\right)$
with $\sigma(w) n \in H^{1}\left(\Sigma_{S}^{T}\right)$ seems to be a good candidate family of spaces for a fixed point method.
Unfortunately, for the nonlinear terms in 3D, we need

$$
v \in H^{2+\ell, 1+\ell / 2}\left(Q_{F}^{T}\right), \quad \text { with } \ell>1 / 2
$$

We redo the previous analysis
If $\sigma(w) n+h \in H^{1 / 2+\ell}\left(\Sigma_{S}^{T}\right)$, then $v \in H^{2+\ell, 1+\ell / 2}\left(Q_{F}^{T}\right)$,
$\left.v\right|_{\Sigma_{S}^{T}} \in H^{3 / 2+\ell, 3 / 4+\ell / 2}\left(\Sigma_{S}^{T}\right)$, and
$\left.w_{0}\right|_{\Gamma_{S}}+\int_{0}^{t} v d \tau \in H^{1}\left(0, T ; H^{3 / 2+\ell}\left(\Gamma_{S}\right)\right) \cap H^{\top / 4+\ell / 2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{S}\right)\right)$.
We would like to have $w_{0} \mid r_{S}+\int_{0}^{t} v d \tau \in H^{3 / 2+\ell}\left(\Sigma_{S}^{T}\right)$, to recover $\sigma(w) n \in H^{1 / 2+\ell}\left(\Sigma_{S}^{T}\right)$.
But $7 / 4+\ell / 2<3 / 2+\ell$ and we cannot get
$w_{0} \mid r_{S}+\int_{0}^{t} v d \tau \in H^{3 / 2+\ell}\left(\Sigma_{S}^{T}\right)$.

We have to prove new anisotropic hidden regularity for the Lamé system.

We prove that if
$\left.w_{0}\right|_{\Gamma_{S}}+\int_{0}^{t} v d \tau \in H^{1}\left(0, T ; H^{3 / 2+\ell}\left(\Gamma_{S}\right)\right) \cap H^{7 / 4+\ell / 2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{S}\right)\right)$, then

$$
\sigma(w) n \in H^{5 / 8-\ell / 4}\left(0, T ; H^{1 / 2+\ell}\left(\Gamma_{S}\right)\right) \cap H^{3 / 4+\ell / 2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{S}\right)\right)
$$

Analysis of the Stokes system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}-\nu \Delta v+\nabla q=0 \quad \text { in } Q_{F}^{T} \\
& \operatorname{div} v=g=\operatorname{div} \mathbf{g}+\mathrm{j} \quad \text { in } Q_{F}^{T}, \\
& v(0)=u_{0} \text { in } \Omega_{F}, \\
& \sigma(v, q) n=\sigma(w) n+h \quad \text { on } \Sigma_{S}^{T} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To simplify we set $\mathrm{j}=0$.

The difficult part is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial t}-\nu \Delta \tilde{v}+\nabla \tilde{q}=0 \quad \text { in } Q_{F}^{T}, \\
& \operatorname{div} \tilde{v}=g=\operatorname{div} \mathbf{g} \text { in } Q_{F}^{T}, \\
& \tilde{v}(0)=0 \text { in } \Omega_{F}, \\
& \sigma(\tilde{v}, \tilde{q}) n=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma_{S}^{T} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have to use the decomposition $\tilde{v}=P \tilde{v}+(I-P) \tilde{v}$.
The regularity of $g \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1+\ell}\left(\Omega_{F}\right)\right) \cap H^{\ell / 2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}\left(\Omega_{F}\right)\right)$ is used to recover the best regularity with respect to the space variable $\tilde{v} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{2+\ell}\left(\Omega_{F}\right)\right)$,
while the regularity $\mathbf{g} \in H^{1+\ell / 2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Omega_{F}\right)\right)$ is used to recover the best regularity with respect to the time variable $\tilde{v} \in H^{1+\ell / 2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Omega_{F}\right)\right)$.

Regularity result for the Stokes system. If

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f \in H^{\ell, \ell / 2}\left(Q_{F}^{T}\right), \quad v_{0} \in H^{1+\ell}\left(\Omega_{F}\right) \cap H_{\Gamma_{e}}^{1}\left(\Omega_{F}\right), \quad \operatorname{div} v_{0}=0, \\
& h \in H^{1 / 2+\ell, 1 / 4+\ell / 2}\left(\Sigma_{S}^{T}\right), \quad \mathbf{g} \in H^{1+\ell / 2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Omega_{F}\right)\right), \\
& \mathbf{g} \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{1+\ell}\left(\Omega_{F}\right)\right),\left.\quad \mathbf{g}\right|_{\Sigma_{e}^{T}}=0, \quad \mathbf{g}(\cdot, 0)=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega_{F}, \\
& g \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1+\ell}\left(\Omega_{F}\right)\right) \cap H^{\ell / 2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}\left(\Omega_{F}\right)\right), \\
& \text { and if } h, v_{0} \text { obey the compatibility conditions } \\
& 2 \nu\left(\varepsilon\left(v_{0}\right) n\right) \cdot \tau=h(0) \cdot \tau \text { on } \Gamma_{S},
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|P v\|_{H^{2+\ell, 1+\ell / 2}\left(Q_{F}^{T}\right)}+\|\nabla q\|_{H^{\ell, \ell / 2}\left(Q_{F}^{T}\right)} \leq C\left(\|f\|_{H^{\ell, \ell / 2}\left(Q_{F}^{T}\right)}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\|g\|_{L^{2}\left(H^{1+\ell}\right) \cap H^{\ell / 2}\left(H^{1}\right)}+\|\mathbf{g}\|_{H^{1+\ell / 2}\left(L^{2}\right)}+\|h\|_{H^{\ell, \ell / 2}\left(\Sigma_{S}^{T}\right)}+\left\|v_{0}\right\|_{H^{1+\ell}\left(\Omega_{F}\right)}\right), \\
& \text { and }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\|(I-P) v\|_{H^{2+\ell, 1+\ell / 2}\left(Q_{F}^{T}\right)} \leq C\left(\|g\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1+\ell}\left(\Omega_{F}\right)\right)}+\|\mathbf{g}\|_{H^{1+\ell / 2}\left(L^{2}\right)}\right) .
$$

In particular $\left.v\right|_{\Sigma_{S}^{T}}$ belongs to $H^{3 / 2+\ell, 3 / 4+\ell / 2}\left(\Sigma_{F}^{T}\right)$ because $v \in H^{2+\ell, 1+\ell / 2}\left(Q_{F}^{T}\right)$.

The linearized coupled system
The Stokes system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}-\nu \Delta v+\nabla q=f \quad \text { in } Q_{F}^{T}, \\
& \operatorname{div} v=g=\operatorname{div} \mathbf{g}+\mathrm{j} \quad \text { in } Q_{F}^{T}, \\
& v(0)=u_{0} \quad \text { in } \Omega_{F}, \\
& \sigma(v, q) n=\sigma(w) n+h=\zeta+h \quad \text { on } \Sigma_{S}^{T},
\end{aligned}
$$

The Lamé system
$\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}}-\operatorname{div} \sigma(w)=0$ in $Q_{S}^{T}$,
$w=I+\int_{0}^{t} v(s) d s$ on $\Sigma_{S}^{T}$,
$w(0)=I \quad$ and $\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}(\cdot, 0)=w_{1}$ in $\Omega_{S}$.

For $\zeta$ given, we denote by $\left(v_{\zeta}, q_{\zeta}\right)$ the solution to the Stokes equation. We denote by $w_{\zeta}$ the solution to the Lamé system with the Dirichlet boundary condition

$$
w_{\zeta}=I+\int_{0}^{t} v_{\zeta}(s) d s \text { on } \Sigma_{S}^{T} .
$$

We show that the mapping

$$
\zeta \longmapsto \sigma\left(w_{\zeta}\right) n
$$

is a contraction in

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\zeta \in H^{1 / 2+\ell, 1 / 4+\ell / 2}\left(\Sigma_{S}^{T}\right) \mid\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad \zeta \cdot \tau\right|_{t=0}=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{S} \text { for all vector } \tau \text { tangent to } \Gamma_{S}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. Lipschitz estimates

We need to introduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{0} & =\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1+\ell}\left(\Omega_{F}\right)}+\left\|w_{0}\right\|_{H^{3 / 2+\ell+\beta}\left(\Omega_{S}\right)}+\left\|\left.w_{0}\right|_{\Gamma_{S}}\right\|_{H^{3 / 2+\ell}\left(\Gamma_{S}\right)} \\
& +\left\|w_{1}\right\|_{H^{1 / 2+\ell+\beta}\left(\Omega_{S}\right)}, \quad 0<\beta \leq 5 / 8-\ell / 4 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The solution $\left(\tilde{u}^{0}, \tilde{p}^{0}, w^{0}\right)$ to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}-\nu \Delta v+\nabla q=0, \quad \operatorname{div} v=0, \quad \text { in } Q_{F}^{T} \\
& v(0)=u_{0} \quad \text { in } \Omega_{F}, \quad v=\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \quad \text { on } \Sigma_{S}^{T} \\
& \sigma(v, q) n=\sigma(w) n \quad \text { on } \Sigma_{S}^{T} \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}}-\operatorname{div} \sigma(w)=0 \text { in } Q_{S}^{T} \\
& w(0)=I \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}(\cdot, 0)=w_{1} \text { in } \Omega_{S}
\end{aligned}
$$

The estimate

$$
\left\|\tilde{u}^{0}\right\|_{H_{\#}^{2+\ell, 1+\ell / 2}\left(Q_{F}^{T}\right)}+\left\|\nabla \tilde{p}^{0}\right\|_{H_{\#}^{\ell, \ell / 2}\left(Q_{F}^{T}\right)} \leq C_{1} K_{0},
$$

We set
$\widetilde{K}_{0}=\left\|\mathcal{G}\left(\tilde{u}^{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{\#}^{1+\ell}\left(\Omega_{F}\right)\right) \cap H^{\ell / 2}\left(0, T ; H_{\#}^{1}\left(\Omega_{F}\right)\right)}+\left\|\mathbf{g}\left(\tilde{u}^{0}\right)\right\|_{H^{1+\ell / 2}\left(0, T ; L_{\#}^{2}\left(\Omega_{F}\right)\right)}$. and $M_{0}=3 C_{1}\left(K_{0}+\widetilde{K}_{0}\right)+3$.

The maximal time $T^{*}$ depends only on $M_{0}$.

Lipschitz estimates

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|\mathcal{F}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{p})\|_{F_{T}} \leq K_{\mathcal{F}} T^{1-\ell} \chi\left(M_{0}\right), \\
& \left\|\mathcal{F}\left(\tilde{u}^{1}, \tilde{p}^{1}\right)-\mathcal{F}\left(\tilde{u}^{2}, \tilde{p}^{2}\right)\right\|_{F_{T}} \\
& \leq K_{\mathcal{F}} T^{1-\ell} \chi\left(M_{0}\right)\left(\left\|\tilde{u}^{1}-\tilde{u}^{2}\right\|_{E_{T}}+\left\|\nabla \tilde{p}^{1}-\nabla \tilde{p}^{2}\right\|_{F_{T}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\tilde{u}, \tilde{u}^{1}$ and $\tilde{u}^{2}$ bounded by $M_{0}$ in $E_{T}$, equal to $u_{0}$ at $t=0$, and all $\tilde{p}, \tilde{p}^{1}$ and $\tilde{p}^{2}$ bounded by $M_{0}$ in $F_{T}$, with

$$
E_{T}=H^{2+\ell, 1+\ell / 2}\left(Q_{F}^{T}\right), \quad F_{T}=H^{\ell, \ell / 2}\left(Q_{F}^{T}\right)
$$

$\chi$ is a polynomial of high degree.
5. The method of successive approximations

We look for a solution ( $\tilde{u}, \tilde{p}, w)$ to system the nonlinear system in the form

$$
\tilde{u}=v+\tilde{u}^{0}, \quad \tilde{p}=q+\tilde{p}^{0}, \quad w=z+w^{0} .
$$

Thus ( $v, q, z$ ) obeys

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}-\nu \Delta v+\nabla q=\mathcal{F}\left(v+\tilde{u}^{0}, q+\tilde{p}^{0}\right) \quad \text { in } Q_{F}^{T}, \\
& \operatorname{div} v=\mathcal{G}\left(v+\tilde{u}^{0}\right)=\operatorname{div}\left(\mathbf{g}\left(v+\tilde{u}^{0}\right)\right)+\mathbf{j}\left(v+\tilde{u}^{0}\right) \quad \text { in } Q_{F}^{T}, \\
& v(0)=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega_{F}, \quad v=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma_{e}^{T}, \\
& v=\frac{\partial z}{\partial t} \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma(v, q) n=\sigma(z) n+\mathcal{H}\left(v+\tilde{u}^{0}, q+\tilde{p}^{0}\right) \quad \text { on } \Sigma_{S}^{T}, \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} z}{\partial t^{2}}-\operatorname{div} \sigma(z)=0 \text { in } Q_{S}^{T}, \\
& z(0)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\partial z}{\partial t}(\cdot, 0)=0 \text { in } \Omega_{S},
\end{aligned}
$$

and $X$ and $Y$ are determined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X(y, t)=y+\int_{0}^{t}\left(v+\tilde{u}^{0}\right)(y, s) d s, \quad \text { for all } y \in \Omega_{F} \text { and all } t \in[0, T] \\
& \Omega_{F}(t)=X\left(\Omega_{F}, t\right), \\
& Y(X(y, t), t)=y, \quad y \in \Omega_{F} \quad \text { and } \quad X(Y(x, t), t)=x, \quad x \in \Omega_{F}(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We prove the existence of a fixed point to the above system, by the method of successive approximation.

We choose $\left(v^{k}, q^{k}, z^{k}\right)$ in the nonlinear terms of the RHS, and we denote by $\left(v^{k+1}, q^{k+1}, z^{k+1}\right)$ the corresponding solution.
At the first iterate, that is for $\left(v^{0}, q^{0}, z^{0}\right)=(0,0,0)$, we have

$$
\mathcal{G}\left(\tilde{u}^{0}\right)=\operatorname{div}\left(\mathbf{g}\left(\tilde{u}^{0}\right)\right),
$$

because $\nabla \tilde{u}^{0}: J_{Y_{\tilde{u}^{0}}}^{T}=0$.
For the other iterates, we have

$$
\mathcal{G}\left(\tilde{u}^{k}\right)=\operatorname{div}\left(\mathbf{g}\left(v^{k}+\tilde{u}^{0}\right)\right)+\mathrm{j}\left(v^{k}+\tilde{u}^{0}\right) .
$$

This method is used with some gap in the literature on free boundary value problems.

## Existence and uniqueness theorem

Assumptions.

$$
u_{0} \in H^{1+\ell}\left(\Omega_{F}\right),\left.\quad u_{0}\right|_{\Gamma_{e}}=0, \quad \operatorname{div} u_{0}=0, \quad w_{1} \in H^{1 / 2+\ell+\beta}\left(\Omega_{S}\right),
$$

with $\ell \in(1 / 2,1)$ and $0<\beta \leq \frac{5}{8}-\frac{\ell}{4}$, and
$\left.u_{0}\right|_{\Gamma_{s}}=\left.w_{1}\right|_{\Gamma_{s}}, \quad 2 \nu\left(\varepsilon\left(u_{0}\right) n\right) \cdot \tau=\sigma\left(w_{0}\right) n \cdot \tau=\sigma(I) n \cdot \tau=0$ on $\Gamma_{S}$,
for any unit vector $\tau$ tangent to $\Gamma_{S}$.

Metric spaces.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{T, M_{0}, u_{0}}=\left\{\tilde{u} \in H^{2+\ell, 1+\ell / 2}\left(Q_{F}^{T}\right) \mid \tilde{u}(0)=u_{0},\|\tilde{u}\|_{H^{2+\ell, 1+\ell / 2}\left(Q_{F}^{T}\right)} \leq M_{0}\right\}, \\
& P_{T, M_{0}}=\left\{p \in L^{2}\left(Q_{F}^{T}\right) \mid\|\nabla p\|_{H^{\ell, \ell / 2}\left(Q_{F}^{T}\right)} \leq M_{0}\right\}, \\
& \text { and }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{T, 1 / 2}=\left\{X \in H^{1}\left(0, T ; H^{2+\ell}\left(\Omega_{F}\right)\right) \cap H^{2+\ell / 2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Omega_{F}\right)\right) \mid\right. \\
& \left.\quad\left\|\nabla_{y} X-I\right\|_{C\left(\overline{Q_{F}^{T}}\right)} \leq 1 / 2\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Conclusion. Then, there exists $T>0$ such that the nonlinear system admits a unique solution ( $\tilde{u}, \nabla \tilde{p}, w, X$ ) in $E_{T, M_{0}, u_{0}} \times P_{T, M_{0}} \times\left(C^{0}\left([0, T] ; H^{7 / 4+\ell / 2}\left(\Omega_{S}\right)\right) \cap\right.$ $\left.C^{1}\left([0, T] ; H^{3 / 4+\ell / 2}\left(\Omega_{S}\right)\right)\right) \times X_{T, 1 / 2}$.

Solution to the initial system.
If $u_{0}$ and $w_{1}$ obey the previous compatibility conditions. Assume that $(\tilde{u}, \nabla \tilde{p}, w, X) \in E_{T, M_{0}, u_{0}} \times P_{T, M_{0}} \times$
$\left(C^{0}\left([0, T] ; H^{7 / 4+\ell / 2}\left(\Omega_{S}\right)\right) \cap C^{1}\left([0, T] ; H^{3 / 4+\ell / 2}\left(\Omega_{S}\right)\right)\right) \times X_{T, 1 / 2}$ is
a solution to the nonlinear system in Lagrangian variables. Let us set

$$
u(x, t)=\tilde{u}(Y(x, t), t), \quad p(x, t)=\tilde{p}(Y(x, t), t) \quad \text { for all } x \in \Omega_{F}(t)
$$

$$
t \in[0, T]
$$

Then $(u, p, w)$ is a solution to system initial system in Eulerian-Lagrangian variables.

## References

H. Beirao da Veiga, On the existence of strong solutions to a coupled fluid-structure evolution system, JMFM 6 (2004), 21-52.
A. Chambolle, B. Desjardins, M. J. Esteban, and C. Grandmont, Existence of weak solutions for unsteady fluid-plate interaction problem, J. Math. Fluid Mech. 7 (2005), 368-404.
M. Boulakia, S. Guerrero, Regular solutions of a problem coupling a compressible fluid and an elastic structure, 2010.
M. Boulakia, Existence of weak solutions for the three dimensional motion of an emastic structure in an incompressible fluid, J. Math. Fluid Mech. 9 (2007), 262-294.
C. Conca, J. San Martin, M. Tucsnak, Existence of solutions for the equations modelling the motion of a rigid body in a viscous fluid, CPDE 25 (2000), 1019-1042.
C. Grandmont, Existence of weak solutions for unsteady interaction of a viscous fluid with an elastic plate, SIMA, 2008.
J. Lequeurre, Existence of strong solutions to a fuid-structure system, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 43 (2011), 389-410.
I. Kukavica, A. Tuffaha, Solutions to a fluid-structure interaction free boundary problem, Discrete and Cont. Dyn. Systems, 32 (2012), 1355-1389.
D. Coutand and S. Shkoller, Motion of an elastic solid inside an incompressible viscous fluid, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 176 (2005), 25-102.
J.-P. Raymond, Feedback stabilization of a fuid - structure model, SIAM J.

Control Optim., 48(2010), 5398-5443.

J-P. Raymond, Stokes and Oseen equations with a nonhomogeneous divergence condition. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., Ser. B, 14 (2010), 1537-1564.
J. San Martín, J-F. Scheid, T. Takahashi, M. Tucsnak, An initial and boundary value problem modeling of fish-like swimming, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 188 (2008), 429-455.
T. Takahashi, Analysis of strong solutions for the equations modelling the motion of a rigid-fluid system in a bounded domain, Adv. Differ. Equ. 8 (2003),1499-1532.
T. Takahashi, M. Tucsnak, Global strong solutions for the two-dimensional motion of an infinite cylinder in a viscous fluid, J. Math. Fluid Mech. 6 (2004) 53-77.

