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## Number sense

## What it is

-A system that extracts the cardinality of concrete sets, and combines sets through arithmetical operations (comparison, addition, subtraction). Evolutionary ancient, pre-verbal, present early in life.
[Feigenson 2011; Libertus \& Brannon 2010; Xu \& Arriaga 2007; Brannon et al. 2004; Xu \& Spelke 2000; McCrink \& Wynn, 2004; Kobayashi et al., 2004; McCrink \& Wynn, 2009]

Familiarization (2 min)

```
" tu-tu-tu-tu-tu-tu-tu-tu-tu-tu-tu-tu " ... [sequences of 12 sounds]
or
" tuauuu-tuuuuu-tuuuuu-tuuuuu " ... [sequences of 4 sounds]
```

Test (4 trials)

[lzard, Sann, Spelke, \& Streri, PNAS 2009]

## It's computational signatures

- It has no capacity limits (it applies to small and large sets)
- It is imprecise.
-The precision decreases with cardinality $\rightarrow$ the perception of difference is proportional to the numerical RATIO $\rightarrow$ internal scale is log(n).
- Minimal discriminable ratio $\rightarrow$ Weber fraction $\rightarrow$ index number sense acuity.
[Piazza et al., 2010; Halberda et al., 2009; Burr et al., 2008, ...]



## It's developmental trajectory

- Number sense acuity, or the precision of numerical discrimination (indexed by the Weber fraction) increases with age.

■ Infants (Izard et al., 2009; Xu \& Spelke, 2000; Xu \& Arriaga, 2007)
จ Piazza et al., Cognition 2010; Chinello et al., in press
Ґ Piazza et al., 2004 - Pica et al., 2004 o Halberda et al., 2008

- Power function fit


## It's neural basis

Macaque brain


Human baby brain


Human adult brain

[Piazza et al., 2004; Cantlon et al., 2005; Eger et al., 2009. Nieder et al, 2002,2003,2004; Izard et al., 2008; Libertus et al., 2009; Hyde et al., 2010, ...]

## Learning counting and calculation in the brain

-When children learn counting and symbolic calculation, their parietal « number sense » system is already in place.
-They have to create new auditive/visual representations of numerical symbols

- And to connect these new representations to the pre-existing codes for numerical quantities and transformations



## Number sense is fundational for learning symbolic calculation



## Neural evidence

- The same regions in parietal cortex implicated in number sense tasks are also active during symbolic mental calculation in adults and children of all cultures
- The same regions in parietal cortex respond according to a quantity code for both concrete sets and for numerical symbols [Piazzaet al., 2007, Eger et al., 2009; Holloway et al., 2012]


## Behavioural evidence

- Young children but also adults treat numericals symbols («2», « two ») as analogue magnitudes : ratio-dependent effects in symblic number comparison and calculation

[Moyer \& Landauer, 1967; Dehaene et al., 1990; Pinel et al., 2001; Gilmore et al.,


## Number sense is perturbed in developmental dyscalculia

[Mazzocco et al., 2011; Mussolin et al., 2010; Piazza et al., 2010; Pinheiro-Chagas et al., in prep.]



[Piazza et al., Cognition 2010]

## Further evidence of links between number sense and calculation abilities : single cases

Case (1) Ludo, young (4-6 yo) boy. Exceptional calculator


Case (2) Mme S 48 yo high school professor. Profound dyscalculic

Weber fraction $=0.357$



# Number sense is a longitudinal predictor of later maths achievements 

[Mazzocco et al., PLoSONE, 2011, Desoete et al., BJEdPsych 2012]



## Circular causality : Numeracy acquisition increases number sense acuity


"choose the larger"

[Piazza et al., Psychological Science, in press]


## CONTROLEXPERIMENT :

The effect of math education on number acuity is not generically related to schooling, nor generically related to magnitude processing.

33 Munduruku subjects [aged from 4 to 63] 4 Completely uneducated 29 Received some education





# Other effects of numeracy on number sense: from a compressed to a linear scale 



## A major change due to numeracy: from a log to a linear scale

-Concrete objects

-Symbolic numbers

[Dehaene et al., Science, 2008]

## Pedagogical supports with explicit reference to linearly scaled « NUMBER LINES » are effective

Griffin \& Case $(1994,2004)$
Educative programs with number line activities increase durably calculation skills in low socio-economical status children .


Mean developmental level scores on Number Knowledge test at 4 time periods


## Ramani \& Siegler (2008)

Short training with number line games improve performance in number tasks: comparison, counting in low socio-economical status children.




## Lilliard and Else-Quest (2008)

Montessori programs train simultaneous associations between concrete quantities, symbols, and number line improve math achievement (vs. non-Montessori program;USA)




## Numeracy acquisitions shape number sense

- It becomes more precise
- The internal scale becomes linear

What are the mechanisms underlying these changes?

Numeracy acquisition involves the interplay between several cognitive components:

1. Sense of numerical quantity component necessary (but not sufficient?)

2. Linguistic component
phonology; syntax (for n-digit numbers); recursion

## 3. Visuo-Spatial / motor component

individual object tracking (pointing, eye-movements, finger counting,); mental imagery of concrete numerical transformations of sets; dyscalculics $\rightarrow$ low visuo-spatial skills

## In search for the cognitive components involved in learning simple

 calculation:
## The role of fine motor control and visuo-spatial abilities

## A STUDY ON DEVELOPMENTAL COORDINATION DISORDER

 (with Alice Gomez, Antoinette Jobert, directed by Caroline Huron)DCD Participants: 7-10 years old children ( $\mathrm{N}=20$ )
[criteria: (1) significant motor coordination deficits (<15th percentile on MABC-1);
(2) which impact daily lives;
(3) no medical/neurological conditions;
(4) no intellectual difficulties];

Control Participants: normally developing children matched for age and verbal IQ ( $\mathrm{N}=20$ )

| Severe visuo-spatial problems [meta-analysis Wilson \& McKenzie, 2003] |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean Score (SE) | Control | Children with DCD | $F(1,38)$ | $p$ value |
| WISC-III: |  |  |  |  |
| Digit span | 11.6 (.79) | 10.2 (.37) | 2.42 | $p>.05$ |
| Block design | 11.25 (.60) | 6.65 (.73) *** | 22.19 | $p<.000$ |
| Similarities | 13.85 (.61) | 13.7 (.79) | F < 1 | $p>.05$ |
| NEPSY: |  |  |  |  |
| Arrows | 13.1 (.46) | 8.9 (.83) ${ }^{* * *}$ | 18.66 | $p<.000$ |



## Mental representation of numbers (position on a line)

DCD are less accurate but equally use


DCD are linear, even though on average less precise



Hatch mark position from 0-100


## Eye movements analysis : higher erraticity, less fixation on the line, but same use of anchoring points.

DCD have a more erratic eye movement pattern: they more often fixate away from the line.


Number and duration of fixations are similarly distributed to the anchoring points in the two groups (indicating similar strategies)


## Hatch-mark fixations: DCD fixations show a large rightward shift for large numbers.





Hatch mark position from 0-100

## (2) Mental calculation

In one digit calculation problems, $D C D$ children are much slower, they make more errors, and the size of their errors is larger.

## Additions




## Subtractions






## Accuracy and error size in calculation correlates with visuo-motor AND visuo-spatial abilities



## Children with DCD also have visuo-spatial and calculation impairments.

$\rightarrow$ Learning calculation makes use of cortical resources which are also essential for fine motor coordination and visuo-spatial processes.

## CRITICAL ISSUES:

(1) Numerical abilities are correlated with visuo-spatial and
 visuo-motor abilities even prior to learning formal calculation



## CRITICAL ISSUES:

(2) Numerical and visuo-spatial and visuo-motor abilities can be (double) dissociated

## Intact visuo-spatial and visuo-motor components are NOT SUFFICIENT

Case (1) Mme. S. - dyscalculic adult 48 years old lady, high school language and literature teacher, amateur cello player

## $\rightarrow$ Perfectly normal in praxis and visuo-spatial skills

$\rightarrow$ Extremely slow and inaccurate in simple calculation
$\rightarrow$ Slow number comparison (distance effect)
$\rightarrow$ Impaired digit span = 4 (Normal letter span = 6)
$\rightarrow$ Impaired Weber fraction = kindergarteners
$\rightarrow$ Intact transcoding abilities

- Figure Rey copy
- Gesture imitation (1 \& 2 hands)
- Finger gnosis (eyes closed)
- Left-right judgments (her/other bodies)
- Block design
- Weber fraction for size judgments


## Intact visuo-spatial and visuo-motor abilities are NOT NECESSARY

Case (2) Ludo - young boy "hypercalculic"
$\rightarrow$ Deeply impaired praxis and visuo-spatial skills
$\rightarrow$ Exceptional transcoding abilities (4 years)
$\rightarrow$ Exceptional simple \& complex calculation abilities (4 and 6 years)
$\rightarrow$ High digit span $=6$ (Impaired verbal span <2 SD)
$\rightarrow$ Very high number Weber fraction $=$ adults
$\rightarrow$ MABC < 5th percentile
$\rightarrow$ BLOCK DESIGN 0
$\rightarrow$ BLOCK CONSTRUCTION (NEPSY) 0
$\rightarrow$ Does not understand words relating to spatial positions (under, behind, above, beneath)

## Conclusions

- By learning the symbols for numbers and symbolic calculation children singificantly expand their pre-existing number sense.
- Visuo-spatial / visuo-motor abilities might be crucially involved in this learning process, but their critical role still needs to be demonstrated:
- We have to find ways to disentangle common maturational trajectory from intrinsic causal relations between visuo-spatial and calculation abilities.
- By studying children with unusual learning pathways we may unveal the core mechanisms underlying numeracy acquisition, and this may furher help in imagining alternative pedagogical tools for children with developmental learning dysabilities.
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