# **Cellular Motility**



### <u>Course 3:</u> Mechanics II - crawling under confinement

Thomas Lecuit chaire: Dynamiques du vivant



# Summary - Crawling d



#### In Eukaryotes: cell crawling is as



Dylan Burnette @MAG2ART







actin retrograde flow



### Summary - Crawling on a 2D substrate

- Feedback regulation:
- Excess membrane tension and adhesion inhibit motility (negative feedback)
- Mechanical adaptation via feedbacks impact on environment sensing (see course #6)



### Crawling of rigid cells on a substrate

Moves at 2-4 µm/min







Apicomplexa (Sporozoa): e.g. parasitic agent of malaria, toxoplasmosis



P. Keeling et al. *Plos Biol*. (2014) https://doi-org.insb.bib.cnrs.fr/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001889



Chiappino et al J. Protozool 31:288-292 (1984)



#### A rich repertoire of gliding behaviors on a substrate or in situ

Plasmodium berghei (rodent malaria)



*P. berghei* sporozoites 10 min after intradermal inoculation with CD31-labeled vascular endothelial cells.

Hopp et al. eLife 2015;4:e07789. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.07789



Toxoplasma gondii

K. Frénal et al. and D. Soldati-Favre. Nature Rev. Micro. 15:645-660 (2017)









K. Frénal et al. and D. Soldati-Favre. Nature Rev. Micro. 15:645-660 (2017)

#### Anatomy of the motor system





Thomas LECUIT 2021-2022

8

#### Polar actin flow against adhesin drive motility



Backward movement of the adhesins

Forward movement of the parasite

K. Frénal et al. and D. Soldati-Favre. Nature Rev. Micro. 15:645-660 (2017)



Thomas LECUIT 2021-2022

- in vitro actin motility assay on substrate conjugated with Myosin motors
- Alignement and flow of short actin filaments



T. Butt et al. J. Biol.Chemistry. 285:4964–4974 (2010)



Initiation of motility at apical pole and propagation by glideosome complex





K. Frénal et al. and D. Soldati-Favre. Nature Rev. Micro. 15:645-660 (2017)

### Actin flow and motility

#### Two ways to power actin flow



T. Mitchison and M. Kirschner Neuron 1:761-772 (1988)

Toxoplasma gondii



# Crawling in confinement - Plan

- Manifestations of cell motility under confinement
   —Adhesion independent motility
- Mechanisms of propulsion:
  - -actin retrograde flow and friction
  - -environment topography
  - -confinement sensing
- Mechanisms of protrusion -
  - -Blebbs
- Evolutionary origin of ameboid motility



#### ve in the complex 3D in vivo environment?



arget Cell tagRFP-membran

Stack = 1 Time = 1.0 s

> HL-60 cells collagen labeled with FITC mCherry-Utrophin (F-actin) Ε t = 0 st = 12 s t = 35 s

> > Bi-Chang Chen et al. and E. Betzig. Science 346, (2014)

DOI: 10.1126/science.1257998

2021-2022

## Evidence of Adhesion-free motility

Lymphocyte Locomotion and Attachment on Two-dimensional Surfaces and in Three-dimensional Matrices

> WENDY S. HASTON, JAMES M. SHIELDS, and PETER C. WICKINSON Department of Decremology and Immunology, University of Glasgow, Glasgow C11 6N1, Scotland

A sequence from a time-lapse film of a lymphocyte moving through a collagen gel enlarged to show the "anchoring" pseudopodia.

Lymphocytes poorly adhere to 2D substrate, yet are highly motile on 3D gel of collagen, indicating adhesion independent motility



Haston WS, Shields JM, Wilkinson PC. J. Cell Biol. 92:747-52 (1982)





# Evidence of Adhesion-free motility

- Cell motility (random motility and chemotaxis) is unaffected by EDTA or antibodies against Integrins
- Motility is enhanced when cells are sandwitched between slide and coverslip (chimneying model)

Random locomotion and chemotaxis of human blood polymorphonuclear leukocytes (PMN) in the presence of EDTA: PMN in close quarters require neither leukocyte integrins nor external divalent cations

Stephen E. Malawista\*† and Anne de Boisfleury Chevance $\ddagger$ 

S. Malawista and A. Boisfleurry Chevance PNAS 94, 11577-11582, (1997)

• Amoeboid motion of a T lymphocyte within a 3-D collagen matrix.

Anti ß-integrin blocking antibody does not affect cell motility in collagen matrix







P. Friedl et al Eur. J. Immunol. 28: 2331–2343 (1998)



Thomas LECUIT 2021-2022

reviewed in P. Friedl et al J. Leukoc. Biol. 70: 491–509 (2001).

#### \_

#### Demonstration of Integrin/adhesion independent motility

#### Rapid leukocyte migration by integrinindependent flowing and squeezing

Tim Lämmermann<sup>1</sup>, Bernhard L. Bader<sup>3</sup>, Susan J. Monkley<sup>4</sup>, Tim Worbs<sup>5</sup>, Roland Wedlich-Söldner<sup>2</sup>, Karin Hirsch<sup>1</sup>, Markus Keller<sup>3</sup>, Reinhold Förster<sup>5</sup>, David R. Critchley<sup>4</sup>, Reinhard Fässler<sup>1</sup> & Michael Sixt<sup>1</sup>

Interstitial migration of dendritic cells (DC) within skin and entry into lymphatic vessels

Velocities of chemotaxing leukocytes in 3D collagen matrices is unaffected in integrin and Talin mutants

Integrin and Talin mutants have normal migration speed



### Integrin/adhesion independent motility

- What is the nature of propulsive forces?
- -contractility at the cell rear
- -cell expansion at the front by fluid flow in actin free regions (blebbs)
- -actin retrograde flow

• What allows force transmission?

-Confinement and Friction with surrounding in 3D



YJ. Liu et al, R. Voituriez and M. Piel Cell 160, 659–672 (2015)



# Crawling in confinement - Plan

- Manifestations of cell motility under confinement
   —Adhesion independent motility
- Mechanisms of propulsion:
  - -actin retrograde flow and friction
  - -environment topography
  - ---confinement sensing
- Mechanisms of protrusion Blebbs
- Evolutionary origin of ameboid motility



#### Manifestation of 3D confined cell motility

• Cell movement in different 3D environments



#### immobile

motility is associated with cell polarisation



Thomas LECUIT 2021-2022

V. Ruprecht et al. and R Voituriez and M. Piel. Cell 160, 673–685 (2015)

#### Change in cell morphology due to confinement

- strength of adhesion is tuned by % of RGD peptide in vitro
- height of confinement is tuned



• Adhesion to 2D substrate

Normal human dermal fibroblast cells (NHDF) migrate in a mesenchymal fashion: lamelipodia, filipodia



• 3D confinement: cells adopt different morphologies

#### $5\mu m$ confinement: cell body alone is deformed





Thomas LECUIT 2021-2022

YJ. Liu et al, R. Voituriez and M. Piel Cell 160, 659-672 (2015)

#### Competing effects of adhesion and confinement on motility





#### Induced polarization in adhesion free motility by chemical gradient

- LPA (lysophosphatidic acid) induces a switch from mesenchymal to amoeboid motility
- LPA induces Myosin-II contractility (inhibiting MyoII blocks the amoeboid state)
- LPA induces actomyosin and cell shape polarization

+ Blebbistatin (Myosin II inhibitor)







Thomas LECUIT 2021-2022

V. Ruprecht et al. and R Voituriez and M. Piel. *Cell* 160, 673–685 (2015)

# Cell contractility is

RPE1

20µm

Spontaneous polarization in adhesion



• The proportion of rapid amoeboid cells scales with cell cortex contractility





+Y27632







### Cell contractility is required for confined motility

Spontaneous polarization in adhesion free motility is enhanced by cell contractility





LifeAct-mCh (F-actin) Vinculin-GFP (adhesion)

LifeAct-mCh (F-actin)

Retrograde flow



### Actin retrograde flow and Force transmission by friction

#### Impact of friction

- Cells in confinement have a clear front-rear polarity: —MyosinII and F-actin are enriched at the posteri
  - BA (fiction 7 = 2.7 427 is at 1 = 18 +
     0 +
     1 + 2 + (fiction 7 = 1.5 10 + 10)

     0 +
     0 +
     0 +
     1 + 3 +
     0 +
     1 + 3 +
     0 +

     14 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
     28 +
- Retrograde actin flow in referential of th
- The actin flow in lab referential depends friction coefficient with walls of capillary: —If very low friction, rapid flow in lab re and no cell movement

—if high friction, no flow in lab referentia rapid cell movement

• This supports the view that frictional forces are essential for forward movement





Thomas LECUIT 2021-2022

Bergert M, et al and G. Salbreux and E. Paluch. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 17:524–29 (2015)

### Mechanical model of confined motility

#### ce transmission by frictional forces

 $V_{\text{norm}} = (\zeta(r) - \zeta(f))L/\eta$ 

esult from retrograde actin flow velocity and allows forward movement

- Gradient of cortical tension Δζ drives posterior actomyosin flow (see course 4th Dec 2018, https://www.college-de-france.fr/media/thomas-lecuit/ UPL9034741296314664690\_LECUIT\_2018\_Cours\_3.pdf)
- Fluid drag force opposes forward movement
- Cortical flow in cell referential and cell velocity depend on friction.
- Above a friction threshold α<sup>\*</sup> cell movement occurs
- α<sup>\*</sup> depends on drag







 Cell motility if frictional forces become equal to or larger than fluid drag force



### A positive feedback loop underlies polarization and motility

Contractile gradient drives flow which reinforces the gradient Positive feedback (similar to 2D crawling)







#### Traction forces in 2D substrate vs 3D confinement

 traction force in 3D confinement are much smaller than in cells adhering to a substratum





#### In confinement, cells are pushers, and not pullers

- Opposite force dipole in adhesion and adhesion independent motility
- Adhesion dependent: Negative force dipole of traction forces reflects combined effect of retrograde actin flow and cell contraction Contraction is used to de-adhere

#### • Adhesion free:

Positive force dipole reflects expansion due to contraction at the back and frictional resistance





Thomas LECUIT 2021-2022

Bergert M, et al and G. Salbreux and E. Paluch. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 17:524–29 (2015)

# Comparison of adhesion and adhesion-free motility

Migration Mode	Adhesive	Non-adhesive
Protrusion type	Usually lamellipodia	Usually blebs
Propelling force generation	Filament extension/actin flow	Cortex flow
Force transmission	Focal adhesion	Friction, protrusion intercalations, etc.
Substrate interaction	Specific	Non-specific
Duration of cell-substrate interactions	Longer than dwell time	Shorter than dwell time
Speed-substrate interaction strength relationship	Bell curve	Plateau
Environment	2D surfaces and 3D environments	3D confinement
Migration speed <sup>a</sup>	$\sim$ 0.1–1 $\mu$ m/min	$\sim$ 1–10 $\mu$ m/min
Stresses exerted on substrate <sup>b</sup>	$\sim 10^{2} - 10^{5} \text{ Pa}$	<1Pa
Actin flow profile	Mainly in lamellipodium	At the cortex all along the cell body, max velocity in cell center
Force dipole	Contractile	Expansile

Bodor et al. and E. Paluch. *Developmental Cell*. 52: 550-562 (2020)

- Friction-based migration is only possible in 3D confinement (unlike adhesion based motility)
- For 2D substrate motility, the strength and duration of molecular bonds must be strong enough to counteract Brownian motion (see catch bond and mechanical amplification mechanisms at Integrin foci)
- In 3D, confinement prolongs the contacts of weak molecular interactions and multiply them over the entire cell surface
- Cell substrate interactions shorter than cell dwell time in non-adhesive motility, but longer than cell dwell time in adhesive motility (thus requiring de-adhesion mechanisms). In non-adhesive motion, friction does not interfere with cell retraction.
- Therefore, increasing friction does not lead to a plateau of migration speed, and no slowing down is expected even at very high friction.



# Crawling in confinement - Plan

- Manifestations of cell motility under confinement
   —Adhesion independent motility
- Mechanisms of propulsion:
  - -actin retrograde flow and friction
  - -environment topography
  - ----confinement sensing
- Mechanisms of protrusion Blebbs
- Evolutionary origin of ameboid motility



T lymphocyte motility is dependent on Integrin on a 2D substrate, or in 3D confinement between glass and coverslip

However in 3D matrigel, motility is integrin independent



Question: what feature of 3D matrix triggers integrin dependent motility? Hypothesis: Geometry/Topography of environement



Thomas LECUIT 2021-2022

A. Reversat et al. and R. Voituriez and M. Sixt. *Nature*. 582(7813):582-585. (2020) doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2283-z.

32

Testing the importance of environment topography using d serrated channels







Thomas LECUIT 2021-2022

A. Reversat et al. and R. Voituriez and M. Sixt. *Nature*. 582(7813):582-585. (2020) doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2283-z.

33

#### mechanical model of adhesion/friction free cell motility

- For a static fluid normal forces are homogeneous and sum up to zero
- In the presence of a retrograde actin flow, they are inhomogeneous and sum up globally to a propulsion force, which enables migration.
- An irregular geometry bends the actin flow lines and induces shear forces in the actin cytoskeleton. To maintain the actin flow and balance shear forces, a pressure gradient along the cell polarity axis is therefore required in irregular geometries, and locally induces non homogeneous normal forces: forward facing boundaries are subject to larger forces.



# Predictions: dependency of force Fx on flow velocity and inverse of length scale of serrations

For classical values  $\eta \sim 10^5$  Pa.s and  $v_0 \sim 1 - 10 \mu m/min$ , for realistic geometries used in experiments, for which  $\lambda$  ranges from 5 to 25  $\mu$ m, the channel depth  $z_0 \sim 10 \mu$ m, cell length  $L \sim 20 \mu$ m, and  $\epsilon = w_1/h_0 \sim 1$ , we find forces in the range 0.1 – 100 nN, which is an expected order of magnitude for migrating cells



Thomas LECUIT 2021-2022

A. Reversat et al. and R. Voituriez and M. Sixt. Nature. 582(7813):582-585. (2020)

• Predictions: dependency of force Fx on inverse of length scale of serrations







A. Reversat et al. and R. Voituriez and M. Sixt. *Nature*. 582(7813):582-585. (2020) doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2283-z.

35

Predictions: dependency of force Fx on inverse of length scale of serrations





Thomas LECUIT 2021-2022

A. Reversat et al. and R. Voituriez and M. Sixt. *Nature*. 582(7813):582-585. (2020) doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2283-z.

# Crawling in confinement - Plan

- Manifestations of cell motility under confinement
   —Adhesion independent motility
- Mechanisms of propulsion:
  - -actin retrograde flow and friction
  - -environment topography
  - ---confinement sensing
- Mechanisms of protrusion Blebbs
- Evolutionary origin of ameboid motility



### How do cells sense confinement and induce contractility?

- Cells induce a retrograde actin flow which is powered by a gradient of cell contractility
- Blocking Myosin-II activation blocks cell motility
- How is cell contractility induced when cells are confined?
- Sensor of cell deformation under confinement
- The nucleus is a mechanical sensor

A. Lomakin et al. and D. Müller and M. Piel. *Science*. 370(6514):eaba2894. (2020) doi: 10.1126/science.aba2894
 V. Venturini et al. and V. Ruprecht. *Science*. 370(6514):eaba2644. (2020) doi: 10.1126/science.aba2644



Cell confinement induces c

#### Experimental assay:

- Confinement of single nonadherent, initially rounded, interphase cells by using an ion beamsculpted flat silicon microcantilever mounted on an atomic force microscopy (AFM) setup
- Monitor the acto-myosin cytoskeleton dynamics and contractile force generation by employing confocal video- microscopy and AFM-based force spectroscopy
- Stepwise compression from 10 to 5 μm (from initial diameter 20μm)

#### **Results:**

- most cells are insensitive to 10µm confinement. All cells responded at 5µm confinement
- Activation of cortical MyosinII and cell blebbing





Compression induced cell contractility depends on mechanisms associated with nuclear-ER membrane stretch



The nucleus is required for cortical Myosin-II activation in confined cells

- Cell enucleation (cytoplast) blocks MyosinII activation
- Yet inhibition of transcription (and translation) is not required for MyosinII activation





• When half the cell is compressed by the nucleus is not, MyosinII is *not* actional for the nucleus is







Thomas LECUIT 2021-2022

A. Lomakin et al. and D. Müller and M. Piel. *Science*. 370(6514):eaba2894. (2020) 41

#### Activation of PLA<sub>2</sub> at the inner Nuclear Envelope underlies n clear mechanosensing



Envedi et al. *Cell* 165, 1160–1170 (2016)

Pla2 is recruited at the nuclear inembrane ۲ ire complessed (5µm) when cells

- Pla2 is required in nucleoplasm for cortical Myosin-II activation
- Nuclear swelling induces eicosanoid synthesis (Arachidonic acid, AA) via activation of Pla2.





Thomas LECUIT 2021-2022 V. Venturini et al. and V. Ruprecht. Science. 370(6514):eaba2644. (2020) doi: 10.1126/science.aba2644

#### Working mechanical model

Cell compression (confinement) induces, above a certain threshold, inner nuclear membrane stretching.

This activates stretch sensitive calcium channels and cPLA2, which leads to synthesis of Arachidonic acid and together activate Myosin-II at the cell cortex



A. Lomakin et al. and D. Müller and M. Piel. Science. 370(6514):eaba2894. (2020)

#### Q: Is nuclear envelope stretching sufficient to induce cell contractility?

Intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> is associated with and required for cell compression induced cell contractility, and cell motility





V. Venturini et al. and V. Ruprecht. Science. 370(6514):eaba2644. (2020) doi: 10.1126/science.aba2644

#### Hc

# ells sense confinement and respond?

t induced by confinement requires both intracellular endent on ER/PM contact) and nuclear envelope stretch





V. Venturini et al. and V. Ruprecht. Science. 370(6514):eaba2644. (2020) doi: 10.1126/science.aba2644

Correlation between nuclear stretching and cortical recruitment of myosin in confined and motile cells





Thomas LECUIT 2021 2022 A. Lomakin

#### Dendritic cell motility requires nuclear mechanosensing via Pla2









A. Lomakin et al. and D. Müller and M. Piel. Science. 370(6514):eaba2894. (2020)

# Crawling in confinement - Plan

- Manifestations of cell motility under confinement —Adhesion independent motility
- Mechanisms of propulsion:
  - -actin retrograde flow and friction
  - -environment topography
  - -confinement sensing
- Mechanisms of protrusion Blebbs
- Evolutionary origin of ameboid motility



## Cell expansion by blebbing



Bleb initiation can result from:



Thomas LECUIT 2021-2022

G. Charras and E. Paluch Nature Rev. Molecular Cell Biology 9:730-736 (2008)

### Cell expansion by blebbing





G. Charras and E. Paluch Nature Rev. Molecular Cell Biology 9:730-736 (2008)

# Crawling in confinement - Plan

- Manifestations of cell motility under confinement —Adhesion independent motility
- Mechanisms of propulsion:
  - -actin retrograde flow and friction
  - -environment topography
  - -confinement sensing
- Mechanisms of protrusion Blebbs
- Evolutionary origin of ameboid motility





King N. Developmental Cell 2004

Specialized crawling (amoeboid) cell types are present in multiple animal lineages, including sponges (archeocytes), ctenophores (stellate cells), cnidarians (amoebocytes), invertebrate bilaterians (amoebocytes), and vertebrates (white blood cells and mesenchymal cells)

What about amoeboid phenotypes in the closest relatives to animals, choanoflagelates?





Thomas LECUIT 2021-2022

#### A flagellate to amoeboid switch in the closest relatives of animals





Thomas LECUIT 2021-2022

#### A flagellate to amoeboid switch in the closest relatives of animals

Cell blebbing of S. rosetta under confinement

Cortical actin dynamics in blebbs

Myosinll recruitment in blebbs







Thomas LECl

#### Confinement is associated with motility and cell escape from confinement zone

The switch from a flagellate to a amoeboid behavior due to confinement might have been part of an ancestral stress response in the last common choanozoan ancestor





#### evolutionary orig <u>f confined motility</u>

- Widespread occurence of a
- Strengthens the pre-metaz
- Might reflect ancestral stre

h among choanoflagellates noeboid confined motility confinement

← → Stable – bleb cell

Leukocytes







Thomas LECUIT 2021-2022

# Comparison of adhesion and adhesion-free motility

Migration Mode	Adhesive	Non-adhesive
Protrusion type	Usually lamellipodia	Usually blebs
Propelling force generation	Filament extension/actin flow	Cortex flow
Force transmission	Focal adhesion	Friction, protrusion intercalations, etc.
Substrate interaction	Specific	Non-specific
Duration of cell-substrate interactions	Longer than dwell time	Shorter than dwell time
Speed-substrate interaction strength relationship	Bell curve	Plateau
Environment	2D surfaces and 3D environments	3D confinement
Migration speed <sup>a</sup>	$\sim$ 0.1–1 $\mu$ m/min	$\sim$ 1–10 $\mu$ m/min
Stresses exerted on substrate <sup>b</sup>	~10 <sup>2</sup> – 10 <sup>5</sup> Pa	<1Pa
Actin flow profile	Mainly in lamellipodium	At the cortex all along the cell body, max velocity in cell center
Force dipole	Contractile	Expansile

Bodor et al. and E. Paluch. *Developmental Cell*. 52: 550-562 (2020)

- Friction-based migration is only possible in 3D confinement (unlike adhesion based motility)
- For 2D substrate motility, the strength and duration of molecular bonds must be strong enough to counteract Brownian motion (see catch bond and mechanical amplification mechanisms at Integrin foci)
- In 3D, confinement prolongs the contacts of weak molecular interactions and multiply them over the entire cell surface
- Cell substrate interactions shorter than cell dwell time in non-adhesive motility, but longer than cell dwell time in adhesive motility (thus requiring de-adhesion mechanisms). In non-adhesive motion, friction does not interfere with cell retraction.
- Therefore, increasing friction does not lead to a plateau of migration speed, and no slowing down is expected even at very high friction.

